

## 21. Global approximation of non-periodic functions on an interval

## Summary of the previous class

- Representing periodic functions as linear combinations of trigonometric basis functions
- Interpolation with trigonometric functions
- Orthogonality of the expansion
- Discrete Fourier transform
- Fast Fourier transform

## Goals for today

- Spectral convergence of the trapezoid rule for smooth, periodic functions
- Global approximation of functions on an interval
- Chebyshev polynomials

## Convergence rate for the trapezoid rule for integrating periodic functions

## Trapezoid rule for integrating periodic functions

- Problem set: convergence of the trapezoid rule for periodic functions was surprisingly fast. Why?

## Trapezoid rule for integrating periodic functions

- Problem set: convergence of the trapezoid rule for periodic functions was surprisingly fast. Why?
- Take  $f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k e^{i\pi k x}$

## Trapezoid rule for integrating periodic functions

- Problem set: convergence of the trapezoid rule for periodic functions was surprisingly fast. Why?
- Take  $f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k e^{i\pi k x}$
- The trapezoid rule with  $N$  nodes and  $h := \frac{2\pi}{N}$ :

$$\int f \simeq S_N := \frac{h}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(jh)$$

## Trapezoid rule for integrating periodic functions

- Problem set: convergence of the trapezoid rule for periodic functions was surprisingly fast. Why?
- Take  $f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k e^{i\pi x}$
- The trapezoid rule with  $N$  nodes and  $h := \frac{2\pi}{N}$ :

$$\int f \simeq S_N := \frac{h}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(jh)$$

$$S_N = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_k e^{ijkh}$$



## trapezoid rule II

■ So

$$S = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_k \hat{f}_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{ijk \frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

## trapezoid rule II

■ So

$$S = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_k \hat{f}_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{ijk \frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

■  $\sum_j = 0$  unless  $j$  is integer multiple of  $N$

## trapezoid rule II

- So

$$S = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_k \hat{f}_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{ijk \frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

- $\sum_j = 0$  unless  $j$  is integer multiple of  $N$
- So get  $S_N = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{kN}$

## trapezoid rule II

- So

$$S = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_k \hat{f}_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{ijk \frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

- $\sum_j = 0$  unless  $j$  is integer multiple of  $N$
- So get  $S_N = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{kN}$
- $I = \hat{f}_0$

## trapezoid rule II

- So

$$S = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_k \hat{f}_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{ij k \frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

- $\sum_j = 0$  unless  $j$  is integer multiple of  $N$
- So get  $S_N = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{kN}$
- $I = \hat{f}_0$
- So  $|I - S_N| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\hat{f}_{kN} + \hat{f}_{-kN})$

## trapezoid rule II

- So

$$S = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_k \hat{f}_k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{ijk \frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

- $\sum_j = 0$  unless  $j$  is integer multiple of  $N$
- So get  $S_N = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{kN}$
- $I = \hat{f}_0$
- So  $|I - S_N| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\hat{f}_{kN} + \hat{f}_{-kN})$
- So if  $\hat{f}_n$  decay exponentially fast then so does  $|I - S_N|$

# Global approximation of non-periodic functions

# Global approximation

- We can approximate a periodic function  $f$  globally using Fourier series
- And calculate the coefficients numerically



## Global approximation

- We can approximate a periodic function  $f$  globally using Fourier series
- And calculate the coefficients numerically
- We get **spectral** (exponential) convergence if  $f$  is analytic

# Global approximation

- We can approximate a periodic function  $f$  globally using Fourier series
- And calculate the coefficients numerically
- We get **spectral** (exponential) convergence if  $f$  is analytic
- Can we do this for **non-periodic** functions too?

