# The Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan Construction

September 19, 2023

# Topological free modules

Let  $K=\mathbb{C}[[h]]$  be the algebra of formal power series in h over  $\mathbb{C}.$  Take some constant p>1 we have the h-adic norm

$$|a_n h^n + a_{n+1} h^{n+1} + \dots| = p^{-n}$$

which induces the h-adic topology on K in the usual way. Given a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space V we may define V[[h]] to be topological  $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module

$$V[[h]] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i h^i : v_i \in V \right\}$$

with a similar h-adic norm.

## Definition

A **topologically free** module is a K-module of the form V[[h]] for some  $\mathbb C$ -vector space V.

Note that all topologically free modules are complete with respect to the h-adic norm.

# Topological free modules

Morphisms of topologically free modules are simply  $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -module maps. These maps are naturally continuous:

#### Lemma

As usual, we have the identification  $V[[h]] = \varprojlim V[[h]]/h^nV[[h]]$ .

We now have a problem: the usual tensor product of finite-dimensional modules no longer works properly. For instance, if V is infinite dimensional  $V[[h]] \not\cong V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K$ ;  $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[y]] \not\cong \mathbb{C}[[x,y]]$  (not the h-adic topology).

### **Definition**

The **topological tensor product** of two  $K\mbox{-}\mathrm{modules}\ M,N$  is defined to be the  $h\mbox{-}\mathrm{adic}$  completion

$$M \hat{\otimes} N = \underline{\lim} (M \otimes_K N) / h^n (M \otimes_K N)$$

Note that  $V[[h]] \hat{\otimes} W[[h]] = (V \otimes W)[[h]]$ . So the topological tensor product of two topologically free modules is topologically free.

# Deformation algebras

### **Definition**

A deformation (Hopf, bi-) algebra over K is a topologically free K-module A with the required maps defined on topological tensors products.

Given some  $a \in A$ , a formal power series

$$f(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_i a^n h^n$$

defines an element in A, regarded as an inverse limit. Therefore it makes sense to talk about  $e^h$  and  $e^{ha}$  for any  $a \in A$ .

#### Observation

The quotient A/hA is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space which also gains a usual (Hopf, bi-) algebra structure from the maps on the topological algebra A restricted to A/hA. If  $A_0 \cong A/hA$ , we say A is a **deformation of**  $A_0$ .

# $\it h$ -formal groups

#### Definition

A **formal group** is a topological Hopf algebra isomorphic to  $U(\mathfrak{g})^*$  for some Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . An h-**formal group** is a deformation Hopf algebra H such that H/hH is a formal group.

Let  $A^\circ=\operatorname{Hom}_K(A,K)$  be the algebra of K-linear maps on A. We define the dual algebra of an h-formal group H to be the space of continuous K-linear maps  $H\to K.$ 

## Proposition

Let A be a QUEA. Then  $A^{\circ}$  where  $K = \mathbb{C}[[h]]$  is an h-formal group. Conversely, the dual algebra of an h-formal group H is a QUEA.

#### Lemma

The dual  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\circ}$  is isomorphic to  $SL_h(2)$  as deformation Hopf algebras.

## The FRT construction II

Now consider  $GL_n, SL_n$  as algebraic groups. We may still define the completion

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{\circ} = \varprojlim \Gamma(SL_n)/J^i, U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^{\circ} = \varprojlim \Gamma(GL_n)/J^i$$

where J is the kernel of  $\varepsilon$ .

### Theorem (FRT deformation version)

Let W be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, and  $R \in (\operatorname{End}(W) \otimes \operatorname{End}(W))[[h]]$  an R-matrix such that  $R \equiv \operatorname{id} \pmod h$  satisfying the Hecke relation:

$$(\sigma \circ R - p)(\sigma \circ R + q) = 0$$

for  $p,q\equiv 1\pmod h$ . Define the  $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$ -algebra H(R) to be completion of the algebra  $H_0$  generated by  $T_i^j$  and similar relations defined by R. Then H(R) is an h-formal group and  $H(R)/hH(R)\cong U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)^\circ$ . Moreover, there is a universal r-form r induces R on the H(R)-comodule W[[h]].

