Solver: Zhou Jingyuan

Email Address: zhou0235@e.ntu.edu.sg

- 1. (a)
 - (i) False. Privileged instruction can only be run in kernel mode.
 - (ii) True. Almost all OS use preemptive scheduling.
 - (iii) True. DMA usually used for transmitting large amount of data, whereas mouse IO are lightweight.
 - (iv) True. Multiprocessing refer to the hardware capability to run multiple process, whereas the multi-programming refers to the software capability to run multiple program.
 - (v) False. CPU could be non-preemptive; the stage change is only due to an IO of the process.
 - (b)
 - (i) When the IO burst occurred, the system will first save the state of P2 into PCB₂, and then reload the state from PCB₁, where the system resumes the execution of P1.
 - (ii) Shortest job first: shortest job first executes jobs with lowest CPU burst.

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Running Process	P2	P2	P1	P1	P2	P2	P1	P1	P1

Note at time 5, P2 finishes its IO and returned to the ready queue, since it only left with 2 CPU burst (P1 has 3 left), it takes over the CPU and runs.

Average turn around time= (8+9)/2 = 8.5

Round-Robin:

N										
o Ţime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
e										
Running Process	P1	P1	P2	P2	P1	P1	P2	P2	P1	P2ends

that in this case, P2 ends at time= 10. Although P2 does not use any CPU burst at time 10. It will not terminate during time 10. Average turn around time = (10+9)/2 = 9.5

(c)

(i) There are multiply lines have mode switch, namely:

line 7 & line 11: Context switch is necessary as the printf function tries to access IO, as it has to be done in kernel mode.

Line 6: forking a process need to allocate pointers and spaces, which also need to be done in the kernel mode.

(ii) The question asked about <u>the process</u>, assume it ask the mother process.

Text: does not change, as the code it self does not change.

Data: empty. As there is no global variable. The pid variable is only available in function scope and stored in the stack together with the function.

Heap: empty, as you don't see any new keyword, forked process are not stored in the heap, they are completely new process.

Stack: pushed in with f1(5) f1(4) ... f1(0) function calls, and then popped out as the function completes

Additional Challenging Questions:

How many additional process will be created?

How many done will this code segment print?

(Hard) How many lines will this code segment print?

*Note: fork() create and run a new process with identical state of the caller.

Answer available in the very end of this solution.

2. (a)

- (i) True, as it is the definition of safe state.
- (ii) True, busy waiting need to constantly check the state of the variable
- (iii) True, simple batch system occurred in 1960's where user submit "tapes" of there operation to the computer. Deadlock only occurred when multi-thread/process need to access same resources. Simple batch system does not have the notion of wait to acquire the resources.
- (iv) True, one of the four condition for deadlock is no preemption
- (v) False, as in the previous statement, the system could use preemption to avoid deadlock.
- (b) minimum value is 2. If x = 2, the process can be finished in the sequence of P2, P1, P0. Whereas if x = 1, the only process can finish is P2, and after that, we have 1 of ABCD, and non of process 1 nor 0 can proceed.

(c)

A: semaphore hydrogenSemaphore;

B: signal(hydrogenSemaphore)

C: wait(hydrogenSemaphore);wait(hydrogenSemaphore);

(d) This is a common question in exam. Consider the following approach:

ID those command:

ID	P1	ID	P2
1	Y=1	5	X = 1
2	Y = Y+Z	6	X = X+1
3	Z = Y + 1	7	X = X - Y
4	Y = Z - Y	8	Z = X + Z

For the execution sequence, the following condition must meet:

- 1. 1<2<3<4
- 2. 5<6<7<8
- 3. 1<7(semaphore S)
- 4. 7<4(semaphore Q)

now we try to find all possible combination of sequence, and before going into many possible permutation, we could save time by doing the following:

1) since P1 does not use X, 5 & 6 can combine into X=2(ID=5)

- 2) If a set of statement are independent, i.e. the variable being changed is not used by the set of statement, they can be run in any order.
- 3) 1 & 5 are independent, i.e. order 1574 and 5174 are the same stuff
- 4) 2&5 are independent. 3&5, 4&6, 3&7 are independent (you could do this by fixing 1,5,7,3, 4, and fill in 2, 8):

All possible sequences & results:

Seq	X	Υ	Z
1527834	1	1	2
1527384	1	2	3
1527348	1	3	2
1578234	1	1	3
1572384	1	3	4
1572348	1	1	2

3. (a) First-fit: 20KB; Best-Fit: 14KB; Worst-Fit: 40KB

(b)

(i)

Id	Frame	Valid bit
0		0
1	2	1
2		0
3	1	1
4		0
5		0
6	0	1
7	3	1

(ii) 001 101101011 \rightarrow 10 101101011

010 110110101 → no corresponding physical address

- (iii) $2^9 = 512$ bits
- (c) Thrashing: If a process does not have "enough" pages, the page-fault rate is very high.

This leads to:

- Low CPU utilization
- OS thinks that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming
- Another process is added to the system CPU utilization drops further

i.e. a process is busy bringing pages in and out (no work is being done)

Solution Two approaches are used:

- 1) Working-Set Model During the lifetime of the process, references are confined to a subset of pages
- 2) Page-Fault Frequency Establish "acceptable" page-fault rate
- If actual rate of a process is too low, remove a frame from that process
- If actual rate too high, give that process a frame

(d)(i)

12 page faults

(ii) same as FIFO

						0					
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

(iii) in this special case, we could use a modified clock algorithm where instead of iterating from 0->n then jump to 0, this modified algorithm loop through 0 to n then loop back to 0.

In this case there will be 10 faults. Notice that you should not answer

0	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	4	5
F	F	F	F	F	F			F	F	F	F

unrealistic page replacement algorithm even if it give less page fault, such as the optimal algorithm: replace the farthest page (you cannot predict the future page demand) nor replace the newest page (unrealistic as the memory content almost cannot change after the memory is full)

- 4. (a)
 - (i) True
 - (ii) True, owner: rwx; group: rw; all: r
 - (iii) False, block allocation will cause fragmentation, linked allocation won't.
 - (iv) False, non-blocking will keep the process in running state
 - (v) False, RAID 1 consists of an exact copy (or mirror) of a set of data on two or more disks;
 - (vi) True, buffering avoids constant read/write to external disks
 - (b) Problems:
 - Waste of space (similar to dynamic storage allocation of main memory)
 - Finding hole big enough using First-fit (faster) or Best-fit may result in external fragmentation
 - File space constricted by size of hole, so may later have to move to a bigger hole
 - If instead needed file space is overestimated -> internal fragmentation

How index file allocation method overcomes these problems:

Each file has an index block which contains all pointers to the allocated blocks. Directory entry contains the block number of the index block.

- Support random access.
- Dynamic storage allocation without external fragmentation (similar to the allocation of main memory using paging scheme).

(c)

FCFS

[(120-60)+(120-30)+(130-30)+(130-40)+(180-40)+(190-180)]*2+10*6=1040 ms

SSTF

Serving sequence: 40 30 120 130 180 190

Time = [(60-30)+(190-30)] * 2+ 10 *6 = 440 ms

SCAN

Serving sequence: 120 130 180 190 40 30 Time = [(199-60)+(199-30)] *2 +10*6 = 676 ms

Challenge Question (answer):

31 additional process will be created: f(5) create 1 new process, and called two f(4). each f(n) create 1 new process and call 2 f(n-1) ... total new process = 1+2+4+8+16.

32 done will be printed 31+1.

Total 94 lines will be printed. 32 done, 31 new pid and 31 of 0(child process will print 0 as pid =0)