

Upstreaming 200

Advanced



Who Am I? Why Listen To Me?

- Broadcom LT Technical Lead
 - -Upstreaming BCM281xx SoC
 - Mentoring others on upstreaming
- Upstream kernel experience
 - -15 years kernel experience
 - Kernel developer at Motorola, MontaVista, Embedded Alley, TI, and Linaro.
 - Developed and maintained PowerPC VME/cPCI/4xx, RapidIO, and SigmaTel ALSA HDA codecs in the mainline kernel.
 - Upstream driver work for TI AM335x and BCM281xx ARM SoCs.

Subject Lines Understanding SubmittingPatches

For these reasons, the "summary" must be no more than 70-75 characters, and it must describe both what the patch changes, as well as why the patch might be necessary. It is challenging to be both succinct and descriptive, but that is what a well-written summary should do.

The "summary phrase" may be prefixed by tags enclosed in square brackets: "Subject: [PATCH tag] <summary phrase>". The tags are not considered part of the summary phrase, but describe how the patch should be treated. Common tags might include a version descriptor if the multiple versions of the patch have been sent out in response to comments (i.e., "v1, v2, v3"), or "RFC" to indicate a request for comments. If there are four patches in a patch series the individual patches may be numbered like this: 1/4, 2/4, 3/4, 4/4. This assures that developers understand the order in which the patches should be applied and that they have reviewed or applied all of the patches in the patch series.

Commit Messages Understanding SubmittingPatches

The explanation body will be committed to the permanent source changelog, so should make sense to a competent reader who has long since forgotten the immediate details of the discussion that might have led to this patch. Including symptoms of the failure which the patch addresses (kernel log messages, oops messages, etc.) is especially useful for people who might be searching the commit logs looking for the applicable patch. If a patch fixes a compile failure, it may not be necessary to include _all_ of the compile failures; just enough that it is likely that someone searching for the patch can find it. As in the "summary phrase", it is important to be both succinct as well as descriptive.

Commit Messages Advice on Style

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] panic: improve panic_timeout calculation

From: Ingo Molnar <mingo@xxxxxxxxxxx</pre>

Date: Mon, 11 Nov 2013 12:32:18 +0100

Felipe Contreras <felipe.contreras@xxxxxxxxx wrote:

- > We want to calculate the blinks per second, and instead of making it 5
- > (1000 / (3600 / 18)), let's make it 4, so the user can see two blinks
- > per second.

Please use the customary changelog style we use in the kernel:

" Current code does (A), this has a problem when (B).
We can improve this doing (C), because (D)."

Summary and Commit Messages Why is this good?

Subject: [PATCH] ARM: dts: imx6qdl-sabresd: SDHC ports are 8 bit-wide

From: Fabio Estevam fabio.estevam at freescale.com

Date: Tue Sep 17 12:46:23 EDT 2013

On imx6qdl-sabresd the SDHC2 and SDHC3 are 8 bit-wide, so pass the bus-width property to reflect that.

Otherwise the mmc driver will operate with the default bus-width value of 4.

Signed-off-by: Fabio Estevam <fabio.estevam at freescale.com>
--arch/arm/boot/dts/imx6qdl-sabresd.dtsi | 2 ++
1 file changed, 2 insertions(+)

Responding to comments: Incorporating a change

```
Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/5] ARM: tegra: Add host1x, dc and hdmi to Tegra114
device tree
On 08/28/2013 03:25 PM, Thierry Reding wrote:
> * PGP Signed by an unknown key
>
> On Wed, Aug 28, 2013 at 01:40:58PM +0300, Mikko Perttunen wrote:
>> Add host1x, dc (display controller) and hdmi devices to Tegra114
>> device tree.
>
> "DC" and "HDMI".
Will fix.
>
```

Responding to comments: Ask for clarification

```
Subject: Re: [PATCH v9 2/4] Documentation: Add documentation for APM X-Gene SoC SATA host controller DTS binding

From: Loc Ho <1ho@xxxxxxx>

Date: Wed, 15 Jan 2014 12:04:02 -0800

>> +- clocks : Reference to the clock entry.

>> +- phys : PHY reference with parameter 0.

> The specific value of the phy-specifier shouldn't matter to this

> binding. What should matter is what it logically corresponds to.
```

I not quite following this. Are you suggest that I drop the value 0. In the binding, one needs to specify the mode of operation - 0 is for SATA. Can you explain more?

