Topology I – Homework for Chapter 3, 4

Junwoo Yang

June 4, 2020

Prob 1. Determine, for each of the following topologies on \mathbb{R} , which of the others it contains.

 $\mathcal{T}_1 = \text{Standard topology}$

 \mathcal{T}_2 = Finite complement topology

 \mathcal{T}_3 = Topology generated by the following basis;

$$B_3 = \{(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R} | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(c,d) - K \in \mathbb{R} | c, d \in \mathbb{R}, K = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots\}\}$$

 \mathcal{T}_4 = Topology generated by the following basis;

 $B_4 = \{(a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{basis of upper limit topology}$

 \mathcal{T}_5 = Topology generated by the following basis;

$$B_5 = \{(-\infty, a) \subset \mathbb{R} | a \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Solution. $(\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1)$

Since for $(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathbb{R} \setminus (a,b) = (-\infty,a] \cup [b,+\infty)$ is not finite, $(a,b) \notin \mathcal{T}_2$. For $U \in \mathcal{T}_2$, it is either $U = \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_1$ or $\mathbb{R} \setminus U$ is finite. So we can put $\mathbb{R} \setminus U = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.

Then $U = (-\infty, x_1) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i, x_{i+1}) \cup (x_n, \infty) \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Since it is an union of open intervals, $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_3)$

For basis element $(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $x \in (a,b)$, it is also a basis of \mathcal{T}_3 . However, given the basis element $B_3 = (c,d) - K \in \mathcal{T}_3$, there is no open interval that contains 0 and lies in B_3 . Thus, \mathcal{T}_3 is strictly finer than \mathcal{T}_1 .

 $(\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_4)$

For $(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_1$, $(a,b) = \bigcup (a,x]$ for $x \in (a,b)$. It is arbitrary union of basis elements of \mathcal{T}_4 , it is open in \mathcal{T}_4 . But, for any basis element (a,b] of \mathcal{T}_4 , there is no basis element $(c,d) \in \mathcal{T}_1$ such that contains b and contained in (a,b].

 $(\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_1)$

Since for $(-\infty, a) \in \mathcal{T}_5$, $(-\infty, a) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-n, a) \in \mathcal{T}_1$. However, for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $x \in (a, b)$, there is no basis element $(-\infty, a) \in \mathcal{T}_5$ such that $x \in (-\infty, a) \subset (a, b)$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_3)$

Since we have proven that $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_3$, it follows that $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_3$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_4)$

We have proven that $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_4$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_2 \subset \mathcal{T}_4$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_2 \not\subset \mathcal{T}_5 \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_5 \not\subset \mathcal{T}_2)$

For $U = (-\infty, x) \cup (x, +\infty) \in \mathcal{T}_2$, there is no basis element $(-\infty, a) \in \mathcal{T}_5$ containing x + 1. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_2 \not\subset \mathcal{T}_5$. For $(-\infty, a) \in \mathcal{T}_5$, and $a - 1 \in (-\infty, a)$, there is no basis element $U \in \mathcal{T}_2$ such that $a - 1 \in U \subset (-\infty, a)$. If there exists, $a - 1 \in U \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R} \setminus U$ is finite. However, from $U \subset (-\infty, a)$, $[a, +\infty) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus U$, which is a contradiction to finite set. Thus $\mathcal{T}_5 \not\subset \mathcal{T}_2$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_3 \subset \mathcal{T}_4)$

For $(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_3$, we have that $(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_4$. For $(a,b) \setminus K \in \mathcal{T}_3$ such that $(a,b) \cap K \neq \emptyset$, we have four cases. If a < 0 < 1 < b, then we have that $(a,b) \setminus K = (a,0] \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\frac{1}{n+1},\frac{1}{n}) \cup (1,b) \in \mathcal{T}_4$. If a < 0 < b < 1, then $(a,b) \setminus K = (a,0] \cup \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n+1},\frac{1}{n}) \cup (\frac{1}{m},b) \in \mathcal{T}_4$ for smallest number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{m} < b$. If 0 < a < 1 < b, then $(a,b) \setminus K = (a,\frac{1}{k}) \bigcup_{n=1}^{k-1} (\frac{1}{n+1},\frac{1}{n}) \cup (1,b) \in \mathcal{T}_4$ for largest number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a < \frac{1}{k}$. If 0 < a < b < 1, then $(a,b) \setminus K = (a,\frac{1}{k}) \cup \bigcup_{n=m}^{k-1} (\frac{1}{n+1},\frac{1}{n}) \cup (\frac{1}{m},b) \in \mathcal{T}_4$ for smallest number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and largest number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a < \frac{1}{k}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $(a, 0] \in \mathcal{T}_4$, there is no basis element B of \mathcal{T}_3 such that $0 \in B \subset (a, 0]$ because every basis element B contains 0 contains some positive number. Thus $\mathcal{T}_3 \subset \mathcal{T}_4$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_3)$

We have proven that $\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_3$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_3$.

