Homework 5

Junwoo Yang

November 15, 2020

Problem 1. For each of the following decide whether $f_n \to 0$ (i) in L^p , (ii) uniformly, (iii) pointwise, (iv) a.e.

- (a) $f_n = \mathbf{1}_{[n,n+\frac{1}{n}]}$
- (b) $f_n = n\mathbf{1}_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]} n\mathbf{1}_{[-\frac{1}{n},0]}$

Proof. (a) Note that $f_n(x) = 0$ for x < 1 and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any given $x \ge 1$, take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \le x < N+1$. Then $f_n(x) = 0$ for n > N. Thus, f_n converges to 0 pointwise (also a.e.). But, f_n does not converge uniformly since $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f_n - 0| = 1$ for all n. Furthermore,

$$||f_n - 0||_p = \left(\int |f_n - 0|^p \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int f_n^p \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int f_n \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int_n^{n + \frac{1}{n}} 1 \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

tends to 0 as n goes ∞ . Thus, f_n converges to 0 in L^p .

(b) Note that $f_n(0) = n - n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any given non-zero $x \in \mathbb{R}$, take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < |x|$. Then $f_n(x) = 0$ for n > N. Thus, f_n converges to 0 pointwise (also a.e.). But f_n does not converge uniformly since $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f_n(x) - 0| = n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. f_n also does not converge in L^p .

$$||f_n - 0||_p = \left(\int |f_n|^p \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int |n\mathbf{1}_{[0,\frac{1}{n}]} - n\mathbf{1}_{[-\frac{1}{n},0]}|^p \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\int n^p \mathbf{1}_{[-\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n}]} \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{n}} n^p \, dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(n^p \frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (2n^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Note that null set $\{0\}$ does not affect integral.

Problem 2. If $X_n \to X$ and $Y_n \to Y$ in probability, show that $X_n + Y_n \to X + Y$ in probability and $X_n Y_n \to X Y$ in probability.

Proof. Since X_n, Y_n converge to X, Y in probability, respectively, $P(|X_n - X| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}), P(|Y_n - Y| > \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ tend to 0 as n goes ∞ . At first, we prove $X_n + Y_n$ converges to X + Y in probability.

$$\begin{split} P(|(X_n + Y_n) - (X + Y)| > \epsilon) &= P(|(X_n - X) + (Y_n - Y)| > \epsilon) \\ &\leq P(|X_n - X| + |Y_n - Y| > \epsilon) \\ &\leq P\left(|X_n - X| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cup |Y_n - Y| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \\ &\leq P\left(|X_n - X| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) + P\left(|Y_n - Y| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \to 0 \end{split}$$

Problem 3. Show that if (X_n) is a Cauchy sequence in probability (i.e. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $m, n \geq N$ implies $P\{\omega : |X_m(\omega) - X_n(\omega)| \geq \epsilon\} < \epsilon$), then there is a random variable X such that $X_n \to X$ in probability.

Proof. For each $k \ge 1$, there exist n_k such that for $n, m \ge n_k$, $P(|X_n - X_m| > 2^{-k}) < 2^{-k}$. We are going to show subsequence (X_{n_k}) is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} almost surely. Borel-Cantelli lemma says that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|X_{n_k} - X_{n_{k+1}}| > 2^{-k}) < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} < \infty \quad \text{implies} \quad P(\limsup_{k \to \infty} \{|X_{n_k} - X_{n_{k+1}}| > 2^{-k}\}) = 0.$$

So, for all ω , except for those belonging to an event of probability 0, the subsequence $X_{n_k}(\omega)$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers, which in turn must converge to a finite limit, that can be denoted $X(\omega)$. So X_{n_k} converges to X almost surely. Then, by X_n 's Cauchy convergence in probability and $X_{n_k} \to X$ almost surely, we get for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \le P\left(|X_n - X_{n_k}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + P\left(|X_{n_k} - X| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n and n_k . Hence, X_n converges to X in probability.