# Global approximation

- We can approximate a periodic function  $f$  globally using Fourier series
  - And calculate the coefficients numerically
  - We get **spectral** (exponential) convergence if  $f$  is analytic
  - Can we do this for **non-periodic** functions too?
- 
- Take  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  - Other intervals via linear transformation

## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :

## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :
- We search for an expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n(x)$$

## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :
- We search for an expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n(x)$$

- The basis functions  $\phi_n$  must satisfy:

## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :
- We search for an expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n(x)$$

- The basis functions  $\phi_n$  must satisfy:
  - $\phi_n : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :
- We search for an expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n(x)$$

- The basis functions  $\phi_n$  must satisfy:
  - $\phi_n : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  - $(\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are mutually orthogonal and form a complete basis



## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :
- We search for an expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n(x)$$

- The basis functions  $\phi_n$  must satisfy:
  - $\phi_n : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  - $(\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are mutually orthogonal and form a complete basis
- What are suitable functions  $\phi_n$  on the interval  $[-1, +1]$ ?

## Global approximation on an interval

- Recall the setting for global approximation of a function  $f$ :
- We search for an expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \phi_n(x)$$

- The basis functions  $\phi_n$  must satisfy:
  - $\phi_n : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
  - $(\phi_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are mutually orthogonal and form a complete basis
- What are suitable functions  $\phi_n$  on the interval  $[-1, +1]$ ?
- Not sinusoids, since not periodic

# Collaboration I

## Making a periodic function

Suppose we are given a non-periodic function  
 $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1 How could we build a smooth, periodic function  $g$  out of  $f$ ?
- 2 How can we use that to approximate  $f$ ?

## Global approximation on an interval II

- Can we somehow use our knowledge of Fourier series?

## Global approximation on an interval II

- Can we somehow use our knowledge of Fourier series?
- Idea: Map  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  into a smooth **periodic** function

## Global approximation on an interval II

- Can we somehow use our knowledge of Fourier series?
- Idea: Map  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  into a smooth **periodic** function
- We cannot just periodise, since that will introduce discontinuities!

## Global approximation on an interval II

- Can we somehow use our knowledge of Fourier series?
- Idea: Map  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  into a smooth **periodic** function
- We cannot just periodise, since that will introduce discontinuities!
- Let  $z = e^{i\theta}$  be on the unit circle in the complex plane

## Global approximation on an interval II

- Can we somehow use our knowledge of Fourier series?
- Idea: Map  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  into a smooth **periodic** function
- We cannot just periodise, since that will introduce discontinuities!
- Let  $z = e^{i\theta}$  be on the unit circle in the complex plane
- Map to  $[-1, +1]$  by **projection** to  $x$ -axis:
- $x := \operatorname{Re}(z)$



## Global approximation on an interval II

- Can we somehow use our knowledge of Fourier series?
- Idea: Map  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  into a smooth **periodic** function
- We cannot just periodise, since that will introduce discontinuities!
- Let  $z = e^{i\theta}$  be on the unit circle in the complex plane
- Map to  $[-1, +1]$  by **projection** to  $x$ -axis:
- $x := \operatorname{Re}(z)$
- So  $x = \cos(\theta)$

## Global approximation on an interval III

- $x = \cos(\theta)$
- When  $\theta$  ranges over 0 to  $2\pi$   
 $x$  ranges over  $[-1, +1]$  – twice

## Global approximation on an interval III

- $x = \cos(\theta)$
- When  $\theta$  ranges over 0 to  $2\pi$   
 $x$  ranges over  $[-1, +1]$  – twice

- From  $f(x)$  we construct the function

$$g(\theta) := f(x) = f(\cos(\theta))$$

- $g$  is periodic!

## Global approximation on an interval III

- $x = \cos(\theta)$
- When  $\theta$  ranges over 0 to  $2\pi$   
 $x$  ranges over  $[-1, +1]$  – twice

- From  $f(x)$  we construct the function

$$g(\theta) := f(x) = f(\cos(\theta))$$

- $g$  is periodic!
- $g$  is also **even**:  $g(-\theta) = g(\theta)$

## Global approximation on an interval IV

- $g$  is periodic and even, so we can approximate it by a Fourier cosine series:

$$g(\theta) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_n g_n e^{in\theta} \right)$$

## Global approximation on an interval IV

- $g$  is periodic and even, so we can approximate it by a Fourier cosine series:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_n g_n e^{in\theta} \right) \\ &= \sum_n g_n \cos(n\theta) \end{aligned}$$