-Loc

Responding to comments Why is this bad?

```
Subject Re: [Arm-netbook] getting allwinner SoC support upstream (was Re: Uploading linux (3.9.4-1))

From "luke.leighton" <>

On Thu, Jun 6, 2013 at 1:01 AM, Tomasz Figa <tomasz.figa@gmail.com> wrote:

> I don't see any other solution here than moving all the Allwinner code to

> DT (as it has been suggested in this thread several times already), as

> this is the only hardware description method supported by ARM Linux.

i repeat again: please state, explicitly and unequivocably that you -
linux kernel developers - are happy that the reach of linux and
gnu/linux OSes is dramatically reduced due to this intransigent
```

or, tomasz, please state that you, tomasz, represent each and every one of the linux kernel developers so that i do not need to keep asking.

position.

Upstreaming a new platform SoC family foo

- Logically split the work
 - mach-foo/ family-specific ops
 - clocksource driver
 - irq controller driver
 - Device Tree binding
 - multi_v7_defconfig or add new defconfig
 - DTS to enable platform foo
- Split to divide amongst maintainer trees
- Split to divide according to arm-soc categories

Upstreaming a new platform arm-soc categories

- Divide patches going to arm-soc:
 - next/fixes
 - next/cleanup
 - next/soc
 - next/drivers
 - next/boards
 - next/dt
- Usually the platform maintainer worries the most about this
- Individual contributors should know this to break up their commits to fit these categories

Allwinner A1X Upstreaming Cover Letter

Subject: [PATCH 0/6] Add basic support for Allwinner A1X SoCs

Date: Thu, 15 Nov 2012 23:46:19 +0100

Hi,

You'll find in this patcheet the initial support for Allwinner A10 and A13 SoCs from Allwinner. Since the internal name of these SoCs are sun4i and sun5i, the mach-directory is named sunxi.

You can find these SoCs in the Cubieboard, the A13-olinuxino or the Melee A1000.

Both SoCs should work fine, as the A13 is a trimmed down version of the A10, but it has only been tested on a A13-OlinuXino from Olimex.

Support is quite minimal for now, since it only includes timer and IRQ controller drivers, so we can only boot to userspace through initramfs. Support for the other peripherals on these SoCs will come eventually.

Thanks,
Maxime

Maxime Ripard (6):

clocksource: sunxi: Add Allwinner A1X Timer Driver

irqchip: sunxi: Add irq controller driver

ARM: sunxi: Add basic support for Allwinner A1x SoCs

ARM: sunxi: Add earlyprintk support

ARM: sunxi: Add device tree for the A13 and the Olinuxino board

ARM: sunxi: Add entry to MAINTAINERS

12

Allwinner A1X Upstreaming Addressing comments

```
Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/6] ARM: sunxi: Add earlyprintk support
Date: Fri, 16 Nov 2012 11:41:36 +0100
On Thu, 15 Nov 2012 23:46:23 +0100, Maxime Ripard wrote:
> +#define SUNXI UART1 PHYS BASE 0x01c28400
> +#define SUNXI_UART1_VIRT_BASE 0xf1c28400
Maybe:
#ifdef CONFIG_DEBUG_SUNXI_UART1
#define SUNXI_UART_DEBUG_PHYS_BASE 0x01c28400
#define SUNXI_UART_DEBUG_VIRT_BASE 0xf1c28400
#endif
```

Allwinner A1X Upstreaming V2 Cover Letter

Subject: [PATCH v2 0/7] Add basic support for Allwinner A1X SoCs

Date: Fri Nov 16 16:20:21 EST 2012

Hi,

You'll find in this patchest the initial support for Allwinner A10 and A13 SoCs from Allwinner. Since the internal name of these SoCs are sun4i and sun5i, the mach-directory is named sunxi.