## Comments and Example I

In the last slide, by completion we mean the h-adic completion of  $\varprojlim H_0/(\ker \varepsilon)^i$ . The Hecke relation ensures the deformation is flat, or in some sense satisfies the *Ore condition* that governs localizations. Define the R-matrix

$$R = e^h \sum_{i \neq j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + (e^h - e^{-h}) \sum_{i < j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}$$

*Exercise:* Compute the case n=2. Show that it satisfies the Hecke relation.

## Example I

Define the *q*-determinant

$$\det_q = \sum_{\gamma \in S_n} (-e^{-h})^{|\gamma|} T^1_{\gamma(1)} \cdots T^n_{\gamma(n)}$$

where  $|\gamma|$  is the length of the permutation. If R satisfies the Hecke-relation, then we can localize H(R) at  $\det_q$  to get  $H(R)_{\det_q}$ .

### Proposition

Let I be the two-sided ideal in  $H(R)_{\det_q}$  generated by  $\det_q^{-1}$ . Then

$$H(R)_{\det_q}/I \cong U_h(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{\circ}$$

The case n=2 is precisely what we did in the previous slides (although we need to rearrange the R-matrix).

# Properties of topological free modules

### Proposition

Let V be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space and  $\{e_i\}$  a basis of V.

- The K-module generated by  $\{e_i\}$  is dense in V[[h]].
- lacktriangle For any separated, completed K-module M, we have a bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_K(V[[h]], M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, M)$$

### Proof.

For the first part, show that if  $f=\sum v_nh^n$  we may define

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} v_k h^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^r a_{ik} e_i h^k = \sum_{i=0}^r \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{ik} h^k \right) e_i \in W$$

and that  $|f-f_n|_h=p^{-n}$ . For the second part, given a map  $g:V\to M$  define  $g_n=\sum g:V[[h]]/h^nV[[h]]\to M/h^nM$  and take the completion.

# Quantum universal enveloping algebras (again)

### Definition

A quantum universal enveloping algebra is a deformation algebra Asuch that  $A/hA \cong U(\mathfrak{g})$  for some Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Define 
$$U_h(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$$
 as the deformation algebra generated by  $E,F,H$  (for  $q=e^h$ ) such that  $\varepsilon(E)=\varepsilon(F)=\varepsilon(H)=0$ , 
$$[E,F]=\frac{q^H-q^{-H}}{q-q^{-1}}, [H,E]=2E, [H,F]=-2F$$

with 
$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes q^H + 1 \otimes E$$
,  $\Delta(F) = F \otimes 1 + q^{-H} \otimes F$ ,  $\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H$ ;  $S(E) = -Eq^H$ ,  $S(F) = q^H F$ ,  $S(H) = -H$ .

$$\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H; \ S(E) = -Eq^H, \ S(F) = q^H F, \ S(H) = -H.$$

## Lemma

The deformation algebra  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is a QUEA:  $U_h(\mathfrak{sl}_2)/hU_h(\mathfrak{sl}_2)=U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

### Proof.

The proof is rather easy. The only thing you need is the power series of

# Quantum universal enveloping algebras

It is also possible to deform  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  for a semisimple Lie algebra (or a Kac-Moody algebra in general).

