Project description In this project, we'll explore the effectiveness of deep, feedforward neural networks at classifying images, we'll gradually build a neural

Scikit-learn contains a number of datasets pre-loaded with the library, within the namespace of sklearn.datasets. The load_digits() function returns a copy of the hand-written digits dataset from UCI.

network by adding hidden layers and by testing with differents models. Train, test, and improve a few different deep neural networks for

image classification. We will also compare neural networks with K-NearestNeighbours and Decision Tree models.

Deep Neural Network

intermediate features

output

#visualising the data In [1]: from sklearn.datasets import load_digits import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import pandas as pd import numpy as np %matplotlib inline data, target = load digits(return X y = True) features= pd.DataFrame(data) target= pd.Series(target) image_rows= [0,100,200,300,1000,1100,1200,1300] fig= plt.figure(figsize=(8,8)) n = 0for r in image_rows: image_feature= features.iloc[r] np_image= image_feature.values np_image= np_image.reshape(8,8) ax = fig.add subplot(2,4,n+1)ax.set_title("training: %i"%r) ax.imshow(np_image, cmap= "gray_r") plt.show() training: 0 training: 100 training: 200 training: 300

training: 1300

While linear and logistic regression models make assumptions about the linearity between the features and the output labels, the k-nearest neighbors algorithm make no such assumption. This allows them to capture nonlinearity in the data. Here we use a KNN algorithm as a benchmark to compare our neural network against. In [2]: from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score from sklearn.model_selection import KFold def train(k, features, target): knn= KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors= k) knn.fit(features, target) return knn def test(knn, features, target): prediction= knn.predict(features) return accuracy score (target, prediction)

kf = KFold(n splits=4, shuffle=True, random state=2)

for train index, test index in kf.split(features):

knn = train(k, train features, train target)

train_accuracies.append(train_accuracy) test_accuracies.append(test_accuracy)

return train_accuracies, test_accuracies

mean_train_accuracies= np.mean(train_accuracies) mean_test_accuracies= np.mean(test_accuracies)

We train a neural network instead with varying neuron values and layers.

from sklearn.utils.testing import ignore warnings from sklearn.exceptions import ConvergenceWarning

#we use 10 neighbors because 10 digits

representation to debug and explore

train_accuracy = test(knn, train_features, train_target) test accuracy = test(knn, test features, test target)

train_accuracies, test_accuracies = cross_validate(10, features, target)

mlp = MLPClassifier(hidden layer sizes=layers,activation="logistic")

print("4-Fold Mean Training Accuracy is:", mean_train_accuracies)

#doing 4 fold cross validation

train accuracies = [] test_accuracies = []

def cross_validate(k, features, target):

training: 1100

training: 1200

training: 1000

0

In [3]:

print("4-Fold Mean Test Accuracy is:", mean test accuracies) 4-Fold Mean Training Accuracy is: 0.9872006481047013 4-Fold Mean Test Accuracy is: 0.9788591932689928 There are a few downsides to using k-nearest neighbors:

1) high memory usage (for each new unseen observation, many comparisons need to be made to seen observations) 2) no model

train features, test features = features.loc[train index], features.loc[test index]

train target, test target = target.loc[train index], target.loc[test index]

return accuracy score(target, prediction) @ignore warnings(category=ConvergenceWarning) #doing k-fold cross validation

def cross_validate(k, layers, features, target):

mlp = train(layers, train_features, train_target)

return np.mean(train_accuracies), np.mean(test accuracies)

train_accuracies.append(train_accuracy) test_accuracies.append(test_accuracy)

mported from sklearn.utils is now part of the private API.

warnings.warn(message, FutureWarning)

y_train= mean_train_accuracy_list

train accuracy = test(mlp, train features, train target) test accuracy = test(mlp, test features, test target)

prediction= mlp.predict(features)

def train(layers, features, target):

mlp.fit(features, target)

def test(mlp, features, target):

return mlp

In [4]: from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score from sklearn.model_selection import KFold

> kf = KFold(n_splits=4, shuffle=True, random_state=2) train accuracies = [] test accuracies = [] for train_index, test_index in kf.split(features): train features, test features = features.loc[train index], features.loc[test index] train_target, test_target = target.loc[train_index], target.loc[test_index]

C:\ProgramData\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\utils\deprecation.py:144: FutureWarning: The sklea rn.utils.testing module is deprecated in version 0.22 and will be removed in version 0.24. The corre sponding classes / functions should instead be imported from sklearn.utils. Anything that cannot be i

It looks like adding more neurons to the single hidden layer improved simple accuracy to approximately 97%. Simple accuracy computes the

Given that k-nearest neighbors achieved approximately 98% accuracy, there doesn't seem to be any advantages to using a single hidden

number of correct classifications the model made, but doesn't tell us anything about false or true positives or false or true negatives.

ax.plot(x,y_test, c= (249/255,234/255,6/255), linewidth=3, label='Test Accuracy') ax.plot(x,y_train, c= (6/255, 245/255, 249/255), linewidth=3, label='Train Accuracy')

> Test Accuracy Train Accuracy

> > 250

Neural networks are actually very similar to how decision trees are structured. The branches and splits represent some intermediate