. . .

Changes from v1:

- Changed the earlyprintk support to add a more generic mechanism, since boards can have both the debug UART on UARTO or UART1
- Small fixes in the dt: moved the memory node to the dtsi, fixed the memory size on A13
- Simplified the irq controller driver as suggested by Stefan Roese
- Removed the hardcoded clock frequency in the timer to a fixed rate clock using clk framework
- Added a README file to the documentation to mention the supported SoCs and the related datasheet

Allwinner A1X Upstreaming Acceptance and pull requests

Generally, git pull requests are preferred, ideally using a signed tag that has a changeset description for the git history.

We can handle patches as well, but they cause slightly more work.

>

Allwinner A1X Upstreaming git request-pull

Subject: [GIT PULL] ARM: sunxi: Add basic support for Allwinner SoCs

Date: Fri Nov 16 17:56:50 EST 2012

Arnd, Olof,

Here is a pull request to add the basic support for Allwinner A1X SoCs.

Thanks,

Maxime

The following changes since commit 77b67063bb6bce6d475e910d3b886a606d0d91f7:

```
Linux 3.7-rc5 (2012-11-11 13:44:33 +0100)
```

are available in the git repository at:

```
git://github.com/mripard/linux.git tags/tags/sunxi-support-for-3.8
```

for you to fetch changes up to 1b106699647b56313bac707e12e7ad67180cb147:

ARM: sunxi: Add entry to MAINTAINERS (2012-11-16 21:56:53 +0100)

16

Upstreaming a typical driver Watchdog driver

- Logically split the work
 - Device Tree binding
 - Any watchdog framework changes
 - Driver and build plumbing
 - defconfig update, if applicable
 - DTS additions to enable driver
- Split to divide amongst maintainer trees
- Split to divide framework updates, specific driver features, and/or individual bug fixes

Creating a driver series Creating the commits

```
$ git log --oneline
```

1234567 watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver

7654321 ARM: bcm281xx: watchdog configuration

[If we had a DT binding and associated DTS for this series]

```
$ git log --oneline
```

0000000 watchdog: bcm281xx: add DT binding

1234567 watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver

7654321 ARM: bcm281xx: watchdog configuration

8888888 ARM: dts: bcm281xx: add watchdog DT support

Posting a driver series Creating the patches

```
Subject: [PATCH 0/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
Subject: [PATCH 1/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
Subject: [PATCH 2/2] ARM: bcm281xx: watchdog configuration
Used:
$ git format-patch --cover-letter -o /tmp/bcm281xx_wd ref1..ref2
Results:
$ ls /tmp/bcm281xx_wd
0000-cover-letter.patch
0001-watchdog-bcm281xx-watchdog-driver.patch
0002-ARM-bcm281xx-watchdog-configuration.patch
```

Posting a driver series Cover letter

```
From: Markus Mayer <markus.mayer@linaro.org>
Subject: [PATCH 0/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
Date: Fri, 8 Nov 2013 12:44:46 -0800
This series introduces the watchdog driver for the BCM281xx family of
mobile SoCs.
Markus Mayer (2):
 watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
 ARM: bcm281xx: watchdog configuration
 arch/arm/configs/bcm_defconfig |
drivers/watchdog/Kconfig | 21 +++
drivers/watchdog/Makefile | 1 +
4 files changed, 424 insertions(+)
create mode 100644 drivers/watchdog/bcm_kona_wdt.c
1.7.9.5
```

