

Homework 8

Junwoo Yang

December 13, 2020

Problem 1. Use the Central Limit Theorem to estimate the probability that the number of Heads in 1000 independent tosses differs from 500 by less than 2%.

Proof. In this case, X_n is a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables, with each X_n taking the values 1 and 0, each with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Then, $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{Var}(X_n) = \frac{1}{4}$. By de Moivre-Laplace Theorem,

$$P(Z_n \leq t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{where } Z_n = \frac{S_n - n/2}{\sqrt{n}/2}.$$

Thus, we approximate the probability as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} P(|S_{1000} - 500| < 10) &= P\left(\frac{|S_{1000} - 500|}{\sqrt{1000}/2} < \frac{10}{\sqrt{1000}/2}\right) = P\left(-\frac{10}{\sqrt{250}} < Z_{1000} < \frac{10}{\sqrt{250}}\right) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-10/\sqrt{250}}^{10/\sqrt{250}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx \approx 0.4729. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Problem 2. How many tosses of a coin are required to have the probability at least 0.99 that the average number of Heads differs from 0.5 by less than 1%?

Proof. Similarly, under the condition on n , we approximate the probability as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - 0.5\right| < 0.005\right) &= P\left(\frac{|S_n - 0.5n|}{0.5\sqrt{n}} < \frac{0.005n}{0.5\sqrt{n}}\right) = P(|Z_n| < 0.01\sqrt{n}) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-0.01\sqrt{n}}^{0.01\sqrt{n}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx \geq 0.99. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, at least 66,349 tosses of a coin are required. \square

Problem 3. Assume that $\frac{1}{c_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|X_k - \mu_k|^{2+\delta}) \rightarrow 0$ for some $\delta > 0$. Show that Lindeberg's condition (8.4) holds.

Proof. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and δ that satisfies given assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{x: |x - \mu_k| \geq \varepsilon c_n\}} (x - \mu_k)^2 dP_{X_k}(x) &\leq \frac{1}{c_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{x: |x - \mu_k| \geq \varepsilon c_n\}} \frac{|x - \mu_k|^{2+\delta}}{(\varepsilon c_n)^\delta} dP_{X_k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\delta c_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{x: |x - \mu_k| \geq \varepsilon c_n\}} |x - \mu_k|^{2+\delta} dP_{X_k}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\delta c_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - \mu_k|^{2+\delta} dP_{X_k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\delta c_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|X_k - \mu_k|^{2+\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the last term converges to zero by the assumption, Lindeberg's condition holds. \square

Problem 4. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables. Show that for any $a \geq 0$,

$$P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 3a) \leq 3 \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P(|S_k| \geq a)$$

where $S_k = X_1 + \dots + X_k$.

Proof. We describe first instance that $|S_k|$ exceeds $3a$. Namely, we write

$$A_k = \{\omega : |S_1(\omega)| < 3a, \dots, |S_{k-1}(\omega)| < 3a, |S_k(\omega)| \geq 3a\}.$$

Then A_k are clearly pairwise disjoint and

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k = \{\omega : \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 3a\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 3a) &= P(\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 3a\} \cap \{|S_n| \geq a\}) + P(\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq 3a\} \cap \{|S_n| < a\}) \\ &\leq P(|S_n| \geq a) + P(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \cap \{|S_n - S_k| > 2a\}) \\ &= P(|S_n| \geq a) + \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k \cap \{|S_n - S_k| > 2a\}) \\ &= P(|S_n| \geq a) + \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k)P(|S_n - S_k| > 2a) \tag{1} \\ &\leq P(|S_n| \geq a) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P(|S_n - S_k| > 2a) \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k) \\ &\leq P(|S_n| \geq a) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P(|S_n - S_k| > 2a) \\ &\leq P(|S_n| \geq a) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (P(|S_n| \geq a) + P(|S_k| \geq a)) \\ &= 2P(|S_n| \geq a) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P(|S_k| \geq a) \\ &\leq 3 \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P(|S_k| \geq a) \end{aligned}$$

where (1) holds since X_k are independent, so S_k and $S_n - S_k$ are independent. This is Etemadi's inequality. \square

Problem 5. Suppose that $\{X_n\}$ are independent random variables and $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$. Show that if $\sum_n \text{Var}(X_n) < \infty$, then $\sum_n X_n$ converges with probability 1.

Proof. Consider partial sum $S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N X_n$. To show that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty X_n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N$ converges with probability 1, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N = 0$$

with probability 1. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} (S_N - S_m) - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} (S_N - S_m) \leq 2 \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{m+i} \right|.$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N - \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq P\left(2 \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{m+i} \right| \geq \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= P\left(\max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{m+i} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\
&= P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{m+i} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{m+i} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{m+i}\right) \\
&= \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_{m+i})
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is due to Kolmogorov's inequality. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}(X_n) < \infty$, it follows that the last term tends to 0 as m goes to infinity, for every arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

Problem 6. Let $\{X_n\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_1) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(|X_1|^p) < \infty$ for some $1 < p < 2$. Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{1/p}} = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (1)$$

Proof of Problem 6.

It is Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund theorem. To prove this theorem we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of identically distributed random variables. If $E(|X_1|^p) < \infty$ where $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > n^{1/p}\}}}{n^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2)$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $U_n = |X_n|^p \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_n| > n^{1/p}\}}$, $n \geq 1$. Note that condition $E(|X_1|^p) < \infty$ is equivalent to the relation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_1| > n^{1/p}) < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(U_n \neq 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > n^{1/p}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_1| > n^{1/p}) < \infty.$$

Therefore, by Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$U_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4)$$

Moreover

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |X_i| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > n^{1/p}\}}}{n^{1/p}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |X_i|^p \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > n^{1/p}\}}}{n} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |X_i|^p \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > i^{1/p}\}}}{n}. \quad (5)$$

By (4) the right-hand side of (5) converges to zero almost sure and relation (2) follows. \square

Lemma 2. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of identically distributed random variables. If $E(|X_1|^p) < \infty$ where $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > n^{1/p}\}}}{n^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of identically distributed random variables. If $E(|X_1|^p) < \infty$ where $1 < p < 2$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2n}{p}}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \mathbb{E}(|X_k|^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_k| \leq 2^{\frac{n}{p}}\}}) < \infty. \quad (7)$$

Proof of Problem 6. Let

$$X_i^{(n)} = X_i \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| \leq n^{1/p}\}}, \quad S_j^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i^{(n)}$$

for $i \geq 1, j \geq 1, n \geq 1$.

Step 1 Let us prove that

$$\frac{S_n - S_n^{(n)}}{n^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8)$$

We have

$$\frac{|S_n - S_n^{(n)}|}{n^{1/p}} = \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > n^{1/p}\}}|}{n^{1/p}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_i| > n^{1/p}\}}}{n^{1/p}}.$$

Application of Lemma 1 yields to (8).

Step 2 Let us prove that

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n^{(n)})}{n^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (9)$$

We have

□