

Definitions of the models and expressions for the RGEs

In this analysis, we compute the Renormalization Group Equations (RGEs) for the Standard Model (SM) with added multiplets, according to the paper <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897>. We compute only the one-loop RGEs using the SARAH package <https://sarah.hepforge.org/> (or <https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/-/goodsell/sarah>). We use following convention of beta function: $\mu \frac{dX}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \beta[X]$.

From the SM, we have three gauge couplings g_1, g_2 and g_3 with the assumed GUT normalization for g_1 given by $g_1 \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} g_1$. In computations, we assume contributions only from the third generation for Yukawa couplings. For simplicity, we consider here the contribution only from the top-quark Yukawa coupling y_t .

For the implementation of our model in SARAH, we need to rewrite the model potential in tensor notation.

2-plet

For the 2-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \right)^2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_3 + \bar{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_4$$

where

$$\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_3 = H^{*i} H_i \chi^{*a} \chi_a$$
$$\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_4 = H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*j} \chi_i$$

The tensor notation for the 2-plet is:

$$\chi_1 = \mathbf{c}$$
$$\chi_2 = \mathbf{d}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 2-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

```
Out[ ]//TableForm=

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \lambda_1$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_2 \rightarrow \lambda_2$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_3 \rightarrow \lambda_3 - \frac{\lambda_4}{4}$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_4 \rightarrow \frac{\lambda_4}{2}$$

```

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

```
Out[ ]//TableForm=

$$\beta[y_t] == -\frac{1}{20} y_t \left( 17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2 \right)$$


$$\beta[g_1] == \frac{1}{10} \left( 41 + 4 Y^2 \right) g_1^3$$


$$\beta[g_2] == -3 g_2^3$$


$$\beta[g_3] == -7 g_3^3$$

```

β functions for the quartic couplings:

3-plet

For the 3-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \right)^2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_3 + \bar{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_4 + \bar{\lambda}_5 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_5$$

where

$$\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_3 = H^{*i} H_i \chi^{*ab} \chi_{ab}$$
$$\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_4 = H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*ja} \chi_{ia}$$
$$\left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_5 = \chi^{*ij} \chi_{ib} \chi^{*ab} \chi_{aj}$$

The tensor notation for the 3-plet is:

$$\chi_{11} = -\mathbf{d}/\sqrt{2}$$
$$\chi_{12} = \mathbf{c}$$
$$\chi_{21} = -\mathbf{e}$$
$$\chi_{22} = \mathbf{d}/\sqrt{2}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 3-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

```
Out[ ]//TableForm=

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \lambda_1$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_2 \rightarrow \lambda_2 + \frac{4 \lambda_5}{3}$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_3 \rightarrow \lambda_3 - \frac{\lambda_4}{2}$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_4 \rightarrow -\lambda_4$$


$$\bar{\lambda}_5 \rightarrow -\frac{2 \lambda_5}{3}$$

```

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[y_t] &= -\frac{1}{20} y_t \left(17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2\right) \\ \beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} \left(41 + 6 Y^2\right) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= -\frac{5 g_2^3}{2} \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the quartic couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27 g_1^4}{100} + \frac{9 g_2^4}{4} - 12 y_t^4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(g_2^2 - 2 \lambda_1\right) - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 12 y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 12 \lambda_1^2 + 6 \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + 18 g_2^4 + \frac{24}{5} g_2^2 \left(3 Y^2 g_1^2 - 5 \lambda_2\right) - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 + 14 \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2 + \frac{16 \lambda_2 \lambda_5}{3} + \frac{32 \lambda_6^2}{9} \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + 6 g_2^4 - \frac{33}{2} g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 8 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3\right) + 2 \lambda_4^2 + \frac{8 \lambda_3 \lambda_5}{3} \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(8 Y g_2^2 - \left(1 + 4 Y^2\right) \lambda_4\right) + \frac{1}{6} \lambda_4 \left(-99 g_2^2 + 4 \left(9 y_t^2 + 3 \lambda_1 + 3 \lambda_2 + 12 \lambda_3 - 4 \lambda_5\right)\right) \\ \beta[\lambda_5] &= 9 g_2^4 - \frac{3 \lambda_4^2}{2} + \frac{4}{5} \lambda_5 \left(-9 Y^2 g_1^2 + 15 \lambda_2 + 5 \lambda_5\right) - \frac{12}{5} g_2^2 \left(9 Y^2 g_1^2 + 10 \lambda_5\right)\end{aligned}$$

