## Supplementary material

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for control variables for the first wave (2016)

Label	Stats / Values	Freqs (% of Valid)	Valid	
Educational level	1. Less than Universitary	1242 (82.7%)	1501	
	2. Universitary	$259\ (17.3\%)$	(100.0%)	
Household income quintile (per capita)	1. Q1	323 (21.5%)	1501	
	2. Q2	291 (19.4%)	(100.0%)	
	3. Q3	290 (19.3%)		
	4. Q4	285 (19.0%)		
	5. Q5	$254\ (16.9\%)$		
	6. QNA	58 ( 3.9%)		
Sex	1. Male	535 (35.6%)	1501	
	2. Female	966 (64.4%)	(100.0%)	
Age	1. 18-29	219 (14.6%)	1501	
	2. 30-49	603 (40.2%)	(100.0%)	
	3. 50-64	477 (31.8%)		
	4. 65 or more	202 (13.5%)		
Subjective social status	Mean (sd): $4.3 (1.5)$	11 distinct values	1501	
	$\min < \max < \max$ :		(100.0%)	
	0 < 4 < 10			
	IQR (CV) : 2 (0.3)			
Political identification	1. Left	325 (21.7%)	1501	
	2. Center	320 (21.3%)	(100.0%)	
	3. Right	201 (13.4%)	, ,	
	4. Does not identify	655 (43.6%)		

Figure 1: Correlation matrix of the main variables for the first wave (2016)



Source: own elaboration with data from ELSOC 2016 (n = 1687)

Table 2: Complete longitudinal multilevel models for market justice preferences

Intercept	Model 0 2.010***	Model 1 1.938***	Model 2 1.948***	Model 3 1.965***	Model 4 1.967***	Model 5 1.974***	Model 6 1.186***	Model 7 1.250***
Wave (Ref.= 2016)	(0.017)	(0.023)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.087)	(0.124)	(0.144)
		-0.183***						
Wave 2017		(0.025)						
Wave 2018		-0.009 $(0.025)$						
Wave 2019		-0.009 $(0.025)$						
Wave 2022		0.300*** (0.025)						
Wave 2023		0.320*** (0.025)						
Wave			$-0.088^{***}$ (0.020)	$-0.095^{***}$ (0.020)	-0.096*** (0.020)	$-0.096^{***}$ (0.020)	$-0.096^{***}$ (0.020)	-0.096*** (0.020)
Wave <sup>2</sup>			0.024*** (0.003)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.025*** (0.003)	0.025*** (0.003)
Perception inequality (WE)				-0.027** $(0.009)$	-0.025** $(0.009)$	-0.025** $(0.009)$	-0.025** $(0.009)$	-0.025** $(0.009)$
Merit: Effort (WE)					0.070*** (0.011)	0.070*** (0.011)	0.070*** (0.011)	0.070*** (0.011)
Merit: Talent (WE)					$-0.027^*$ $(0.011)$	$-0.027^*$ $(0.011)$	-0.027* $(0.011)$	$-0.027^*$ $(0.011)$
Perception inequality (BE)						-0.002 $(0.023)$	0.043 (0.023)	0.008 (0.024)
Merit: Effort (BE)							0.206*** (0.041)	0.191*** (0.040)
Merit: Talent (BE)							0.036 (0.040)	0.021 (0.040)
Universitary education (Ref.= Less than Universitary)							()	0.003 (0.043)
Income quintile (Ref.= Quintile 1)								(0.010)
Quintile Q2								-0.004 $(0.051)$
Quintile Q3								0.078 (0.050)
Quintile Q4								0.115*
Quintile Q5								(0.051)
Quintile no information								(0.054)
Subjective social status								(0.077) -0.002
Political identification (Ref.= Left)								(0.011)
Center								0.111*
Right								(0.044) 0.334***
Does not identify								(0.052) 0.074
Female (Ref.= Male)								(0.041) $-0.095**$
Age (Ref.= 18-29)								(0.032)
Age 30-49								-0.014
Age 50-64								(0.044) $0.031$
Age 65 or more								(0.047) $0.068$
BIC	32681.306	32146.711	31406.958	31414.699	31404.308	31419.062	31366.239	(0.057) 31473.850
Numb. obs. Num. groups: individuals	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687
Var: individuals (Intercept)	0.203	0.205	0.370	0.366	0.363	0.364	0.336	0.326
Var: Residual Var: individuals, wave	0.449	0.416	0.345 $0.022$	0.345 $0.021$	0.343 $0.021$	0.343 $0.021$	0.343 $0.021$	0.343 $0.021$
Cov: individuals (Intercept), wave			-0.061	-0.060	-0.059	-0.059	-0.058	-0.05