#### Definition

The **Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups** are the h-deformations of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by elements behaving like  $E_i, F_i, H_i$ , and some Serre relations.

$$[H_i, H_j] = 0,$$
  $[H_j, E_i] = a_{ij}E_i,$   $[H_j, F_i] = -a_{ij}F_i,$  (9.2)

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{d_i h H_i} - q^{-d_i h H_i}}{q^{d_i h} - q^{-d_i h}}, \tag{9.3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_i}} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} E_j E_i^k = 0, \quad (9.4)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_i}} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} F_j F_i^k = 0, \tag{9.5}$$

(from Etingof & Schiffmann)

# Structures on QUEA

As K-modules, we always have  $A\cong U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]]$  and  $A^{\hat{\otimes} n}\cong (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n})[[h]].$  By the previous proposition, the maps  $\mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon$  are all determined by their restrictions to  $U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , etc., which are maps of the form

$$\mu(a\otimes b) = \sum \mu_n(a\otimes b)h^n$$

for any  $a,b\in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\mu_n:U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes U(\mathfrak{g})\to U(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mu_0$  the multiplication in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Furthermore, the universal R-matrix on A is given by (consider the first line of this slide)

$$R = \sum R_n h^n, \quad R_n \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$$

such that  $R_0=1\otimes 1$ . Now if we write  $R=1\otimes 1+rh+O(h^2)$ , we may define

### **Definition**

The quasiclassical limit of R is the matrix  $r=R_1\in A\otimes A$ . And R is a quantization of r (from now r no longer means a form).

# More about quantization

#### Lemma

Let  $R \in (A \otimes A)[[h]]$  be a solution to the QYBE for some associative algebra A such that  $R_0 = 1 \otimes 1$ . Then the quasiclassical limit of R is a solution to the classical YBE:

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0$$

Write  $R_{21} = \sigma(R)$ . Define  $t \in A \otimes A$  to be the quasiclassical limit of  $RR_{21}$ . Then easily we see that  $t = r + r_{21}$  and thus t is symmetric.

## Proposition

Let (H,R) be a quasitriangular quantum universal enveloping algebra quantizing  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and r be the quasiclassical limit of R. Then canonical 2-tensor  $t=r+r_{21}\in (S^2(\mathfrak{g}))^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in the sense that (the commutator!)

$$[\Delta(x), t] = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$$

# More about quantization

To understand the theorem, we will need the vague idea of a **Lie** bialgebra, a Lie algebra with a map  $\delta: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\delta([X,Y]) = (\operatorname{ad}_X \otimes \operatorname{id} + \operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{ad}_X)\delta(Y) - (\operatorname{ad}_Y \otimes \operatorname{id} + \operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{ad}_Y)\delta(X)$$

If H is a quantization of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  then we may define on  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

$$\delta(a) = \frac{\Delta(\tilde{a}) - \sigma(\Delta(\tilde{a}))}{h} \pmod{h}$$

where  $\tilde{a}$  is any lift of a to H. Fact:  $\delta$  turns  $\mathfrak{g}$  into a Lie bialgebra. Step 0: Use the Hexagon relations to show that  $(\Delta_0 \otimes \mathrm{id})(r) = r_{13} + r_{23}$  and  $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_0)(r) = r_{12} + r_{13}$ . Deduce that  $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and  $t \in S^2(\mathfrak{g})$ . It remains to see that  $t = r + r_{21}$  is invariant.

Step 1: Show that if R is a universal R-matrix of H and r its quasiclassical limit, then  $\delta(a) = \partial r \coloneqq [\Delta(a), r]$ .

Step 2: Use Step 1 and the skew-symmetric construction of  $\delta$ , show that  $[\Delta(x),t]=0$ .

## Example II

Let  $\mathfrak g$  be the Heisenberg Lie algebra generated by x,y,z such that  $[x,y]=z,\ [x,z]=[y,z]=0.$  Then  $t=z\otimes z\in (S^2(\mathfrak g))^{\mathfrak g}$  since z sits inside the center of  $\mathfrak g$ . Let H be the bialgebra  $U(\mathfrak g)[[h]]$  and  $R=e^{ht/2}$ . Then

## Proposition

The algebra (H,R) is a quantum universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak g$  whose canonical 2-tensor quantizes t.

Proof:

# Example II

# Example II