Justin Bornais 110037759 60rnais3

COMP 2310 Final Exam

```
1. Prove PJ PZ P3+C
   P1: (AAB)=7(
                             ~Av~Bv (
  PZ: (A=>C)=>0
                             B=>E
  P3: ~ BVE
  C: B=> (DnE)
  (Direct proof)
1. B
              Premise
                     From [
2. (AAB)=7(
 3. (A=>()=>0 from [
             from I
 4~BVE
 5 8=7E 4,68
6. E 15,13
 S B=7E
 7. ~ (AAB) v (
                    2,E18
8. (-Av-B)v( 7, E16

9. ~Av(-Bvc) 8, E12

10. ~Av(Cv~B) 9, E10

11. (~AvC)v~B 10, E12
 12 (A=>C) ~8 11, E18
13 ~8 v (A=>C) 12, E10
14, B=>(A=>C) 13, E18
                 143 Es
 15. B=7 D
                 1,15, I3
 16. 0
             16, 6, I6
 17. 01 E
         Pl, P2, P3 + C.
Thus
```

```
P1: (4x)(4y)((Plx)1Q(y))=> R(x,y))
 PZ: (7~) (4y) ((Pbx) 156, y)) => Q(y))
 PS: (4x)(3y)(plx), ~ R(xy), AT(x,y))
 (1 (7x) (7x) (Pbx) ~ ~ (C5xy) n Tcxy))
 (proof by definition)
 1. (Vx)(Vy)((Pbx)1Qby)) => Rbx,y)) from 1
 2. (3x)(4y) ((Phx) 15(x, y)) => Q(y)) from [
 S. (by) ((Pla) n Slays)=> R(y)) Z. E.T., a is a constant
Y (Vx)(3y)(Pbx), ~ R(xy), 1T(xy)) from I
5. (7y) (P(e) ~~ R(a,y) ~ T(a,y)) Y UI
6. (P(a) 1~R(a, b)), T(a, b)
                              S. EI, b is a constant
7. P(a) 1 ~ R(a, 6)
8. T(a, b) 1 (P(a) 1 ~R(a, b)) (E9
        8,12
9. Ta, 6)
          3 25
10, Pla)
11. ~R(a,b) ~P(a)
12. ~R(a,b)
13. (Hy) ((Pla) 1 Q(y)) => R(ay))
14. (P(a), Q(b))=) R(a,b) 13, UI
15. ~ (P(a), a(b)) 12, 14, I4
16. ~P(a) v ~Q(b)
                  15, E16
                16 E10
17. ~Q(b) v~P(a)
18. Q(6) => ~P(a) 17, E18
19. (P(a) ~ S(a, b)) =7Q(b) 3 UI
U. (Pla) 1 S(a,b)) => ~P(a) 1918 IS
21. ~~P(a) 10, ELS
22. ~ (Pla) ~ S(a,b)) 21, 20, IY
23. ~ P(a) ~~ S(a,b) 22, E16
24. Pla) ~ (~ Pla) v~ sla, b) 10,23, I6
25. (Pla) n~Pla)) v (Pla) n~Sla, b) 24, E13
26. false v (Pla) n~Sla, b) 25, E1
27. (Pla) n~Sla, W) v false 26, E10
28. Pla) n~S(a,b) 27, E6
29. (Pla) n~S(a,b)) n T(a,b) 28, 9, I6
30. (7y) (Pla) ~~ Slay) ~ Tlay)) 29, EQ
31. (3x)(3y)(P(x) n ~ s(xy) nT(xy)) 30 EQ
 Herce PI, PZ, P3 + C
 O
```

