## FACT SHEET

ABOUT THE GENDER BIAS ON WIKIPEDIA (AND BEYOND) Equality = representation in knowledge

One of the biggest source of knowledge today is Wikipedia, the online free and collaborative encyclopedia, which is one of the top 7 most visited websites in the world, with more than seven billion visits per month.

→ "Alexa Top 500 Global Sites", New Statesman, 26.05.2015 In other terms, Wikipedia has a

## HUGE IMPACT


on how we see the world.

But since 2009, the Wikimedia Foundation (WMF) has made surveys on Wikipedia's users which gave alarming results:

9 to 16 % of Wikipedia's contributors only identify as women.

→ Wikimedia Survey, 2011

This situation means that the "encyclopedia that anyone can edit" is in fact mainly edited by the same group of people.




"The main thing is to bring in people of all different backgrounds. If you do that, you increase the knowledge base of the site, which can only be a good thing" Jimmy Wales, The Independent, 2001


"We've completely failed" Jimmy Wales, BBC News. 2014 "Everyone brings their crumb of information at the table. If they are not at the table, we don't benefit from their crumb." Sue Gardner, executive director WMF



The three levels of who writes, what is written and how the knowledge is represented are related.



This has an impact on the contents of the encyclopedia



Noam Cohen, New York Times, 2011 "The marginalisation becomes circular and self-perpetuating"

> women are badly or not at all represented in history books and then on Wikipedia

women are not represented in women are less likely to identify to some positions

Jenny Kleeman, The New Statesman, 2015 This is not only true for Wikipedia, it is part of a long history of writing:

newspapers, history books, commercials, everyday communications,

•••

that continues today.

75.8 percent of history books published for general readers in 2015 are written by male authors, and subjects were 71.7 percent of the biographies are about male figures.

→ Andrew Kahn and Rebecca Onion, Slate, 2016 Wikipedia is often seen as:

collaborative horizontal neutral But these words shouldn't hide the fact that there are power structures in Wikipedia (on top of those we inherit from the society, eduction, ...)

stewards admins

more power (block users, delete pages, etc)

See Jo Freeman, The Tyranny of Structurelessness, 1972

Of course surveys too can be biased: the WMF 2011 survey says that

"just 4% of women editors in the sample said that they had been stalked online".

Using the word "just"
when talking about
stalking (when it is known
that most people who are
victims of harrassement
don't dare to say it) is
very reductive.

Everyone can be part of solving this problem!

Think about it when you write, when you communicate about any subject, use writing guides ref guides

ask for more information ref info?

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

"Alexa Top 500 Global Sites". Alexa Internet. Retrieved September 4, 2016. Wikipedia seeks women to balance its 'geeky' editors, Kevin Rawlinson, The Independent, 08.08.2001

The Wikipedia wars: does it matter if our biggest source of knowledge is written by men?, Jenny Kleeman, The New Statesman, 26.05.2015 Wikipedia 'completely failed' to fix gender imbalance, BBC News, 08.08.2014 IS History Written About Men, by Men?, Andrew Kahn and Rebecca Onion, Slate, 06.01.2016

a communication by

JUST FOR THE RECORD

with the support of the Institute of Equality of Women and Men



INSTITUTE
FOR THE EQUALITY
OF WOMEN