## Global approximation on an interval IV

- $g$  is periodic and even, so we can approximate it by a Fourier cosine series:

$$g(\theta) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_n g_n e^{in\theta} \right)$$

$$= \sum_n g_n \cos(n\theta)$$

$$f(x) = \sum_n g_n \phi_n(x)$$

- Where  $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n\theta(x)) = \cos(n \arccos(x))$

## Global approximation on an interval IV

- $g$  is periodic and even, so we can approximate it by a Fourier cosine series:

$$g(\theta) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_n g_n e^{in\theta} \right)$$

$$= \sum_n g_n \cos(n\theta)$$

$$f(x) = \sum_n g_n \phi_n(x)$$

- Where  $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n\theta(x)) = \cos(n \arccos(x))$
- These do not look like pleasant functions!



## Global approximation on an interval $V$

- What are the functions  $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$  ?

## Global approximation on an interval $V$

- What are the functions  $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$  ?
- Idea: Recall:  $e^{in\theta} = (e^{i\theta})^n$

## Global approximation on an interval V

- What are the functions  $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$  ?
- Idea: Recall:  $e^{in\theta} = (e^{i\theta})^n$
- Take the real part:

$$\cos(n\theta) = [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)]^n$$

## Global approximation on an interval V

- What are the functions  $\phi_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos(x))$  ?
- Idea: Recall:  $e^{in\theta} = (e^{i\theta})^n$
- Take the real part:

$$\cos(n\theta) = [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)]^n$$

- So we can express  $\cos(n\theta)$  in terms of  $\cos(\theta)$
- What is the relationship?

## Global approximation on an interval VI

■ Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

## Global approximation on an interval VI

■ Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

■ Recall:  $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

## Global approximation on an interval VI

- Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

- Recall:  $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

- So  $\cos(2\theta) = 2\cos(\theta)^2 - 1 = 2x^2 - 1$

## Global approximation on an interval VI

- Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

- Recall:  $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

- So  $\cos(2\theta) = 2\cos(\theta)^2 - 1 = 2x^2 - 1$

- In general:  $\cos(n\theta)$  is a *polynomial* in  $x$  !

- **Chebyshev polynomials** (of the first kind),  $T_n(x)$



## Global approximation on an interval VI

- Example:

$$\cos(2\theta) = \cos(\theta)^2 - \sin(\theta)^2$$

- Recall:  $\sin(\theta)^2 + \cos(\theta)^2 = 1$

- So  $\cos(2\theta) = 2\cos(\theta)^2 - 1 = 2x^2 - 1$

- In general:  $\cos(n\theta)$  is a *polynomial* in  $x$  !

- **Chebyshev polynomials** (of the first kind),  $T_n(x)$

- Reference: Trefethen, *Spectral Methods in MATLAB*, Chap.

# Chebyshev polynomials

- We have shown that a function  $f$  on  $[-1, +1]$  can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum f_n T_n(x)$$

- Where the  $T_n$  are Chebyshev polynomials

# Chebyshev polynomials

- We have shown that a function  $f$  on  $[-1, +1]$  can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum f_n T_n(x)$$

- Where the  $T_n$  are Chebyshev polynomials
- Completeness follows from the completeness of Fourier series

# Chebyshev polynomials

- We have shown that a function  $f$  on  $[-1, +1]$  can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum f_n T_n(x)$$

- Where the  $T_n$  are Chebyshev polynomials
- Completeness follows from the completeness of Fourier series
- Are they orthogonal?

## Collaboration II

### Finding orthogonality

Suppose  $\tilde{f}(\theta) := f(\cos(\theta))$  and  $\tilde{g}(\theta) := g(\cos(\theta))$  are orthogonal with respect to the standard inner product.

- 1 What can you say about  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ , where  $x := \cos(\theta)$ ?
- 2 Can you define a new inner product such that  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  become orthogonal with respect to that new inner product?