 $(\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_4)$

We have proven that $\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}_4$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_4$.

Prob 2. Let $D = \{(x, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the diagonal line in \mathbb{R}^2 . Describe the topology D inherits as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}_l \times \mathbb{R}$ and as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}_l \times \mathbb{R}_l$ where \mathbb{R}_l is the real line equipped with the lower limit topology.

Solution. The basis of $\mathbb{R}_l \times \mathbb{R}_l$ is composed of the subsets $[a,b) \times (c,d)$. For $(x,x) \in D$, the intersection of any $[x,b) \times (c,d)$ with $x \in (c,d)$ with D is a left-closed, right-open interval with x as left endpoint, and these intersections form a basis for D that is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}_l . The basis of $\mathbb{R}_l \times \mathbb{R}_l$ is composed of the subsets $[a,b) \times [c,d)$. The same argument as in the previous case gives us that D is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}_l .

Prob 3. Show that X is Hausdorff if and only if $D = \{(x, x) \in X \times X | x \in X\}$ is closed in $X \times X$.

Solution. Assume the diagonal D is closed, and let $x \neq y$ be two distinct points in X. Then (x,y) belongs to the open set $X \times Y \setminus D$, hence there is a basis element $U \times V$ $(U,V \subset X$ open) such that $(x,y) \in U \times V \subset X \times X \setminus D$. Since $(x,y) \in U \times V$, U is a neighborhood of x and Y is a neighborhood of y. Moreover, if U and V had non-empty intersection, then $z \in U \cap V$ would give $(z,z) \in U \times V \cap D$, contradiction; so $U \cap V = \emptyset$. This proves X is Hausdorff.

Conversely, if X is Hausdorff, and $(x,y) \in X \times X \setminus D$, then $x \neq y$ so there exists neighborhoods U of x and V of y such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$; then $U \times V$ is a neighborhood of (x,y) in the product topology, and $U \times V$ is disjoint from D. So $(x,y) \in U \times V \subset X \times X \setminus D$, which proves that $X \times X \setminus D$ is open, i.e. D is closed.

Prob 4. Fine non-Hausdorff space with non-closed compact subset.

Solution. Let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T})$ be the real numbers with finite complement topology, namely a set is closed if and only if it is finite; and a set is open if and only if its complement is finite. Consider the natural numbers as a subset of the real line, this is an infinite set, but it is clear that its complement is not finite, so it is neither open nor closed. Suppose that $\{U_i|i\in I\}$ is an open cover of \mathbb{N} . There is some $i_0 \in I$ such that $0 \in U_{i_0}$, and since U_{i_0} is open it means that it contains everything except finitely many points, in particular it must contain all the natural numbers, except maybe finitely many of them. For every $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus U_i$ we can find some U_{i_n} . We found, therefore, a finite subcover of this open cover, and so \mathbb{N} is compact.

Prob 5. Prove that X is disconnected if and only if there exists a continuous function from X onto two point set with the discrete topology $f: X \to \{a, b\}$.

Solution. Without loss of generality, let two point set be $\{0,1\}$. Let X be disconnected. Then there exists two non-empty disjoint open subset A and B of X such that $X = A \cup B$. Define a mapping f of X onto $\{0,1\}$ by setting f(x) = 0 if $x \in A$ and f(x) = 1 if $x \in B$. Open sets of $\{0,1\}$ on discrete topology are \emptyset , $\{0\}$, $\{1\}$ and $\{0,1\}$. By the definition of f, $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$ and $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = B$, $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $f^{-1}(\{0,1\}) = X$. Thus, we have shown that the inverse image under f of every open subset of $\{0,1\}$ is open in X and therefore f is continuous.

Conversely, if there exists such a mapping then X is disconnected because of continuity. For if X were connected, the $\{0,1\}$ would be connected. But this is impossible since every discrete space is disconnected.

Prob 6. Show that for a continuous function $f: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists a point $x \in S^1$ with f(x) = f(-x).

Solution. Assume $f: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Let $g: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the map defined by g(x) = f(x) - f(-x), which is also continuous. If g(x) = 0 for all $x \in S^1$ then we are done; otherwise, there exists $x \in S^1$ such that $g(x) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that g(x) > 0; and then g(-x) = f(-x) - f(x) = -g(x) < 0. Since S^1 is connected and g is continuous, the intermediate value theorem implies the existence of $g \in S^1$ such that g(y) = 0, i.e. f(y) = f(-y).

Alternative solution. If $f(x) \neq f(-x)$ for all $x \in S^1$, then setting $U = \{x \in S^1 | f(x) < f(-x)\}$ and $V = \{x \in S^1 | f(x) > f(-x)\}$, then U and V are open (by continuity of f) and disjoint, and $S^1 = U \cup V$, so by connectedness one of U and V must be all of S^1 and the other must be empty. This is impossible since $x \in U \Leftrightarrow -x \in V$.