Justin Borneis COMP 2310 Assignment 3

3.8.4. Prove that the set of all sets does not exist

We shall prove that $f \sim (3x)(x = EAIA is a set 3)$ (Proof by contradiction)

Suppose $(\exists x)(X=\{A|A \text{ is a set }\}$ Then $Y=\{A|A \text{ is a set}\}(EI)Y \text{ is a set }...(I)$ Then $(\exists B)(B=\{x\in Y|x\notin x\})$ (Principle of Specification) $\Rightarrow Z=\{x\in Y|x\notin x\}$ (EI) Z is a constant) Then $Z\in Y$ ((II),UI)...(III)

It follows that we have either 262 or 262.

i) Suppose ZEZ (III)

The ZEYNZ&Z (Definition of Z)

=> Z&Z (E9, I2) ... (IV)

=> ZEZNZ&Z ((III), I6)

=> false (E1)

(i) Suppose Z&Z...(V)
Then ZEY nZ&Z ((V), (II), I6)
=72EZ (Definition of Z)
=> ZEZ nZ&Z ((V), I6)
=> false (E1)

in both cases, the result is a contradiction, i. t ~ (3x)(x={AlA is a set})
Hence, the set of all sets does not exist.

4.9.56) (ANBAC)U(ANCAA)U(ANBACADAE)= ANBAC

```
Suppose (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cup (\overline{A} \cap A) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap \overline{D} \cap \overline{E})

= (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap \overline{D} \cap \overline{E}) (Theorem 4.7.2(iii))

= (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap \overline{D} \cap \overline{E}) (Theorem 4.21(iii), Theorem 4.21(i))

= (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap \overline{D} \cap \overline{E}) (Theorem 4.1.1(i))

= (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap D \cap \overline{E}) (Theorem 4.22(v) where (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \subseteq U)

= (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cap U \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap \overline{D} \cap \overline{E}) (Theorem 4.23(ii))

= (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cap U \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap \overline{D} \cap \overline{E}) (Theorem 4.1.1(iii), Theorem 4.1.1(v) where \overline{D} \cap \overline{E} \subseteq U)

= \overline{A} \cap B \cap C \cap U \cup \overline{D} \cap \overline{E} (Theorem 4.1.1(vi))
```