Note: Cells contain regression cofficients with standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.01; \*p < 0.05. Source: own elaboration with pooled data from ELSOC 2016-2023 (N obs = 8643; N groups = 1687)

Table 3: Growth curves for meritocracy, perceived economic inequality and market justice preferences

	Model 12	Model 13	Model 14	Model 15	Model 16	Model 17
Intercept	1.263***	1.264***	1.246***	1.128***	1.099***	1.090***
	(0.143)	(0.141)	(0.142)	(0.176)	(0.169)	(0.170)
Wave x Perception inequality (WE)	0.003					
	(0.006)					
Wave x Merit: effort (WE)		0.012				
		(0.006)				
Wave x Merit: talent (WE)			0.007			
			(0.006)			
Wave x Perception inequality (BE)				-0.011		
				(0.009)		
Wave x Merit: effort (BE)					-0.020	
					(0.011)	
Wave x Merit: talent (BE)						-0.016
						(0.010)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BIC	31010.853	30888.601	30785.269	31515.978	31493.536	31501.405
Numb. obs.	8643	8643	8643	8643	8643	8643
Num. groups: individuals	1687	1687	1687	1687	1687	1687
Var: individuals (Intercept)	0.388	0.334	0.379	0.274	0.535	0.372
Var: individuals, perception inequality cwc	0.100					
Var: individuals, wave	0.025	0.023	0.024	0.021	0.021	0.021
Cov: individuals (Intercept), perception inequality cwc	-0.081					
Cov: individuals (Intercept), wave	-0.074	-0.062	-0.072	-0.054	-0.056	-0.049
Cov: individuals, perception inequality cwc, wave	0.021					
Var: Residuals	0.291	0.280	0.275	0.343	0.342	0.343
Var: individuals, merit effort cwc		0.122				
Cov: individuals (Intercept), merit effort cwc		-0.009				
Cov: individuals, merit effort cwc, wave		0.000				
Var: individuals, merit talent cwc			0.112			
Cov: individuals (Intercept), merit talent cwc			0.003			
Cov: individuals, merit talent cwc, wave			-0.003			
Var: individuals, perception inequality mean				0.000		
Cov: individuals (Intercept), perception inequality mean				0.007		
Cov: individuals, perception inequality mean, wave				-0.001		
Var: individuals, merit effort mean					0.051	
Cov: individuals (Intercept), merit effort mean					-0.110	
Cov: individuals, merit effort mean, wave					-0.001	
Var: individuals, merit talent mean						0.031
Cov: individuals (Intercept), merit talent mean						-0.053
Cov: individuals, merit talent mean, wave						-0.003

Note: Cells contain regression coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\* p < 0.05. CWC = centered within group.

