Table of Contents

PROBLEM 1
Part A
Part B
Part C
PROBLEM 2
Part A - Infinity Norm
Part B - L1 Norm
Part C
Part D
PROBLEM 3
PROBLEM 4
PROBLEM 5
<pre>% Author: Justice Amoh % Description: ENGS 104 - Optimization: Assignment 2 % Date: 10/26/2015</pre>

PROBLEM 1

Linear program in augmented form: $min_xc'*x$ such that $a*x=b, x\geq 0$.

Part A

Which feasible solution has the largest value for x_{23} ? $\max(f(x)) = -\min(-f(x))$

```
f = zeros(size(c));
f(23) = -1;

Aeq = a;
beq = b;
lb = 0;
[x,fval] = linprog(f,[],[],Aeq,beq,lb);
```

Part B

Is there a basic feasible solution involving x_4, x_{12}, x_{23} ? Set x_4, x_{12}, x_{23} to 1, all other unknowns to zero and run LP

```
f = zeros(size(c));
f(4)=1; f(12) = 1; f(23) = 1;
Aeq = a;
```

```
beq = b;
lb = 0;
[x,fval] = linprog(f,[],[],Aeq,beq,lb);

% *Answer* : Yeah, there is a feasible solution involving just $x_{4},
  x_{12}, x_{23}$
```

Part C

```
f = c;
Aeq = a;
beq = b;
1b = 0;
[x,fval] = linprog(f,[],[],Aeq,beq,lb);
Warning: Length of lower bounds is < length(x); filling in missing
 lower bounds
with -Inf.
Exiting: One or more of the residuals, duality gap, or total relative
 has stalled:
         the dual appears to be infeasible (and the primal unbounded).
         (The primal residual < TolFun=1.00e-08.)
Warning: Length of lower bounds is < length(x); filling in missing
 lower bounds
with -Inf.
Exiting: One or more of the residuals, duality gap, or total relative
 error
 has stalled:
         the dual appears to be infeasible (and the primal unbounded).
         (The primal residual < TolFun=1.00e-08.)
Warning: Length of lower bounds is < length(x); filling in missing
 lower bounds
with -Inf.
Exiting: One or more of the residuals, duality gap, or total relative
 error
 has stalled:
         the dual appears to be infeasible (and the primal unbounded).
         (The primal residual < TolFun=1.00e-08.)
```

PROBLEM 2

Part A - Infinity Norm

```
Question: \min_y \|y*a-c\|_{\infty} aa = a'; bb = c';
```

Part B - L1 Norm

Part C

```
Question: \min_z \|z*a-c\|_2
A = a';
B = c';
z = A' * ((A*A') \setminus B);
fval = norm((A*z)-B,2);
fprintf('L2 Norm - Minimum value is: %.2f \n',fval);
```

Part D

```
[w,fval] = linprog(f,Aineq,bineq,[],[]);
fprintf('L1 + Infinity Norm - Minimum value is: %.2f \n',fval)

Optimization terminated.
Linfty Norm - Minimum value is: 4.18
Optimization terminated.
L1 Norm - Minimum value is: 208.14
Warning: Matrix is close to singular or badly scaled. Results may be inaccurate. RCOND = 1.400618e-19.
L2 Norm - Minimum value is: 299.20
Optimization terminated.
L1 + Infinity Norm - Minimum value is: 215.48
```

PROBLEM 3

Question Solve max-flow problem - Primal

```
Aeq = [1 -1 0 -1 0 0 0 0; ...]
         0 0 0 -1 1 -1 0; ...
         1 -1 0
                  1
                     0 0 0; ...
         0 0 1 0 0 1 -1 ];
[n,m] = size(Aeq);
beg = zeros(n,1);
A = eye(m);
b = [13 5 6 1 7 12 1 4]';
f = zeros(1,m); f(1)=-1; f(6)=-1;
lb = 0;
[x_primal, fval] = linprog(f,A,b,Aeq,beq,lb);
Warning: Length of lower bounds is < length(x); filling in missing
 lower bounds
with -Inf.
Optimization terminated.
```

PROBLEM 4

Question Max-flow problem - Dual

```
lb = 0;
% [x_dual, fval] = linprog(b,[],[],Aeq,c,lb);
```

PROBLEM 5

```
Equality Constraints a = @(x) x(1) + x(2) + x(3) - 30; b = @(x) x(1)^2 + 2x(2)^4 + 3x(3)^2 - 2; c = @(x) 2x(1) + 40)^2 + (x(2) - 30)^2 + (x(3) + 20)^4 - 1;
```

```
a = [1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0];
b = [0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0];
c = [0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1];

gfun = @p5con;

f = @(x) norm(a-b,2).^2 + norm(c-b,2).^2 + norm(a-c,2).^2;

x0 = [-2 1 0 1 4 1 1 2 3];
options = optimoptions('fmincon', 'Algorithm', 'interior-point', 'Display', 'iter');
[x,fval] = fmincon(f,x0,[],[],[],[],[],[],gfun,options);
```

				First-order	Norm of
Iter	F-count	f(x)	Feasibility	optimality	step
0	10	1.800000e+01	2.840e+05	0.000e+00	
1	20	1.800000e+01	9.095e+04	1.033e+01	1.885e+01
2	30	1.800000e+01	2.990e+04	2.003e+00	4.559e+00
3	40	1.800000e+01	1.067e+04	8.717e-01	3.720e+00
4	50	1.800000e+01	4.903e+03	3.278e-01	3.685e+00
5	60	1.800000e+01	3.930e+03	6.854e-01	7.812e+00
6	72	1.800000e+01	2.444e+03	7.801e+00	1.129e+01
7	82	1.800000e+01	7.284e+02	1.018e+00	1.739e+01
8	92	1.800000e+01	2.387e+02	3.785e-01	1.119e+01
9	102	1.800000e+01	1.882e+02	1.224e+00	9.942e+00
10	112	1.800000e+01	1.265e+02	9.893e-01	5.935e+00
11	122	1.800000e+01	8.233e+01	1.846e+00	7.519e+00
12	132	1.800000e+01	2.387e+01	7.655e-01	3.713e+00
13	142	1.800000e+01	6.621e+00	3.128e-01	2.023e+00
14	15 <i>2</i>	1.800000e+01	1.774e+00	1.417e-01	1.176e+00
15	162	1.800000e+01	4.231e-01	6.045e-02	5.907e-01
16	172	1.800000e+01	6.230e-02	1.820e-02	2.283e-01
17	182	1.800000e+01	2.305e-03	1.418e-03	4.393e-02
18	192	1.800000e+01	3.627e-06	1.219e-05	1.744e-03
19	202	1.800000e+01	7.693e-12	3.860e-09	2.752e-06

Local minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is nondecreasing in

feasible directions, to within the default value of the function tolerance,

and constraints are satisfied to within the default value of the constraint tolerance.