Hence (ANBAC)U(ANCAA)U(ANBACAONE)= ANBAC

```
4.9.8a) An(B-A) = 0
     Suppose A \cap (B - A)

= A \cap (B \cap \overline{A}) (Theorem 4.3.7 (v))

= (A \cap \overline{A}) \cap B (Theorem 4.2.2 (iii), Theorem 4.2.2 (iv))

= \phi \cap B (Theorem 4.3.7 (iii))

= B \cap \phi (Theorem 4.2.2 (jiii))

= \phi (Theorem 4.2.2 (ji))
  Hence An(B-A) = 0
4.9.11a) (AUC)×(BUO) ≠ (A×B)U(C×O) (as per his posted Assignment 3.pdf)
   (Proof by Counterexample)
  let A= {a}, B= {b}, C= {c}, O= {d}
  Then (A \times B) \cup ((\times O) = \{(a,b)\} \cup \{(c,d)\} \cup (Ocfinition of \times)
= \{(a,b),(c,d)\} \cup (Ocfinition of \cup)
  Then (AUC) \times (BUO) = \{a,c\} \times \{b,d\} (Definition of U)
= \{(a,b),(a,b),(c,b),(c,d)\} (Definition of \times)
     Notice: (a,d) \in ((A \cup C) \times (B \cup O)) but (a,d) \notin ((A \times B) \cup ((\times D))
     Here \sim (\forall x) (x \in I(A \cup C) \times (B \cup D)) \iff ((A \times B) \cup ((x O)))
    By the Principle of Extension (AUC)×(8UD) = (A×18)U ((XD)
    Hore (AUC)×(BUD) = (A×B) U (C×O)
```

```
4.9.146) Prove that UX=AUB
    We shall prove that (YX) (x & X \{A,B\}X (=> X \in AUB)
                                                                        =) re Usian X (=) x ( AUB (UI)
                  (Biconditional Proof)
  ⇒) Prove that x ∈ U X ∈ AUB (Direct Proof)
                Suppose XE XE {A,B}X
               Then (\exists X)(X \in \{A,B\} \land X \in X) (Definition of \bigcup X)

\Rightarrow M \in \{A,B\} \land X \in M (EI, M is a Constant) ... (I)

\Rightarrow M \in \{A,B\} (I2)... (IX)

Then X \in M ((I), E9, I2)... (II)
                  This means we have either M=A or M=B (IX)
                   We are now trying to prove XEM + (M=A V M=B) => XEAUB
                  (Proof by Cases)
i) Suppose M=A
                                                                 => XEA ((II), sub=)
=> XEA V XEB (II)
=> XE AUB (definition of U)
                    ii) suppose M=B
                                                               => XEB (II), sub=)
                                                                => x e A v xeb (II, E10)
                                                               => XEAUB (definition of U)
                  We have now shown that for both cases XEMT (M=AVM=B)=> XEAUB
                  Thus, \bigcup_{X=\{A,B\}} X = X \in A \cup B
(I) Now we are to prove XEAUB => XE U X ... (I)
      So we are to show x \in A \lor x \in B \Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{x = \{A,B\}} x ((X)) Occinition of U)
           (Proof by cases)
           i) Suppose XEA...(III)

Let Y be a set where Y=A...(II)

=> XEY ((III), Sub=)...(V)

Y=A V Y=B ((IV) II)

=> YEEA, BB (equivolat notation)

=> XEY A YEEA, BB ((V), I6)

=> XEY A YEEA, BB ((V), I6)

XEEA, BB XEE
             Thus XEA = 7 X \ VEXABS
```

```
(i) Suppose XEB ... (VI)

Let Y be a set where Y=B ... (VIII)

=> XEY ((VI), Sub=) ... (VIII)

Y=B v Y=A ((VII), I')

=> Y=A v Y=B (E10)
                                            =>YEEA, 18} (equivolent notation)
                                         => xeYn Ye {A, r} ((VIII), I6)
=> xeU X (definition of UX)
Xe{A,B}
   Thus \chi \in \mathcal{B} = \stackrel{\times}{}^{\times} \stackrel{\times}{
 Hence X \in A \cup B = \emptyset \times \emptyset \times 0
    5.8.7. If for every a EA, there exists bEA such that (a, b) ER, then R is an equivalence relation.
                  Risdefines as being transitive and symmetric. If we want to show that R is an equivalence relation we shall prove that R is reflexive.
              We shall prove (back) (3bch) (a,b) ER => (bxeh)(x,x) ER (Direct Proof)
            Suppose (taca) (3b (A) (a,b) (R

=> a (A) (3b (A) (a,b) (R (UI)

=> (a b) (a,b) (R (E) IZ)

=> d (A) (a,b) (C) (E) (I)

=> (a,b) (C) (C) (I)

=> (a,b) (C) (C) (I)
                                                           =7 (d, a) \in \mathbb{R} (def of symmetric) (I

=) (a, d) \in \mathbb{R} (d, a) \in \mathbb{R} ((I) (II), I6)

=) (a, a) \in \mathbb{R} (def of transitive)

=> (\forall x) (x, x) \in \mathbb{R} (Gen)
           Here (\forall a \in A) (\exists b \in A) (a,b) \in R \Rightarrow (\forall x \in A) (x,x) \in R
              Hace R is retlexive
                                                R is an equivalence relation (definition of equivalence relation)
  Part 2: Show that the conclusion is Palse if Symmetricity is replaced by reflexivity.
        Ris defined as being reflexive and transitive. To disprove R is an equivalence relation, we shall prove R is not symmetric.
           (Proof by Counterexcomple)
              let A= {x y, z} and R is a relation in set A.
         Let R= \( \langle (\gamma, \chi) (\gamma, \gamma), (\gamma, \zeta) (\gamma, \gamma), (\gamma, \zeta) \\

By inspection, R is reflexive and transitive
If we assume R is symmetric, then since (xy) \in R, we would have (y,x) \in R However, (y,x) \notin R
     Thus R is not symmetric.
Thus, R is not an equivalence relation.
```

```
5.8.9. Let N be the set of all positive integers
Let R be a relation in N where R = \{(a,b) \in N \times N \times N \tau N \tau the sum of the decimal digits in a = the sum of the decimal digits in b}
Prove R is an equivalence relation.
i) To prove that is reflexive, we shall prove that (ta(N), (a,a) ER
         Let a \in N.
        We have (\sqrt[4]{x}) \propto (A|)
=> the sum of the decimal digits in a = the sum of the decimal digits in a (UI)
        Herce, the sum of the decimal digits in a = the sum of the decimal digits in a => (a,a) ER (Definition of R)
        Herce, R is reflexive.
 1) To prove R is symmetric, we shall prove that (a,b) ER => (b,a) ER.
          (Direct Proof)
         Assume (a,b) ER
         This means the own of the decimal digits in a = the own of the decimal digits in b ... (I)
         Let (= The sym of the decimal digits in a, and d= the sum of the decimal digits in b... (#)
     We also have (\forall x)(\forall \gamma)(x=\gamma=) \gamma = x (AL)

\Rightarrow (=d=)d=(UI)

\Rightarrow (=(III),I3)
                                                        => The sum of the decimal digits in b = the sum of the decimal digits in a ((II), sub_)
                                                        =) (b, a) ER (definition of R)
       Thus (a,b) \in R = (b,a) \in R
       Here Ris symmetric.
   III) To prove R is transitive, we shall prove ((a,b)ER 1 (b,c)ER)=> (e,c)ER
            (Oirect proof)
            Suppose (a,b) ER 1 (b,c) ER ... (IT)
            Let g = the sum of the decinal digits of a let h = the sum of the decinal digits of b
          Let j = the sum of the becimal digits of (
(a,b) eR ((II), IZ)

=> the sum of the decimal digits in a = the sum of the decimal digits in b (definition of R)

=> g = h (the destinition of g, the desirition of h)...(V)
         (b, c) ER ((IX) E9 R2)
=) The sum of the decimal digits in b= the sum of the decimal digits in c (definition of R)
         =) h=j (the definition of h, the definition of j)...(VI)
          We have (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)((x=y) \land (y=z) =) x=z) (A3)

\Rightarrow (g=h) \land (h=j) =) g=j (UI). (VII)

We also have (g=h) \land (h=j) (V), (VI), Ib)

\Rightarrow g=j ((VIII), I3)

\Rightarrow the sum of the decimal digits in <math>e=the sum of the decimal digits in e=the sum of the decimal digits in <math>e=the sum of the decimal digits in e=the sum of the d
        Thus ((a,b) ER n(b,c) ER) => (a,c) ER
          Hence, R is transitive.
Since we've shown Ris reflexive symmetric and transitive, hence Rix on equivolence relation. []
```

