

Advanced Calculus 2 - HW3

THOUGHTS

2017.04.09

of 25 9

§ 8.2 #5

Must the boundary of a set have measure zero?

No. Counter example: Set of irrationals in $[0, 1]$

This is boundary set but do not have measure zero.

§ 8.3 #4

Since f is continuous, A is open and $f(x_0) > 0$,
 \exists a neighborhood $D(x_0, \epsilon)$ of x_0 .

First of all $\int_A f > 0$ is trivial

If $\int_A f = 0$, then $\{x \in A \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ has measure zero.

Since $D(x_0, \epsilon) \subset \{x \in A \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$, then $D(x_0, \epsilon)$ has measure zero.

However, $D(x_0, \epsilon)$ has measure. Since A does,
so $\{x \in A \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ has measure.

$\therefore \int_A f \neq 0$, and $\int_A f > 0$.

§ 8.5 #3.

If $-1 < p$, then $e^{-x} x^p \leq x^p$

And since $\int_0^\infty x^p dx$ converges to $\frac{1}{p+1}$,

$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^p dx$ converges also by comparison.

Ex. #3.

For $[a, b]$, \exists partition P s.t. $x_i = (a + (b-a) \frac{i}{n})$
for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{Then } U(f, P) = (f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_n)) (b-a) \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\text{and } L(f, P) = (f(x_0) + f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_{n-1})) (b-a) \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\text{Then } U - L = (f(x_n) - f(x_0)) (b-a) \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} (f(b) - f(a))(b-a)$$

$$\therefore U - L \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

\therefore By Riemann condition, f is integrable. \square

Ex #20.

Since $f(x) \geq 0$, continuous and monotonically increases.
integrable on $[a, b]$

then $\int_a^x f(x) dx$ is convergent where $\forall x \in [a, b]$.

$$*\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_a^x f(x) dx + \int_x^b f(x) dx$$

and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_a^x f(x) dx$ is convergent.

implies $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ is convergent.

Now for $\forall y \in [a, x]$, $f(y) \geq f(x)$.

since f is monotonically increases as $x \rightarrow 0$.

$$\text{Hence } \int_a^{a+x} f(t) dt \geq \int_a^{a+x} f(x) dt = f(x)x$$

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta$ s.t. $0 < x < \delta$.

$$\text{implies } 0 < f(x)x < \int_x^{a+x} f(t) dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^{a+x} f(t) dt = \int_a^b f(t) dt - \int_x^b f(t) dt < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore x \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow xf(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \epsilon f(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

Ex #24

Example : $A = [0, 1]$ $f = \begin{cases} 0 & : x \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & : x \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$

Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta$ s.t. $|P| < \delta$ (P : partition).

$$\exists x_i \in S_i \cap \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) v(S_i) - 1 \right| < \varepsilon$$

but f is not integrable.

(since $A \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ boundary does not have measure zero).

Ex #29.

Let C_k be a left length of Cantor set

$$\text{then } C_k = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k \text{ and } \exists \varepsilon \text{ s.t. } \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, the union of the 2^k intervals of C_k

cover the Cantor set. by partition S_i

$$\text{then } \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} v(S_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^i < \varepsilon.$$