4-plet

For the 4-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi\right)^2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 + \bar{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 + \bar{\lambda}_5 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 &= H^{*i} H_i \chi^{*abc} \chi_{abc} \\ \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 &= H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*jab} \chi_{iab} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 &= \chi^{*ijk} \chi_{ijc} \chi^{*abc} \chi_{abk}\end{aligned}$$

The symmetric tensor notation for the 4-plet is:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{111} &= c \\ x_{112} &= d/\text{sqrt}(3) \\ x_{122} &= e/\text{sqrt}(3) \\ x_{222} &= f\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 4-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\lambda}_1 &\rightarrow \lambda_1 \\ \bar{\lambda}_2 &\rightarrow \lambda_2 + \frac{9 \lambda_5}{5} \\ \bar{\lambda}_3 &\rightarrow \lambda_3 - \frac{3 \lambda_4}{4} \\ \bar{\lambda}_4 &\rightarrow \frac{3 \lambda_4}{2} \\ \bar{\lambda}_5 &\rightarrow -\frac{9 \lambda_5}{10}\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[y_t] &= -\frac{1}{20} y_t \left(17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2\right) \\ \beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} \left(41 + 8 Y^2\right) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= -\frac{3 g_2^3}{2} \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the quartic couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27 g_1^4}{100} + \frac{9 g_2^4}{4} - 12 y_t^4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(g_2^2 - 2 \lambda_1\right) - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 12 y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 12 \lambda_1^2 + 8 \lambda_3^2 + \frac{5 \lambda_4^2}{2} \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + \frac{297 g_2^4}{4} + \frac{9}{5} g_2^2 \left(18 Y^2 g_1^2 - 25 \lambda_2\right) - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 + 16 \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + \frac{9 \lambda_4^2}{4} + \frac{72 \lambda_2 \lambda_5}{5} + \frac{342 \lambda_5^2}{25} \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + \frac{45 g_2^4}{4} - 27 g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 10 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3\right) + \frac{15 \lambda_4^2}{4} + \frac{36 \lambda_3 \lambda_5}{5} + \frac{3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}{10} \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= -27 g_2^2 \lambda_4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(8 Y g_2^2 - \left(1 + 4 Y^2\right) \lambda_4\right) + \frac{2}{5} \lambda_4 \left(15 y_t^2 + 5 \lambda_1 + 5 \lambda_2 + 20 \lambda_3 - 9 \lambda_5\right) \\ \beta[\lambda_5] &= -\frac{5 \lambda_4^2}{2} - 45 g_2^2 \lambda_5 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 + \frac{8 \lambda_5^2}{5} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \left(5 g_2^2 + \lambda_5\right)\end{aligned}$$

5-plet

For the 5-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi\right)^2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 + \bar{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 + \bar{\lambda}_5 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 + \bar{\lambda}_6 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_6$$

where

$$\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 = H^{*i} H_i \chi^{*abcd} \chi_{abcd}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 &= H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*jabc} \chi_{iabc} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 &= \chi^{*ijkl} \chi_{ijkd} \chi^{*abcd} \chi_{abcl} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_6 &= \chi^{*ijkl} \chi_{ijcd} \chi^{*abcd} \chi_{abkl}\end{aligned}$$

The symmetric tensor notation for the 5-plet is:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{1111} &= c \\ X_{1112} &= d/\text{sqrt}(4) \\ X_{1122} &= e/\text{sqrt}(6) \\ X_{1222} &= f/\text{sqrt}(4) \\ X_{2222} &= g\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 5-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