## Chebyshev polynomials II

- If we compute  $\int_{-1}^1 T_1(x) T_2(x) dx$  we do *not* get 0

## Chebyshev polynomials II

- If we compute  $\int_{-1}^1 T_1(x) T_2(x) dx$  we do *not* get 0
- But an inner product can include a **weight function**  $w$ :

$$(f, g) := \int f(x) g(x) w(x) dx$$

- $w$  must be a *positive* function

## Chebyshev polynomials III

- $T_n$  are orthogonal with respect to the weight function

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$



## Chebyshev polynomials III

- $T_n$  are orthogonal with respect to the weight function

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

- This factor comes from the change of variables  $x = \cos(\theta)$  in the integral:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\cos(\theta)) g(\cos(\theta)) d\theta = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) g(x) w(x) dx$$

## Chebyshev polynomials III

- $T_n$  are orthogonal with respect to the weight function

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

- This factor comes from the change of variables  $x = \cos(\theta)$  in the integral:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\cos(\theta)) g(\cos(\theta)) d\theta = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) g(x) w(x) dx$$

- This is a consequence of the fact that Chebyshev polynomials satisfy a differential equation of Sturm–Liouville type

# Chebyshev interpolation

- We still would need to evaluate nasty integrals to find the coefficients in the Chebyshev expansion
- In the periodic case we found an alternative solution:

# Chebyshev interpolation

- We still would need to evaluate nasty integrals to find the coefficients in the Chebyshev expansion
- In the periodic case we found an alternative solution:
- *Interpolation* using a finite linear combinations of basis functions

# Chebyshev interpolation

- We still would need to evaluate nasty integrals to find the coefficients in the Chebyshev expansion
- In the periodic case we found an alternative solution:
- *Interpolation* using a finite linear combinations of basis functions
- Can we do the same here?

## Chebyshev interpolation II

- We want to interpolate  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Interpolate using  $g_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \alpha_n T_n$

## Chebyshev interpolation II

- We want to interpolate  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Interpolate using  $g_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \alpha_n T_n$
- Since this is *polynomial* interpolation, we *must not* use equally-spaced nodes  $x_j$  !

## Chebyshev interpolation II

- We want to interpolate  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Interpolate using  $g_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \alpha_n T_n$
- Since this is *polynomial* interpolation, we *must not* use equally-spaced nodes  $x_j$  !
- We need to solve the following for  $\alpha_k$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_k T_k(t_j) = f_j := f(x_j)$$

- How should we choose the nodes  $x_j$ ?



## Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to the  $\arccos$  in expression for  $T_n$ , we choose

$$x_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right)$$

## Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to the  $\arccos$  in expression for  $T_n$ , we choose

$$x_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right)$$

- These are **Chebyshev nodes** or Chebyshev points

## Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to the `arccos` in expression for  $T_n$ , we choose

$$x_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right)$$

- These are **Chebyshev nodes** or Chebyshev points
- We get

$$\sum_k \alpha_k \cos(j k \pi / n) = f_j$$

## Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to the `arccos` in expression for  $T_n$ , we choose

$$x_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right)$$

- These are **Chebyshev nodes** or Chebyshev points

- We get

$$\sum_k \alpha_k \cos(j k \pi / n) = f_j$$

- This is a **Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT)**

## Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to the `arccos` in expression for  $T_n$ , we choose

$$x_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right)$$

- These are **Chebyshev nodes** or Chebyshev points

- We get

$$\sum_k \alpha_k \cos(j k \pi / n) = f_j$$

- This is a **Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT)**
- There is again a fast algorithm, the FCT; it needs only 1/4 as much work as the FFT, due to symmetry

## Chebyshev interpolation III

- Due to the `arccos` in expression for  $T_n$ , we choose

$$x_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right)$$

- These are **Chebyshev nodes** or Chebyshev points

- We get

$$\sum_k \alpha_k \cos(j k \pi / n) = f_j$$

- This is a **Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT)**
- There is again a fast algorithm, the FCT; it needs only 1/4 as much work as the FFT, due to symmetry
- Its complexity is still  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$

# Summary

- Spectral convergence of trapezoid rule
- By “transplanting” Fourier analysis, we found an orthogonal expansion of  $f : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Basis functions are **Chebyshev polynomials**
- They are orthogonal with respect to a particular inner product
- Chebyshev interpolation of  $N$  samples from a general non-periodic function uses the Discrete Cosine Transform
- The Fast Cosine Transform does this in  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$  operations