Posting a driver series Watchdog driver portion

```
From: Markus Mayer <markus.mayer@linaro.org>
Subject: [PATCH 1/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
Date: Fri, 8 Nov 2013 12:44:47 -0800
This commit adds support for the watchdog timer used on the BCM281xx
family of SoCs.
Signed-off-by: Markus Mayer <markus.mayer@linaro.org>
Reviewed-by: Matt Porter <matt.porter@linaro.org>
drivers/watchdog/Kconfig | 21 +++
3 files changed, 421 insertions(+)
create mode 100644 drivers/watchdog/bcm_kona_wdt.c
diff --git a/drivers/watchdog/Kconfig b/drivers/watchdog/Kconfig
index d1d53f3..59013f6 100644
--- a/drivers/watchdog/Kconfig
+++ b/drivers/watchdog/Kconfig
```

Posting a driver series Addressing comments

```
From: Markus Mayer <markus.mayer@linaro.org>
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
Date: Tue, 12 Nov 2013 16:08:56 -0800
On 12 November 2013 15:39, One Thousand Gnomes
<gnomes@lxorguk.ukuu.org.uk> wrote:
>
         val = secure_register_read(wdt->base + SECWDOG_CTRL_REG, &timeout);
>> +
         if (!timeout) {
>> +
>> +
                 val &= ~SECWDOG_RES_MASK;
                 val |= wdt->resolution << SECWDOG_CLKS_SHIFT;</pre>
>> +
                 writel_relaxed(val, wdt->base + SECWDOG_CTRL_REG);
>> +
>> +
        } else {
                 ret = -EAGAIN;
>> +
> This is I think the wrong choice of return. If the register fails to read
> then presumably the device is b*ggered ? In which case return something
> like -EIO and log something nasty.
> EAGAIN has fairly specific semantics around signals and/or specific
> requests for an I/O operation not to wait.
I will change that based on Guenter's and your comments.
```

Posting a driver series Reposting

```
From: Markus Mayer <markus.mayer@linaro.org>
Subject: [PATCH v2 0/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver
Date: Fri, 15 Nov 2013 12:57:59 -0800
This is version 2 of the watchdog driver for the BCM281xx family of mobile SoCs.
Changes since version 1:
- Added module name to "help" section in Kconfig
- A few cosmetic code simplifications and fixes
- Removed most dev_info() calls and changed the remaining ones to dev_dbg()
- Renamed SECWDOG_WD_LOAD_FLAG_MASK to SECWDOG_WD_LOAD_FLAG
- Added some comments to secure register read() and struct bcm kona wdt
- Added delay to secure register read()
- Reduced maximum retry loop from 10000 to 1000
- Introduced "busy count" variable to count how often secure register read()
 gets stalled; this is available through debugfs
- Simplified secure register read() to return -ETIMEDOUT rather than using
 a variable parameter to indicate a timeout error
- Got rid of all uses of -EAGAIN
- Fixed return value check for debugfs_create_dir()
- Simplified bcm_kona_wdt_debugfs_init() by getting rid of goto
- Created new generic function bcm_kona_wdt_ctrl_reg_modify()
- The following functions now use bcm_kona_wdt_ctrl_reg_modify():
   - bcm kona wdt set resolution reg()
   - bcm kona wdt set timeout reg()
   - bcm kona wdt stop()
- Made bcm kona wdt set timeout reg() more generic, so bcm kona wdt start()
- Removed MODULE_ALIAS_MISCDEV(WATCHDOG_MINOR)
```

Posting a driver series Acceptance!

Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2013 21:40:39 +0100

From: Wim Van Sebroeck <>

Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 0/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver

Hi Markus,

> This is version 5 of the watchdog driver for the BCM281xx family of mobile

> SoCs.

I applied the patches but without the BCM_KONA_WDT_DEBUG part, because I still have some questions about it. So what is left is the following patch:

. . .

Posting a driver series Acceptance!

Date: Sat, 28 Dec 2013 21:40:39 +0100

From: Wim Van Sebroeck <>

Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 0/2] watchdog: bcm281xx: Watchdog Driver

Hi Markus,

> This is version 5 of the watchdog driver for the BCM281xx family of mobile

> SoCs.

I applied the patches but without the BCM_KONA_WDT_DEBUG part, because I still have some questions about it. So what is left is the following patch:

. . .

Questions?