

## Lecture note 1: Black-Scholes model

## 1 Black-Scholes model

In mathematical finance, a financial market is defined as a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  having a Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . The filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the usual condition. The measure  $\mathbb{P}$  is referred to as the *objective measure* of the market. Assume that in the market there are a money-market account  $G = (G_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and a risky asset  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined as below.

A money-market account represents a locally riskless investments, where profit is accrued continuously at the risk-free rate prevailing in the market at every instant.

**Definition 1.1.** A money-market account  $G$  is a deterministic process defined by  $G_t = e^{rt}, t \geq 0$  where  $r \geq 0$  is a constant.

The money-market account is expressed as

$$dG_t = rG_t dt, \quad G_0 = 1.$$

The nonnegative number  $r$  is called the *short interest rate*.

**Definition 1.2.** A risky asset is a stochastic process  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  given by

$$S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma B_t}.$$

The risky asset  $S$  is an Ito process satisfying the SDE

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dB_t.$$

The real numbers  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are referred to as the *drift* and the *volatility* of the asset, respectively.

## 2 Portfolio dynamics

**Definition 2.1.** A portfolio is a pair  $h = (\pi_t, \phi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  of progressively measurable processes satisfying

$$\int_0^T \phi_u^2 + |\pi_u| du < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$

The portfolio value process is defined by

$$V_t^h = \phi_t S_t + \pi_t G_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

A portfolio  $h = (\pi_t, \phi_t)$  is called self-financing if the value process  $V_t^h$  satisfies

$$dV_t^h = \phi_t dS_t + \pi_t dG_t.$$

From the above integrability condition, we note that the stochastic integrals

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \phi_u dS_u &= \mu \int_0^t \phi_u S_u du + \sigma \int_0^t \phi_u S_u dB_u \\ \int_0^t \pi_u dG_u &= r \int_0^t \pi_u G_u du\end{aligned}$$

are well-defined since  $S$  and  $G$  are continuous processes. A portfolio is self-financing if there is no exogenous infusion or withdrawal of money; the purchase of a new asset must be financed by the sale of an old one.

**Remark 2.1.** Since the value of a self-financing portfolio

$$V_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \phi_u dS_u + \int_0^t \pi_u dG_u$$

is the sum of an Ito integral and a Riemann integral, the process  $(V_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an Ito process.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $V_t = V_t^h$  be the value of a self-financing portfolio  $h = (\phi_t, \pi_t)$ . Then*

$$d\left(\frac{V_t}{G_t}\right) = \phi_t d\left(\frac{S_t}{G_t}\right).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and any progressively measurable process  $\phi$  with  $\int_0^t \phi_u^2 du < \infty$  a.s. for each  $t \geq 0$ , there exists a unique progressively measurable process  $\pi$  such that  $\int_0^t \pi_u^2 du < \infty$  a.s. for each  $t \geq 0$ , and  $(\phi_t, \pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is self-financing. In this case, the value process  $V_t$  and  $\pi_t$  are given by*

$$V_t = xG_t + G_t \int_0^t \phi_u d\left(\frac{S_u}{G_u}\right), \quad \pi_t = \frac{V_t - \phi_t S_t}{G_t}.$$

Based on this theorem, usually a self-financing portfolio is specified by only  $\phi = (\phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $t > 0$ . Motivated by the equation in Theorem 2.1, we define

$$V_t := xG_t + G_t \int_0^t \phi_u d\left(\frac{S_u}{G_u}\right).$$

This stochastic integral is well-defined since  $\phi$  is progressively measurable and  $\int_0^t \phi_u^2 du < \infty$  a.s. Define

$$\pi_t := \frac{V_t - \phi_t S_t}{G_t}.$$

It is easy to check that  $\pi$  is progressively measurable and  $\int_0^t \pi_u^2 du < \infty$  a.s. Clearly,  $V_t$  is the value process of the portfolio  $(\phi_t, \pi_t)$ . It remains to show that this portfolio is self-financing.

$$\begin{aligned}dV_t &= d\left(\frac{V_t}{G_t} G_t\right) = G_t d\left(\frac{V_t}{G_t}\right) + \frac{V_t}{G_t} dG_t \\ &= \phi_t G_t d\left(\frac{S_t}{G_t}\right) + \phi_t \frac{S_t}{G_t} dG_t + \pi_t \frac{G_t}{G_t} dG_t \\ &= \phi_t dS_t + \pi_t dG_t.\end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired result. □

**Corollary 2.3.** *In particular, if the short interest rate is zero (i.e.,  $G_t \equiv 1$ ), then the processes  $V$  and  $\pi$  in the above theorem are*

$$V_t = x + \int_0^t \phi_u dS_u, \quad \pi_t = V_t - \phi_t S_t.$$

**Definition 2.2.** *Fix a terminal time  $T > 0$ . An arbitrage is a self-financing portfolio  $h$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^h &= 0, \\ \mathbb{P}(V_T^h \geq 0) &= 1, \\ \mathbb{P}(V_T^h > 0) &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

*We say a market is arbitrage free if there is no arbitrage.*

**Definition 2.3.** *Fix a terminal time  $T > 0$ . A self-financing portfolio is said to be admissible if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that the value process*

$$V_t \geq -\alpha$$

*for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$  a.s.*

This definition is to avoid the doubling strategy. Refer to page 9 in Karatzas et al. (1998). We assume that every self-financing portfolio is admissible.

