MATH544 Dr. Miller HW 3

Justin Baum

March 1, 2019

Problem 1 (1.4.2). Let $\mathbb{F} = \{a + bi | a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, show that \mathbb{F} is a field.

Proof. In order for \mathbb{F} to be a field it would need to follow that, Note it is redundant that $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \to (a + b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z})$, and $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, so it will be omitted from the rest of the proof.

1. \mathbb{F} is closed and commutative under addition. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}$ then $(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$u + v = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i + \frac{e}{f} + \frac{g}{h}i = \frac{af + be}{bf} + \frac{ch + fg}{dh}i = v + u$$

2. \mathbb{F} is associative under addition. Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{F}$ then $(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$(u+v)+v = \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i + \frac{e}{f} + \frac{g}{h}i\right) + \frac{j}{k} + \frac{l}{m}i$$
$$= \left(\frac{afk + bek + bfj}{bfk} + \frac{chm + fgm + dhl}{dhm}i\right) = u + (v+w)$$

3. \mathbb{F} is closed and commutative under multiplication. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}$ then $(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$u + v = \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i\right) \cdot \left(\frac{e}{f} + \frac{g}{h}i\right) = \frac{ae}{bf} - \frac{cg}{dh} + \left(\frac{ce}{df} + \frac{ag}{bh}i\right)i$$
$$= \frac{adeh - bcgf}{bdfh} + \frac{bceh + adgf}{bdfh}i = v + u$$

4. \mathbb{F} is associative under multiplication. Let $u, v, v \in \mathbb{F}$ then $(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j, k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z})$

$$(u \cdot v) \cdot w = ((\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i) \cdot (\frac{e}{f} + \frac{g}{h}i)) \cdot (\frac{j}{k} + \frac{l}{m}i)$$

$$(\frac{adeh - bcfg}{bdfh} + \frac{bceh + adgf}{bhdf}i) \cdot (\frac{j}{k} + \frac{l}{m}i)$$

$$= \frac{mjadeh - mjbcfg - lbcehk - ladgf}{bhdfmk} + \frac{mjbceh + mjadgf + kladeh - klbcfg}{bhdfkm}i$$

$$= u \cdot (v \cdot w)$$

5. 0 is an additive identity.

Let $u \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$u + 0 = (\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i) + (0 + 0i) = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i = u$$
$$0 = 0 + 0i$$

6. Every element has an additive inverse. $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \to -1\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $u \in \mathbb{F}$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$u + (-u) = (\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i) + (-\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}i) = 0 + 0i = 0$$

- 7. 1 is a multiplicative identity. Trivial. 1 = 1 + 0i
- 8. Every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. Let $u \in \mathbb{F}$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$u \cdot u^{-1} = 1$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i\right)u^{-1} = 1$$

$$u^{-1} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i\right)}$$

$$\frac{1}{\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i\right)} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}i\right)} \cdot \frac{\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}i}{\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}i} = \frac{\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}i}{\frac{a^2}{b^2} + \frac{c^2}{d^2}} = \frac{\frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d}i}{\frac{d^2a^2 + b^2c^2}{d^2b^2}} = \frac{ad^2b + cdb^2i}{d^2a^2 + b^2c^2}$$

$$u^{-1} = \frac{ad^2b + cdb^2i}{d^2a^2 + b^2c^2}$$

Problem 2 (1.4.3d). Explain why $\mathbb{F} = \{\frac{m}{2^n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ is not a field.

Proof. Fails multiplicative inverse, $u = \frac{3}{2} \in \mathbb{F}$, $u^{-1} = \frac{2}{3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ but $log_2(3) \notin \mathbb{Z} \to u^{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}$.

Problem 3 (1.5.2). Show that the set of solutions of a nonhomogeneous $m \times n$ linear system is never a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n .

Proof.

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

For this set of equations at least one of the equations has a $b \neq 0$, we'll call this row, row j, where $b_j \neq 0$.

$$a_{j1}x_1 + \dots + a_{jn} = b_j \mid b_j \neq 0$$

Thus this set of solutions fails closure over additions. Say $x_1 = c_1, \ldots x_n = c_n$ and $x_1 = d_1, \ldots, x_n = d_n$ are solutions. $x_1 = c_1 + d_1, \ldots, c_n + d_n$ is not a solution because $b_j \neq 0$ for the equation j, we have the following contradiction.

$$a_{j1}(c_1 + d_1) + \dots + a_{jn}(c_1 + d_1) = (a_{j1}c_1 + \dots + a_{jn}c_n) + (a_{j1}d_1 + \dots + a_{jn}d_n)$$
$$= b_i + b_i = 2 \cdot b_i \neq b_i$$

Because $b_i \neq 0$, then $2b_i \neq b_i$. Ad absurdum.

