



| Machine Problem No. 1 | | | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Topic: | Propositional Logic | Week No. | 2-3 |
| Course Code: | CSST101 | Term: | 1 st Semester |
| Course Title: | Advance Knowledge Representation and Reasoning | Academic Year: | 2025-2026 |
| Student Name | | Section | |
| Due date | | Points | |

University Logic Rules Application

I. Title

Assessment Task: Applying Propositional Logic in Real-World Scenarios through a Mini Expert System

II. Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs)

At the end of this activity, students should be able to:

1. Translate real-world conditions into propositional logic expressions.
2. Apply logical implication ($P \rightarrow Q$) to decision-making scenarios.
3. Develop a Python program that implements logic rules.
4. Record and analyze system results using CSV as a simple database.
5. Communicate findings through a short written report.

III. Instructions for Students

1. **Download or recreate the Mini Expert System** provided in class.
2. Run the program and test at least **3 different students** with different conditions for:
 - Attendance Rule
 - Grading Rule
 - Login System Rule
 - Bonus Points Rule
3. Verify that all results are logged in the **CSV file (logic_results.csv)**.
4. Extend the program by **adding one new rule of your own**. Examples:
 - Library borrowing (If ID is valid \rightarrow Allowed to borrow books).
 - Enrollment clearance (If fees are paid \rightarrow Enrollment confirmed).
 - Laboratory access (If safety gear is worn \rightarrow Access granted).



5. Submit the following:

- Source code (.py file).
- Generated **CSV file** with results from at least 3 students.
- A **short report (1–2 pages)** containing:
 - Explanation of rules tested.
 - Screenshots of program runs.
 - Description of the new rule you added.

IV. Assessment Criteria (Rubric)

| Criteria | Excellent (100%) | Proficient (85%) | Developing (70%) | Beginning (50%) |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Logic Translation | All rules correctly translated into propositional logic. | Minor errors in translation. | Some rules incorrectly expressed. | Little/no understanding of logic. |
| Program Implementation | Program runs smoothly with all rules implemented correctly. | Program runs with minor errors. | Program partially working. | Program does not run. |
| Extension Rule | Original and correctly implemented additional rule. | Additional rule included but minor errors. | Additional rule unclear/incomplete. | No additional rule added. |
| CSV Logging & Data Handling | All results properly logged and organized in CSV. | Most results logged correctly. | CSV incomplete or inconsistent. | No CSV output. |
| Report & Presentation | Clear, well-organized, with screenshots and explanations. | Clear but missing details. | Report incomplete or unclear. | No report submitted. |

V. Sample Assessment Questions (for Written Part)

1. Represent the following in propositional logic:
 - a. *"If a student is late, then they must bring an excuse letter."*
 - b. *"If a grade ≥ 75 , then the student passes."*
2. Why is the rule $P \rightarrow Q$ considered satisfied when **P is false**?
3. Examine the following CSV excerpt:

```
2025-09-04 14:33:15, Juan Dela Cruz, Grading Rule, Satisfied ✓  
2025-09-04 14:34:22, Ana Santos, Login Rule, Access denied ✗
```

- What does each row represent?
- Which logical implication failed and why?