Source: own elaboration with pooled data from ELSOC 2016-2023 (N obs = 8643; N groups = 1687)

Table 4: Interactions for meritocracy, perceived economic inequality and market justice preferences

	Model 18	Model 19	Model 20	Model 21	Model 22	Model 23
Intercept	1.104*** (0.146)	1.124*** (0.144)	1.150*** (0.144)	0.791** (0.244)	0.785*** (0.224)	0.989*** (0.231)
Wave 2017 x Perception inequality (WE)	-0.044 $(0.036)$					
Wave 2018 x Perception inequality (WE)	0.083* (0.034)					
Wave 2019 x Perception inequality (WE)	0.035 (0.034)					
Wave 2022 x Perception inequality (WE)	0.090* (0.036)					
Wave 2023 x Perception inequality (WE)	0.002 (0.036)					
Wave 2017 x Merit: effort (WE)	(0.030)	-0.087*				
Wave 2018 x Merit: effort (WE)		(0.036)				
Wave 2019 x Merit: effort (WE)		(0.036)				
Wave 2022 x Merit: effort (WE)		(0.037) -0.043				
Wave 2023 x Merit: effort (WE)		(0.038) 0.047				
Wave 2017 x Merit: talent (WE)		(0.038)	-0.157***			
Wave 2018 x Merit: talent (WE)			(0.035) -0.121****			
Wave 2019 x Merit: talent (WE)			(0.036) -0.031			
Wave 2022 x Merit: talent (WE)			(0.035) -0.133****			
Wave 2023 x Merit: talent (WE)			(0.036) $0.003$			
Wave 2017 x Perception inequality (BE)			(0.036)	-0.046		
Wave 2018 x Perception inequality (BE)				(0.034) $-0.016$		
Wave 2019 x Perception inequality (BE)				(0.035) $-0.007$		
Wave 2022 x Perception inequality (BE)				(0.040) -0.078		
Wave 2023 x Perception inequality (BE)				(0.045) -0.057		
Wave 2017 x Merit: effort (BE)				(0.049)	-0.082*	
Wave 2018 x Merit: effort (BE)					(0.039) $-0.040$	
Wave 2019 x Merit: effort (BE)					(0.041) $-0.055$	
Wave 2022 x Merit: effort (BE)					(0.045) $-0.119*$	
Wave 2023 x Merit: effort (BE)					(0.050) -0.119*	
Wave 2017 x Merit: talent (BE)					(0.056)	0.024
Wave 2018 x Merit: talent (BE)						(0.038) $-0.006$
Wave 2019 x Merit: talent (BE)						(0.040) $-0.064$
Wave 2022 x Merit: talent (BE)						(0.044) $-0.048$
Wave 2023 x Merit: talent (BE)						(0.050) $-0.058$
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	(0.055) Yes
BIC	30936.087	30829.408	30711.660	31474.882	31459.508	31462.070
Num. obs. Num. groups: idencuesta	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687	8643 1687
Var: idencuesta (Intercept) Var: idencuesta perc_inequality_cwc	0.388 0.101	0.343	0.388	0.277	0.122	0.405
Var. idencuesta ola_num	0.025	0.023	0.025	0.021	0.021	0.021
Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) perc_inequality_cwc Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) ola num	-0.079 -0.073	-0.063	-0.074	-0.054	-0.036	-0.050
Cov: idencuesta perc_inequality_cwc ola_num	0.020					
Var: Residual Var: idencuesta merit_effort_cwc	0.284	0.273 $0.123$	0.268	0.336	0.336	0.336
Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) merit_effort_cwc		-0.008				
Cov: idencuesta merit_effort_cwc ola_num Var: idencuesta merit_talent_cwc		0.001	0.111			
Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) merit_talent_cwc			0.000			
Cov: idencuesta merit_talent_cwc ola_num Var: idencuesta perc_inequality_mean			-0.002	0.000		
Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) perc_inequality_mean				0.007		
Cov: idencuesta perc_inequality_mean ola_num Var: idencuesta merit_effort_mean				-0.001	0.008	
Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) merit_effort_mean					0.030	
Cov: idencuesta merit_effort_mean ola_num Var: idencuesta merit_talent_mean					-0.009	0.034
Cov: idencuesta (Intercept) merit_talent_mean						-0.063
Cov: idencuesta merit_talent_mean ola_num						-0.003

Note: Cells contain regression coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.05. CWC = centered within group. Source: own elaboration with pooled data from ELSOC 2016-2023 (N obs = 8643; N groups = 1687)