### 3 Classical approach

This section presents a heuristic argument to derive the Black-Scholes formula. Recall that the payoff of a call option is  $(S_T - K)_+$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Consider the Black-Scholes model. Let  $V$  be a self-financing portfolio with a zero diffusion term, that is,

$$dV_t = k_t dt$$

for some  $(k_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Then  $k_t = rV_t$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(\phi_t, \pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the self-financing portfolio of  $V$ . Since  $dS_t$  has a  $dW_t$ -term, we know that

$$dV_t = \phi_t dS_t + \pi_t dG_t$$

has a zero diffusion term if and only if  $\phi_t = 0$  for  $t \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$V_t = V_0 G_t$$

by Theorem 2.2. Thus,  $dV_t = rV_0 G_t dt = rV_t dt$ . □

**Assumption 1.** *Let  $V_t$  be the value of the call option  $(S_T - K)_+$  at time  $t$ . We assume the followings.*

(i)  $V_t = f(t, S_t)$  for some function  $f$ .

(ii)  $f \in C^{1,2}$

(iii)  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f_s(t, s)|$  has polynomial growth in  $s$ .

We now want to find this function  $f$ . Consider a market with three assets  $(V_t)$ ,  $(S_t)$  and  $(G_t)$ . Construct the following portfolio.

- Buy one call option  $V_t$
- Sell  $f_s(t, S_t)$  number of stocks
- Finance this transaction from the bank.

Then the portfolio is  $(1, -f_s(t, S_t), \pi_t)$  where  $\pi_t$  is the amount financed from the bank. The value of this portfolio is

$$\hat{V}_t = V_t - f_s(t, S_t)S_t + \pi_t G_t.$$

Since this is self-financing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_t &= dV_t - f_s(t, S_t)dS_t + \pi_t dG_t \\ &= df(t, S_t) - f_s(t, S_t)dS_t + \pi_t dG_t \\ &= (f_t(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S_t^2 f_{ss}(t, S_t) + r\pi_t G_t) dt \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_t &= r\hat{V}_t dt \\ &= r(V_t - f_s(t, S_t)S_t + \pi_t G_t) dt \\ &= r(f(t, S_t) - f_s(t, S_t)S_t + \pi_t G_t) dt \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the above two equations,

$$f_t(t, S_t) + rS_t f_s(t, S_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S_t^2 f_{ss}(t, S_t) - rf(t, S_t) = 0.$$

Since  $S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma B_t}$  ranges  $(0, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$f_t(t, s) + rsf_s(t, s) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 f_{ss}(t, s) - rf(t, s) = 0 \text{ for } s > 0.$$

At the terminal time,  $f(T, s) = (s - K)_+$  is clear.

## 4 Feynman-Kac formula

The above PDE can be solved by the Feynman-Kac formula below. This section is indebted to Section 6.3 in Baudoin (2014).

**Assumption 2.** Assume that there is a positive number  $C$  such that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| + |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq C|x - y|$$

for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $W$  be a Brownian motion and fix  $t \geq 0$ . Under Assumption 2, the SDE

$$\begin{aligned} dX_s &= b(s, X_s) ds + \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s, s > t \\ X_t &= x \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution  $(X_s)_{s \geq t} = (X_s^{t,x})_{s \geq t}$ . Moreover, for every  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |X_s|^p) < \infty.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel function with polynomial growth and  $r : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. Under Assumption 2, define

$$f(t, x) = \mathbb{E}(e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} g(X_T^{t,x})).$$

and assume  $f \in C^{1,2}$ . Then

$$f_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, x) f_{xx} + b(t, x) f_x - r(t, x) f = 0$$

with the terminal condition  $f(T, x) = g(x)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel function with polynomial growth and  $r : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. Under Assumption 2, suppose that  $f \in C^{1,2}$  solves

$$f_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, x) f_{xx} + b(t, x) f_x - r(t, x) f = 0$$

with the terminal condition  $f(T, x) = g(x)$ . If  $\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f_x(t, x)|$  has polynomial growth, then

$$f(t, x) = \mathbb{E}(e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} g(X_T^{t,x}))$$

where  $(X^{t,x})$  is the solution in Theorem 4.1.

## 5 Exercises

**Problem 5.1.** (15 points) Consider a market with one bank account  $G = (e^{rt})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  and  $N$  stocks  $S^{(1)}, \dots, S^{(N)}$  which are positive Ito processes. A portfolio is defined as a  $N + 1$  dimensional progressively measurable process  $h = (\pi_t, \phi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T} = (\pi_t, \phi_t^{(1)}, \dots, \phi_t^{(N)})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  with

$$\int_0^T |\pi_t| + \|\phi_t\|^2 dt < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Show that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $N$ -dimensional progressively measurable process  $\phi$  there is a unique progressively measurable  $\pi$  such that  $h = (\pi_t, \phi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  is self-financing,  $V_0^h = x$ , and  $\int_0^T |\pi_t| dt < \infty$  a.s.