Out[]://TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\lambda}_1 &\rightarrow \lambda_1 \\ \bar{\lambda}_2 &\rightarrow \lambda_2 + \frac{8\lambda_5}{7} + \frac{4\lambda_6}{5} \\ \bar{\lambda}_3 &\rightarrow \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ \bar{\lambda}_4 &\rightarrow 2\lambda_4 \\ \bar{\lambda}_5 &\rightarrow \frac{8\lambda_5}{7} - \frac{8\lambda_6}{5} \\ \bar{\lambda}_6 &\rightarrow -\frac{12\lambda_5}{7} + \frac{6\lambda_6}{5}\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

Out[]://TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[Y_t] &= -\frac{1}{20} Y_t \left(17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 Y_t^2\right) \\ \beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} \left(41 + 10 Y^2\right) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= \frac{g_2^3}{6} \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the quartic couplings:

Out[]://TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27 g_1^4}{100} + \frac{9 g_2^4}{4} - 12 Y_t^4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(g_2^2 - 2 \lambda_1\right) - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 12 Y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 12 \lambda_1^2 + 10 \lambda_3^2 + 5 \lambda_4^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + 216 g_2^4 + \frac{72}{5} g_2^2 \left(4 Y^2 g_1^2 - 5 \lambda_2\right) - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 + 18 \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + 4 \lambda_4^2 + 16 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 + \frac{544 \lambda_5^2}{49} + \frac{16 \lambda_2 \lambda_6}{5} + \frac{128 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{35} + \frac{32 \lambda_5^2}{25} \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + 18 g_2^4 - \frac{81}{2} g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 Y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3\right) + 6 \lambda_4^2 + 8 \lambda_3 \lambda_5 + \frac{8 \lambda_3 \lambda_6}{5} \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= -\frac{81}{2} g_2^2 \lambda_4 + 6 Y_t^2 \lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + 8 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(8 Y g_2^2 - \left(1 + 4 Y^2\right) \lambda_4\right) - 4 \lambda_4 \lambda_5 - \frac{8 \lambda_4 \lambda_6}{5} \\ \beta[\lambda_5] &= -63 g_2^4 - \frac{7 \lambda_4^2}{2} - \frac{36}{5} g_2^2 \left(7 Y^2 g_1^2 + 10 \lambda_5\right) + \frac{4}{35} \lambda_5 \left(-63 Y^2 g_1^2 + 10 \lambda_5 + 45 \lambda_5 - 28 \lambda_6\right) \\ \beta[\lambda_6] &= 90 g_2^4 - 5 \lambda_4^2 - \frac{440 \lambda_5^2}{49} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_6 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_6 + \frac{32 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{7} + 4 \lambda_6^2 - 72 g_2^2 \left(Y^2 g_1^2 + \lambda_6\right)\end{aligned}$$

6-plet

For the 6-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi\right)^2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 + \bar{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 + \bar{\lambda}_5 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 + \bar{\lambda}_6 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_6$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 &= H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*abcde} \chi_{abcde} \\ \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 &= H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*jabcd} \chi_{iabcd} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 &= \chi^{*ijklm} \chi_{ijkle} \chi^{*abcde} \chi_{abcdm} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_6 &= \chi^{*ijklm} \chi_{ijkde} \chi^{*abcde} \chi_{abclm}\end{aligned}$$