Part 2 describe the equivalence class (18]/R. (98)/R = {x \in N \ (98 \x) \in R}

=> {x \in N \ | the sum of the decimal digits of 98 = The sum of the decimal digits of \chi2 \ (definition of R)

=> {x \in N \ | 17 = The sum of the decimal digits of \chi2} \ (: the sum of the decimal digits of 98 = 9+8 = 17) There are no integers in [98]/R that fall within the range of 0 to SP, inclusive. Reasoning every integer in this range has a smaller algit sum than 98. The number in this range with the largest digit sum is 49.

4 is the largest possible tas digit that can pair with 9 (the largest digit) in this range.

While S is greater than 4 the range only goes up to SD who's digit sum = 5to=5.

Hence, 49 has the largest possible digit sum in this range. The digit sum of 49 = 449=13.

Since 13 is less than 17 and 49 has the largest digit sum we conclude that there is no number in this range that can reach 17 (the digit sum of 98). Herce, in the range 0 to 50, inclusive, (98)/R is empty. o Lenna A: y&X (>)(7,7) & X= (Signitional proof)

=) Prove $\gamma \notin X \Rightarrow (\gamma, \gamma) \notin X_{=}$ (Direct proof)

Suppose $\gamma \notin X$... (I)

The $\gamma \notin X \land \gamma \notin X$ ((I), E3)

=) $(\gamma, \gamma) \notin X \times X$ (Definition of $X \times X$) ... (II) We also have (YN) x=x (AI) =) y=y (UI) ... (III) Then we have $(\gamma, \gamma) \notin X \times X \vee \gamma(\gamma \geq \gamma)$ ((II), II) $\Rightarrow \gamma((\gamma, \gamma) \in X \times X \wedge \gamma \geq \gamma)$ (E16) $\Rightarrow (\gamma, \gamma) \notin X = (\text{Defivition of } X_{\geq})$ Thus $\gamma \notin X \Rightarrow (\gamma, \gamma) \notin X = (\text{Defivition of } X_{\geq})$ (=) Prove (7,7) \(X_= =) \(\gamma \) \(\text{Direct proof} \) Suppose (x, x) & X= Then $\sim ((\gamma, \gamma) \in X \times X \land \gamma = \gamma)$ (Definition of X_{-}) $= ((\gamma, \gamma) \in X \times X \lor \sim (\gamma = \gamma) \quad (E16) \quad (IV)$ We also have (Hx) x=x (A1) => y=y (VI) ... (V) Then we have $\gamma = \gamma$ $\Lambda \left(-(\gamma, \gamma) \in X \times X \vee -(\gamma = \gamma) \right) ((V), (IV), I())$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge -(\gamma, \gamma) \in X \times X \wedge \gamma = \gamma \right) \vee \{ \text{alse} \quad (Eq, El) \}$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \wedge \gamma = \gamma \right) \vee \{ \text{alse} \quad (Eq, El) \}$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \wedge \gamma = \gamma \right) \vee \{ \text{alse} \quad (Eq, El) \}$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \right) \vee \{ \text{alse} \quad (Eq, El) \}$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \right) \vee \{ \text{alse} \quad (Eq, El) \}$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma \right) \vee \{ \text{alse} \quad (Eq, El) \}$ $= \gamma \left(\gamma - \gamma \wedge \gamma + \gamma$

Herce, R is reflexive iff X= ER 0