**Problem 5.2.** (10 points) Consider the Black-Scholes stock model

$$S_t = S_0 e^{(\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)t + \sigma B_t}, t \geq 0$$

for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and a Brownian motion  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ .

- (i) Show that  $\mathcal{F}_t^B = \mathcal{F}_t^S$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , that is, the natural filtrations of  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  coincide.
- (ii) Evaluate  $\mathbb{E}(\int_0^T S_u du | \mathcal{F}_t^S)$ .

**Problem 5.3.** (15 points) Consider the Black-Scholes model. Find the self-financing portfolio value  $V_t$  and the amount  $\pi_t$  financed from the bank in the following cases. Let  $r \geq 0$  be the short rate.

- (i) The initial portfolio value is  $V_0 = 1$  and  $\phi_t = 1/S_t^2$ .
- (ii) Assume  $r = 0$ . The initial portfolio value is  $V_0 = 0$  and  $\phi_t = S_t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $\phi_t = 1$  when  $t > 1$ .
- (iii) Assume  $r = 0$ . The initial portfolio value is  $V_0 = 1$  and  $\phi_t = tS_t$ .

**Problem 5.4.** (10 points) Use the put-call parity to find the put price in the Black-Scholes model.

**Problem 5.5.** (10 points) Let  $T > 0$ . Find a solution  $f \in C^{1,2}$  to the PDE

$$f_t + bx f_x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 f_{xx} = 0$$

$$f(T, x) = (1 - e^x)_+$$

such that  $\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f_x(t, x)|$  has polynomial growth in  $x$ .

**Problem 5.6.** Consider the multi-dimensional BS model. Let  $(B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$  be a two-dimensional Brownian motion. The bank account is  $G_t = e^{rt}$  and two stocks are given as

$$\frac{dS_t^{(1)}}{S_t^{(1)}} = \mu^{(1)} dt + \sigma_1^{(1)} dB_t^{(1)} + \sigma_2^{(1)} dB_t^{(2)}, \quad S_0^{(1)} > 0$$

$$\frac{dS_t^{(2)}}{S_t^{(2)}} = \mu^{(2)} dt + \sigma_2^{(2)} dB_t^{(1)} + \sigma_1^{(2)} dB_t^{(2)}, \quad S_0^{(2)} > 0.$$

- (i) (5 points) Solve the above SDEs and find  $S^{(1)}$  and  $S^{(2)}$ .
- (ii) (15 points) Consider an option with payoff  $(S_T^{(1)} - S_T^{(2)})_+$  and maturity  $T$ . Use the heuristic argument to derive the Black-Scholes PDE: Let  $f(t, x_1, x_2)$  be the function such that the time- $t$  price of option is  $f(t, S_t^{(1)}, S_t^{(2)})$ . Then

$$f_t + rx_1 f_{x_1} + rx_2 f_{x_2} + \frac{1}{2} |\sigma^{(1)}|^2 x_1^2 f_{x_1 x_1} + \frac{1}{2} |\sigma^{(2)}|^2 x_2^2 f_{x_2 x_2} + \sigma^{(1)} \cdot \sigma^{(2)} x_1 x_2 f_{x_1 x_2} - rf = 0$$

with the terminal condition  $f(T, x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)_+$ . Here  $\sigma^{(1)} := (\sigma_1^{(1)}, \sigma_2^{(1)})$  and  $\sigma^{(2)} := (\sigma_1^{(2)}, \sigma_2^{(2)})$ .

**Problem 5.7.** Solve the following problems.

- (i) (10 points) Let  $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion. Evaluate  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\int_0^{T/2} t^2 dB_t} \int_0^T t dB_t)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\int_0^T t dB_t} \int_0^T B_t^2 dt)$ .

(ii) (5 points) Let  $(B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)})_{t \geq 0}$  be a two-dimensional Brownian motion. Find

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\int_0^T t dB_t^{(1)} + \int_0^T t^2 dB_t^{(2)}} \int_0^T B_t^{(1)} dB_t^{(2)}).$$

**Problem 5.8.** (15 points) In the Black-Scholes model, consider an option whose payoff is  $X = (\ln S_{T/2})^2$  at maturity  $T$ . Find the time- $t$  price and the hedging portfolio of this option.

**Problem 5.9.** (15 points) Assume the Black-Scholes market model. Let  $K > 0$ . Consider an option whose payoff is

$$(S_T^2(S_T^2 - K))_+$$

at maturity  $T > 0$ . Evaluate the time-0 price of this option. Hint: Use the Girsanov theorem.

## References

Fabrice Baudoin. *Diffusion processes and stochastic calculus*. 2014.

Ioannis Karatzas, Steven E Shreve, I Karatzas, and Steven E Shreve. *Methods of mathematical finance*, volume 39. Springer, 1998.