The symmetric tensor notation for the 6-plet is:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{11111} &= c \\ X_{11112} &= d/\text{sqrt}(5) \\ X_{11122} &= e/\text{sqrt}(10) \\ X_{11222} &= f/\text{sqrt}(10) \\ X_{12222} &= g/\text{sqrt}(5) \\ X_{22222} &= h\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 6-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\lambda}_1 &\rightarrow \lambda_1 \\ \bar{\lambda}_2 &\rightarrow \lambda_2 + \frac{5 \lambda_5}{9} + \frac{10 \lambda_6}{7} \\ \bar{\lambda}_3 &\rightarrow \lambda_3 - \frac{5 \lambda_4}{4} \\ \bar{\lambda}_4 &\rightarrow \frac{5 \lambda_4}{2} \\ \bar{\lambda}_5 &\rightarrow \frac{35 \lambda_5}{18} - \frac{15 \lambda_6}{7} \\ \bar{\lambda}_6 &\rightarrow -\frac{20 \lambda_5}{9} + \frac{10 \lambda_6}{7}\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[y_t] &= -\frac{1}{20} y_t \left(17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2 \right) \\ \beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} \left(41 + 12 Y^2 \right) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= \frac{8 g_2^3}{3} \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the quartic couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27 g_1^4}{100} + \frac{9 g_2^4}{4} - 12 y_t^4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(g_2^2 - 2 \lambda_1 \right) - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 12 y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 12 \lambda_1^2 + 12 \lambda_3^2 + \frac{35 \lambda_4^2}{4} \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + \frac{2025 g_2^4}{4} + 15 g_2^2 \left(6 Y^2 g_1^2 - 7 \lambda_2 \right) - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 + 20 \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + \frac{25 \lambda_4^2}{4} + \frac{56 \lambda_2 \lambda_5}{3} + \frac{988 \lambda_6^2}{81} + 8 \lambda_2 \lambda_6 + \frac{56 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{9} + \frac{232 \lambda_6^2}{49} \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + \frac{105 g_2^4}{4} - 57 g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 14 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3 \right) + \frac{35 \lambda_4^2}{4} + \frac{28 \lambda_3 \lambda_5}{3} + 4 \lambda_3 \lambda_6 \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= -57 g_2^2 \lambda_4 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + 8 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(8 Y g_2^2 - \left(1 + 4 Y^2 \right) \lambda_4 \right) - \frac{44 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}{15} - \frac{124 \lambda_4 \lambda_6}{35} \\ \beta[\lambda_5] &= -216 g_2^4 - \frac{9 \lambda_4^2}{2} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_5 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 + \frac{326 \lambda_6^2}{75} - \frac{3}{5} g_2^2 \left(108 Y^2 g_1^2 + 175 \lambda_5 \right) - \frac{88 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{175} - \frac{2592 \lambda_6^2}{1225} \\ \beta[\lambda_6] &= 84 g_2^4 - 7 \lambda_4^2 - \frac{6188 \lambda_5^2}{2025} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_6 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_6 - \frac{436 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{225} + \frac{764 \lambda_6^2}{175} - \frac{21}{5} g_2^2 \left(24 Y^2 g_1^2 + 25 \lambda_6 \right)\end{aligned}$$

7-plet

For the 7-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \right)^2 + \bar{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_3 + \bar{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_4 + \bar{\lambda}_5 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_5 + \bar{\lambda}_6 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_6 + \bar{\lambda}_7 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_7$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_3 &= H^{*i} H_i \chi^{*abcdef} \chi_{abcdef} \\ \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_4 &= H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*jabcde} \chi_{iabcde} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_5 &= \chi^{*ijklmn} \chi_{ijklmf} \chi^{*abcdef} \chi_{abcden} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_6 &= \chi^{*ijklmn} \chi_{ijklef} \chi^{*abcdef} \chi_{abcdmn} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right)_7 &= \chi^{*ijklmn} \chi_{ijkdef} \chi^{*abcdef} \chi_{abclmn}\end{aligned}$$