Problem 4 (1.5.4). Determine which of the following are subspaces of C[a, b]. Here $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed and a < c < b.

(a)
$$V = \{ f \in C[a, b] \mid f(c) = 0 \}$$

(b)
$$V = \{ f \in C[a, b] \mid f(c) = 1 \}$$

(c)
$$V = \{ f \in D[a, b] \mid f'(c) = 0 \}$$

(d)
$$V = \{ f \in D[a, b] \mid f' \text{ is constant} \}$$

(a) Proof.

Suppose we did have a 1 element, when $1 \cdot f$, where $f \in V$ would be the same f, this would mean for all input values, $1 \cdot f$ would map to the same values of f. This would mean for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, 1(x) = 1, which means there would be no where 1(c) = 0. Thus V is not a field, because it fails to have a 1 element, ad absurdum.

Side note, what if we had a function $1(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & x \neq c \\ 0 & x = c \end{array} \right\}$ I think this can be the one identity.

(b) Proof.

The function f(x) = 1 would be contained in V. However, (f + f)(x) would not, because (f + f)(x) = f(x) + f(x) = 2 for all x.

Thus V is not a field, because it fails closure over addition.

- (c) I don't believe this is a field, still thinking about it.
- (d) Proof.

It is assumed, these are derived, or integrated with respect to x, however this is just a placeholder, it can be any singular variable differentiation.

We have closure over addition. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{F}$, and because f' and g' are constants, then we know that f'(x) = c for all x, and g'(x) = d for all x. Thus $f = \int f' dx = \int c dx = cx + C_1$, and $g = \int g' dx = \int d dx = dx + C_2$. And $(f+g)(x) = cx + dx + C_1 + C_2 = (c+d)(x) + C$. Thus $\frac{d}{dx}(c+d) = (c+d)$ for all x. And thus closure is maintained.

However, closure over multiplication does not exist. Suppose it did. $f = \int f' dx$ and $g = \int g' dx$. By the same argument as before, $f = cx + C_1$ and $g = dx + C_2$, thus $(f \cdot g) = cdx^2 + cC_2x + dC_1x + C_1C_2$, which means $(f+g)' = 2cdx + dC_1 + cC_2$, and it is not constant (when $c \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$). Thus V is not a field because it fails closure over multiplication.

Problem 5. Let V be a vector space, and suppose that U and W are both subspaces of V. Show that

$$U \cap W := \{ v \mid v \in U \land v \in W \}$$

is also a subspace.

Proof.

- (a) If U and W are subspaces of V, then they both contain $\vec{0}$, and so $\vec{0} \in U \cap W$.
- (b) By the same reasoning, $\vec{1}$ is contained in $U \cap W$. This is because $\vec{1} \in V$
- (c) Say $\vec{u} \in U \cap W$, then $\vec{u} \in U$ and $\vec{u} \in W$, then because of closure over scalar multiplication for U and W, we know for $c \in \mathbb{F}$, $c\vec{u} \in U$ and $c\vec{u} \in W$, so we have closure over scalar multiplication for $U \cap W$, because $c\vec{u} \in U \cap W$.
- (d) Say $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U \cap W$, then $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U$ and $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in W$, and because U and W are vector spaces, we know they have closure over vector addition. So $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in U$ and $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in W$, so $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in U \cap W$, thus we have closure over vector addition for $U \cap W$.

Thus $U \cap W$ is a subspace of V, because $U \subseteq V$ and $W \subseteq V$, thus $U \cap W \subseteq V$ and $U \cap W$ is a vector space.

Problem 6. Problem 1.5.9 is not so terribly important in its own right, but becomes much more important in the case that A is actually a vector space over the field \mathbb{F} . We have to refine the definition just a bit, however. If V is a vector space over a field \mathbb{F} , we call $V^* = \{T : V \to \mathbb{F} \mid T \text{ is linear}\}$ the dual space of V. Addition and scalar multiplication of elements of V^* is just like in 1.5.9, but one also has to check that sums and scalar multiples of linear functions are in fact still linear. Worth doing, but

does not to be written up nicely – you may assume that V^* is a vector space. Can you give some geometrically interesting examples of elements of $(\mathbb{R}^2)*$? Since V^* is a vector space, its dual $V^{**} = (V^*)^*$ is also a vector space. To get some idea what sort of critters inhabit it, let's fix a vector $\vec{v} \in V$ and define a function $ev_v := V^* \to \mathbb{F}$ by $ev_v(T) = T(v)$. Show that ev_v is indeed in V^{**} . Then define $\sigma : V \to V^{**}$ by $\sigma(v) = ev_v$. Show that σ is a linear transformation.