Deep Neural Network

intermediate features

output

200

features that are useful for making predictions and are analogous to the hidden layers in a neural network:

ax.set title("Accuracies with different number of neurons")

Accuracies with different number of neurons

y_test= mean_test_accuracy_list fig,ax= plt.subplots(figsize=(7,4)) ax.plot(x,y test, c= (249/255,234/255,6/255), linewidth=3, label='Test Accuracy') ax.plot(x,y train, c= (6/255, 245/255, 249/255), linewidth=3, label='Train Accuracy') ax.set xlabel("Number of Neurons") ax.set ylabel("Accuracy") ax.set title("Accuracies with different number of neurons") ax.legend(loc="lower right") plt.plot() Out[6]: [] Accuracies with different number of neurons 1.00 0.99 0.98 0.97 0.96 0.95 Test Accuracy 0.93 Train Accuracy Number of Neurons

Summary Using 2 hidden layers does not improve test accuracy substantially, and also increases computational time and risk of overfitting. Hence, 1

a neural network based on these intermediate features. # building a decision tree model with varying leaves number from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier def train(m_s_l,train,target):

Each of these hidden layers has its own set of weights and biases, which are discovered during the training process. In decision tree

dt= DecisionTreeClassifier(min samples leaf= m s l) dt.fit(train, target) return dt def cross_validate(k,m_s_l,features,target): kf = KFold(n_splits= k, random_state=2, shuffle= True) train accuracies = [] test accuracies = [] for train_index, test_index in kf.split(features): train_features, test_features = features.loc[train_index], features.loc[test_index] train_target, test_target = target.loc[train_index], target.loc[test_index] dt = train(m s l, train features, train target) train accuracy = test(dt, train features, train target) test_accuracy = test(dt, test_features, test_target)

In [10]: | ### let's test it, with cross validation in 4-fold min_samples_leafs= [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] train accuracies d= [] test accuracies d= [] for m in min samples leafs: train_accuracies, test_accuracies= cross_validate(4,m, features, target) train accuracies d.append(np.mean(train accuracies)) test accuracies d.append(np.mean(test accuracies)) In [11]: ### let's visualize it x = range(1, 11)y_test= test_accuracies_d y train= train accuracies d fig,ax= plt.subplots(figsize=(7,4)) ax.plot(x,y test, c= (28/255,221/255,9/255), linewidth=3, label='test accuracy') ax.plot(x,y_train, c= (221/255, 9/255, 21/255), linewidth=3, label='train accuracy') ax.set xlabel("leaves number") ax.set ylabel("accuracies") ax.set title("Accuracies with 4-fold") ax.legend(loc="upper right") plt.plot()

Accuracies with 4-fold

6 leaves number test accuracy train accuracy

10

train_accuracies.append(train_accuracy) test_accuracies.append(test_accuracy)

Decision tree models perform notably worse than neural networks and KNN, with lower test accuracy. Since the features of an image is

made up of pixel brightness, the advantage of interpretability of decision tree models is lost as well since it is hard to interpret the feature splits of pixel brightness.

In [5]: #training a neural network with one layer $neuron_list = [8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256]$ mean_train_accuracy_list = [] mean_test_accuracy_list = [] for neuron in neuron list: mean_train_accuracies, mean_test_accuracies = cross_validate(4, (neuron,), features, target) mean_train_accuracy_list.append(mean_train_accuracies) mean_test_accuracy_list.append(mean_test_accuracies) In [6]: *#plots* $x = neuron_list$

In [7]: | #training a neural network with two layer $neuron_list = [8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256]$ mean_train_accuracy_list = [] mean test accuracy list = [] for neuron in neuron_list: mean_train_accuracies, mean_test_accuracies = cross_validate(4, (neuron, neuron), features, target) mean train accuracy list.append(mean train accuracies) mean_test_accuracy_list.append(mean_test_accuracies) In [8]: #plots $x = neuron_list$ y_train= mean_train_accuracy_list

Out[8]: []

Summary:

layer neural network for this problem.

y_test= mean_test_accuracy_list

fig,ax= plt.subplots(figsize=(7,4))

ax.set xlabel("Number of Neurons")

ax.set_ylabel("Accuracy")

plt.plot()

1.000 0.975 0.950

ax.legend(loc="lower right")

50

100

150

Number of Neurons

hidden layer is sufficient. But it does not seem to have a substantial benefit over KNN.

Comparison With Decision Tree Models

Decision Tree

0.925 0.900 0.875 0.850 0.825 0.800

> intermediate features

models, the intermediate features in the model represented something more concrete we can understand (feature ranges). Decision tree models are referred to as white box models because they can be observed and understood but not easily altered. After we train a decision tree model, we can visualize the tree, interpret it, and have new ideas for tweaking the model. Neural networks, on the other hand, are much closer to being a black box. In a black box model, we can understand the inputs and the outputs but the intermediate features are actually difficult to interpret and understand. Even harder and perhaps more importantly, it's difficult to understand how to tweak

In [9]:

return train accuracies, test accuracies

Out[11]: [] 1.000 0.975

> 0.950 0.925

0.900 0.875

0.850 0.825

Summary