The symmetric tensor notation for the 7-plet is:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{111111} &= c \\ X_{111112} &= d/\text{sqrt}(6) \\ X_{111122} &= e/\text{sqrt}(15) \\ X_{111222} &= f/\text{sqrt}(20) \\ X_{112222} &= g/\text{sqrt}(15) \\ X_{122222} &= h/\text{sqrt}(6) \\ X_{222222} &= i\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 7-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\lambda}_1 &\rightarrow \lambda_1 \\ \bar{\lambda}_2 &\rightarrow \lambda_2 + \frac{18 \lambda_5}{77} + \frac{25 \lambda_6}{21} + \frac{4 \lambda_7}{7} \\ \bar{\lambda}_3 &\rightarrow \lambda_3 - \frac{3 \lambda_4}{2} \\ \bar{\lambda}_4 &\rightarrow 3 \lambda_4 \\ \bar{\lambda}_5 &\rightarrow \frac{18 \lambda_5}{11} - \frac{12 \lambda_7}{7} \\ \bar{\lambda}_6 &\rightarrow \frac{45 \lambda_5}{77} - \frac{75 \lambda_6}{14} + \frac{30 \lambda_7}{7} \\ \bar{\lambda}_7 &\rightarrow -\frac{180 \lambda_5}{77} + \frac{100 \lambda_6}{21} - \frac{20 \lambda_7}{7}\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[y_t] &= -\frac{1}{20} y_t \left(17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2\right) \\ \beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} \left(41 + 14 Y^2\right) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= \frac{37 g_3^3}{6} \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the quartic couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27 g_1^4}{100} + \frac{9 g_2^4}{4} + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(g_2^2 - 2 \lambda_1\right) - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 2 \left(-6 y_t^4 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 6 \lambda_1^2 + 7 \left(\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2\right)\right) \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + 1026 g_2^4 + \frac{72}{5} g_2^2 \left(9 Y^2 g_1^2 - 10 \lambda_2\right) - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 + 22 \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + 9 \lambda_4^2 + \frac{144 \lambda_2 \lambda_5}{7} + \frac{77\,040 \lambda_5^2}{5929} + \frac{80 \lambda_2 \lambda_6}{7} + \frac{4040 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{539} + \frac{300 \lambda_6^2}{49} + \frac{16 \lambda_2 \lambda_7}{7} + \frac{288 \lambda_5 \lambda_7}{539} + \frac{400 \lambda_6 \lambda_7}{147} + \frac{32 \lambda_7^2}{49} \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + 36 g_2^4 - \frac{153}{2} g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 16 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3\right) + 12 \lambda_4^2 + \frac{72 \lambda_3 \lambda_5}{7} + \frac{40 \lambda_3 \lambda_6}{7} + \frac{8 \lambda_3 \lambda_7}{7} \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= -\frac{153}{2} g_2^2 \lambda_4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 \left(8 Y g_2^2 - \left(1 + 4 Y^2\right) \lambda_4\right) + \frac{2}{7} \lambda_4 \left(21 y_t^2 + 7 \lambda_1 + 7 \lambda_2 + 28 \lambda_3 - 6 \lambda_5 - 15 \lambda_6 - 4 \lambda_7\right) \\ \beta[\lambda_5] &= -495 g_2^4 - \frac{11 \lambda_4^2}{2} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_5 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 + \frac{1996 \lambda_5^2}{539} - \frac{36}{5} g_2^2 \left(11 Y^2 g_1^2 + 20 \lambda_5\right) - \frac{60 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{49} - \frac{220 \lambda_5^2}{441} + \frac{128 \lambda_5 \lambda_7}{49} - \frac{440 \lambda_6 \lambda_7}{147} \\ \beta[\lambda_6] &= -54 g_2^4 - 9 \lambda_4^2 - \frac{25\,056 \lambda_5^2}{5929} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_6 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_6 + \frac{2952 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{539} + \frac{230 \lambda_6^2}{147} - \frac{72}{5} g_2^2 \left(9 Y^2 g_1^2 + 10 \lambda_6\right) - \frac{1728 \lambda_5 \lambda_7}{539} - \frac{16 \lambda_6 \lambda_7}{49} \\ \beta[\lambda_7] &= 315 g_2^4 - \frac{21 \lambda_4^2}{2} + \frac{5472 \lambda_5^2}{847} - \frac{1420 \lambda_5 \lambda_6}{77} - \frac{10 \lambda_6^2}{21} - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_7 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_7 + \frac{432 \lambda_5 \lambda_7}{77} + \frac{40 \lambda_6 \lambda_7}{21} + 4 \lambda_7^2 - \frac{36}{5} g_2^2 \left(21 Y^2 g_1^2 + 20 \lambda_7\right)\end{aligned}$$

8-plet

For the 8-plet we use following quartic potential:

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lambda}_1 \left(H^\dagger H\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lambda}_2 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi\right)^2 + \overline{\lambda}_3 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 + \overline{\lambda}_4 \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 + \overline{\lambda}_5 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 + \overline{\lambda}_6 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_6 + \overline{\lambda}_7 \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_7$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_3 &= H^{*i} H_i \chi^{*abcdefg} X_{abcdefg} \\ \left(H^\dagger H \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_4 &= H^{*i} H_j \chi^{*jabcdef} X_{iabcdef} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_5 &= \chi^{*ijklmnr} X_{ijklmng} \chi^{*abcdefg} X_{abcdefr} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_6 &= \chi^{*ijklmnr} X_{ijklmfg} \chi^{*abcdefg} X_{abcdenr} \\ \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi\right)_7 &= \chi^{*ijklmnr} X_{ijklefg} \chi^{*abcdefg} X_{abcdmnr}\end{aligned}$$

The symmetric tensor notation for the 8-plet is:

$$\begin{aligned}X_{11111111} &= c \\ X_{11111112} &= d/\text{sqrt}(7) \\ X_{11111122} &= e/\text{sqrt}(21) \\ X_{11112222} &= f/\text{sqrt}(35) \\ X_{11122222} &= g/\text{sqrt}(35) \\ X_{11222222} &= h/\text{sqrt}(21) \\ X_{12222222} &= i/\text{sqrt}(7) \\ X_{22222222} &= j\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence between the notations in the potential above and 8-plet in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.07897> is established through:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\lambda}_1 &\rightarrow \lambda_1 \\ \overline{\lambda}_2 &\rightarrow \lambda_2 + \frac{7 \lambda_5}{78} + \frac{49 \lambda_6}{66} + \frac{7 \lambda_7}{6} \\ \overline{\lambda}_3 &\rightarrow \lambda_3 - \frac{7 \lambda_4}{4} \\ \overline{\lambda}_4 &\rightarrow \frac{7 \lambda_4}{2} \\ \overline{\lambda}_5 &\rightarrow \frac{161 \lambda_5}{156} + \frac{245 \lambda_6}{132} - \frac{35 \lambda_7}{12} \\ \overline{\lambda}_6 &\rightarrow \frac{119 \lambda_5}{52} - \frac{343 \lambda_6}{44} + \frac{21 \lambda_7}{4} \\ \overline{\lambda}_7 &\rightarrow -\frac{175 \lambda_5}{52} + \frac{245 \lambda_6}{44} - \frac{35 \lambda_7}{12}\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the Yukawa and gauge couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[y_t] &= -\frac{1}{20} y_t \left(17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2\right) \\ \beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} \left(41 + 16 Y^2\right) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= \frac{65 g_3^3}{6} \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the quartic couplings:

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27}{100} g_1^4 + \frac{9}{4} g_2^4 - 12 y_t^4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 (g_2^2 - 2 \lambda_1) - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 12 y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 12 \lambda_1^2 + 16 \lambda_3^2 + 21 \lambda_4^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + \frac{7497}{4} g_2^4 + \frac{63}{5} g_2^2 (14 Y^2 g_1^2 - 15 \lambda_2) - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 + 24 \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + \frac{49}{4} \lambda_4^2 + 22 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 + \frac{13775}{1014} \lambda_5^2 + 14 \lambda_2 \lambda_6 + \frac{1211}{143} \lambda_5 \lambda_6 + \frac{5047}{726} \lambda_6^2 + 6 \lambda_2 \lambda_7 + \frac{109}{117} \lambda_5 \lambda_7 + \frac{511}{99} \lambda_6 \lambda_7 + \frac{53}{18} \lambda_7^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + \frac{189}{4} g_2^4 - 99 g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 18 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 (\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3) + \frac{63}{4} \lambda_4^2 + 11 \lambda_3 \lambda_5 + 7 \lambda_3 \lambda_6 + 3 \lambda_3 \lambda_7 \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 (8 Y g_2^2 - (1 + 4 Y^2) \lambda_4) + \frac{1}{21} \lambda_4 (-2079 g_2^2 + 126 y_t^2 + 42 \lambda_1 + 42 \lambda_2 + 168 \lambda_3 - 11 \lambda_5 - 91 \lambda_6 - 59 \lambda_7) \\ \beta[\lambda_5] &= -936 g_2^4 - \frac{13}{2} \lambda_4^2 - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_5 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 + \frac{6428}{1911} \lambda_5^2 - \frac{9}{5} g_2^2 (52 Y^2 g_1^2 + 105 \lambda_5) - \frac{82}{33} \lambda_5 \lambda_6 - \frac{26}{121} \lambda_6^2 + \frac{2182}{441} \lambda_5 \lambda_7 - \frac{260}{99} \lambda_6 \lambda_7 - \frac{650}{441} \lambda_7^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_6] &= -396 g_2^4 - 11 \lambda_4^2 - \frac{19228}{3549} \lambda_5^2 - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_6 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_6 + \frac{1642}{273} \lambda_5 \lambda_6 + \frac{38}{11} \lambda_6^2 - \frac{9}{5} g_2^2 (88 Y^2 g_1^2 + 105 \lambda_6) - \frac{440}{819} \lambda_5 \lambda_7 - \frac{226}{63} \lambda_6 \lambda_7 - \frac{44}{63} \lambda_7^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_7] &= 324 g_2^4 - \frac{27}{2} \lambda_4^2 + \frac{77824}{24843} \lambda_5^2 - \frac{1888}{429} \lambda_5 \lambda_6 - \frac{1688}{363} \lambda_6^2 - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_7 + 12 \lambda_2 \lambda_7 - \frac{914}{1911} \lambda_5 \lambda_7 + \frac{34}{33} \lambda_6 \lambda_7 + \frac{214}{49} \lambda_7^2 - \frac{27}{5} g_2^2 (36 Y^2 g_1^2 + 35 \lambda_7)\end{aligned}$$

General case

There is also possible to write general RGEs for λ_{1-4} by assuming λ_5, λ_6 and λ_7 are equal zero for arbitrary hypercharge Y and isospin J .

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[\lambda_1] &= \frac{27}{100} g_1^4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 g_2^2 + \frac{9}{4} g_2^4 - 12 y_t^4 - \frac{9}{5} g_1^2 \lambda_1 - 9 g_2^2 \lambda_1 + 12 y_t^2 \lambda_1 + 12 \lambda_1^2 + (2 + 4 J) \lambda_3^2 + \frac{1}{6} J (1 + J) (1 + 2 J) \lambda_4^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_2] &= \frac{108}{25} Y^4 g_1^4 + \frac{72}{5} J^2 Y^2 g_1^2 g_2^2 + 6 (J^2 + 2 J^4) g_2^4 - \frac{36}{5} Y^2 g_1^2 \lambda_2 - 12 J (1 + J) g_2^2 \lambda_2 + (10 + 4 J) \lambda_2^2 + 4 \lambda_3^2 + J^2 \lambda_4^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_3] &= \frac{27}{25} Y^2 g_1^4 + 3 J (1 + J) g_2^4 - (\frac{9}{2} + 6 J (1 + J)) g_2^2 \lambda_3 + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_3 + 6 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + 4 (1 + J) \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + 4 \lambda_3^2 - \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 (\lambda_3 + 4 Y^2 \lambda_3) + J (1 + J) \lambda_4^2 \\ \beta[\lambda_4] &= -((\frac{9}{2} + 6 J (1 + J)) g_2^2 \lambda_4) + 6 y_t^2 \lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + 2 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + 8 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \frac{9}{10} g_1^2 (8 Y g_2^2 - (1 + 4 Y^2) \lambda_4)\end{aligned}$$

β functions for the Yukawa coupling y_t for all muliplets are the same

Out[]= $\beta[y_t] = -\frac{1}{20} y_t (17 g_1^2 + 45 g_2^2 + 160 g_3^2 - 90 y_t^2)$

β functions for the gauge couplings

Out[]//TableForm=

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[g_1] &= \frac{1}{10} (41 + 8 Y^2) g_1^3 \\ \beta[g_2] &= (-\frac{19}{6} + \frac{1}{9} J (1 + J) (1 + 2 J)) g_2^3 \\ \beta[g_3] &= -7 g_3^3\end{aligned}$$

Computing time

The computing time for RGEs increases exponentially with the size of the multiplet.

Figure 1: Computing time *versus* size of the multiplet in logarithmic scale. Computations were performed with a 13th Gen Intel i9-13900K CPU.