2. Prove P1, P2, P3+(

```
3. Prove that B-A=B > BNA= $
  (biconditional proof)
                      (theorem)
 B-A=B (=) B n A = B
        (=) (Hx) (xEBOA (=) XEB) (Aniple of extension)
        ( ) ( ) ( (xEB , XEA) ( ) ( ) ( definition of N)
        (Ux) ((xEB 1 x & A) =) xEB) 1 (xEB =) (xEB 1 x & A)) (EW)
       ( (xEBn X + A) V X ZB) n (~XEB V (X ZB N X + A))) (E18)
      ( (xEB v xEA) v xEB v (xEB n x &A))) (E16, E15)
      (Yx) ((x&B v (xEB v xEA)) ~ ((~xeB v xEB) ~ (~xeB v x&A))) (EIZ, EIQ EI4)
      ( ((xEBV-XEB)VXEB) N((XEBV-XEB) N(~XEBV-XEA))) (FIZEID)
     (=) (YA)(((XEBV-XEB) VXEA) n(true n(xEBV-XEA))) (ELD, EZ)
     ( true v x EA) 1 ((-x EB v - x EA) 1 true) (EZ E9)
    (=) (Ux) ((xxAvtrue) 1 (~ x < B v~ x < A)) (E1 ES)
    (=) (Yx)(true n (~xeBv~xEA)) (E8)
    ( Yx) (~(xeBn xeA)ntrue) (E16,E9)
    (ES) (bx) ~ (xeB n xeA) (ES)
   (by) (~ (xer nxeA) v false) (E4)

(by) (~ (xer nxeA) v xe $\phi$) (theorem)
   (=) (Yx) (~(xEBNA) vxEp) (definition of 1)
  ( (KEBNA =) TED) (GIB)
  ( BNA= p
                      (principle of extension)
      B-A=B ← B ∩ A= Ø.
 Thus
 U
```

```
4. let N be the set of all positive integers.
   let R be a relation in NXN such that ((a,b),(x,y))\in R \implies \frac{2a+1}{2^b} \leq \frac{2x+1}{7y}
  Prove Ris a partial order.
  A partial order is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive. Thus we break the proof into
  3 steps:
a) R is reflexive.
       We shall show that (V(a,b) \in N \times N)((a,b), (a,b)) \in R (definition of reflexing)

\Rightarrow (a,b) \in N \times N \Rightarrow ((a,b), (a,b)) \in R (UI)
       (Direct proof)
      Suppose (a,b) \in N \times N (hypothesis)
=) \alpha \in N \cap b \in N (definition of x)
        We have \frac{2a+1}{2^6} = \frac{2a+1}{2^4} (= is reflexive)
                  =) 20+1 < 20+1 (desinition of 5)
                 => ((a,b), (a,b)) ER (definition of R)
  Thus Ris reflexive
                                   (definition of reflexive)
 6) R is antisymmetric
    We shall prove ((a,b), (xy)) ER n ((xy), (a,b)) ER => (a,b) = (xy)
    (direct proof)
   Suppose ((a,b),(x,y)) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus ((x,y),(a,b)) \in \mathbb{R}  (hypothesis)
         =) \begin{cases} ((a,b),(x,y)) \in R \\ ((x,y),(a,b)) \in R \end{cases} (E9, I2)
     = \begin{cases} \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} \leq \frac{2x+1}{2^{\gamma}} & \text{(definition of R)} \\ \frac{2x+1}{2^{\gamma}} \leq \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} & \text{(2)} \end{cases}
  We then have 2+1 < 2x+1 /2x 1 2x+1 < 2+1 ((1)(2), I6)
                  =) \left(\frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} < \frac{2x+1}{2^{1}} \lor \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} = \frac{2x+1}{2^{1}}\right) \land \left(\frac{2x+1}{2^{1}} < \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} \lor \frac{2x+1}{2^{1}} = \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}}\right)  (definition of \leq)
                 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} = \frac{2x+1}{2^{\gamma}} \vee \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} < \frac{2x+1}{2^{\gamma}}\right) \wedge \left(\frac{2a+1}{2^{1}} = \frac{2x+1}{2^{\gamma}} \vee \frac{2x+1}{2^{\gamma}} < \frac{2a+1}{2^{1}}\right) \quad (Elo, = is reflexive)
                => 2+1 = 2x+1 v (2+1 < 2x+1 , 2x+1 < 2+1) (E14)
                => 2+1 = 2x+1 v Palse (: Zet can't be < and > 2x+1)
               =) a = x \wedge b = y (hsa)
               =) (a,6) = (xy) (theorem)
Thus Ris antisymmetric.
```

c) K is transitive
We shall prove llab) (c,d) ER n ((c,d), (e,f)) ER => ((a,b), (e,f)) ER (bosintian of transitive)
(direct proof)

Suppose (lab) (c,d) ER n ((c,d), (e,f)) ER (hypothesis)

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{2a+1}{2^b} \leq \frac{2c+1}{2^d} & (definition of R) \\ \frac{2c+1}{2^d} \leq \frac{2c+1}{2^f} & \dots \end{cases}$$
 ... (4)

We then have $\frac{2a+1}{2^b} \leq \frac{2c+1}{2^d}$ of $\frac{2c+1}{2^d} \leq \frac{2e+1}{2^f}$ ((3), (4), I6) => $\frac{2o+1}{2^b} \leq \frac{2e+1}{2^f}$ (\le is transitive: \le is a partial order) => ((a,b), (e,P)) \(\in R \) (definition \(\alpha \) R)

Thus Ris transitive.

We have shown that R is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive. Thus, R is a partial order.

```
S. Let f: A \rightarrow B.

Prove (\exists g)(g: B \rightarrow A \land g \circ f = I_B) \Rightarrow f \text{ is onto}

\Rightarrow (\exists g)(g: B \rightarrow A \land g \circ f = I_B) \Rightarrow (\forall y \in B)(\exists x \in A) y = f(x) (definition of onto)

(direct proof)

Suppose (\exists g)(g: B \rightarrow A \land g \circ f = I_B) (hypothesis)

\Rightarrow h: B \rightarrow A \land h \circ f = I_B (\in I_B)

\Rightarrow h \circ f = \exists_B (\in I_B) ... (1)

We have x = I_B(x) (definition of I_B)

\Rightarrow x = h \circ f(x) ((1), sub=)

\Rightarrow (\exists z \in B)(z = h(x) \land x = f(z)) (definition of o)

\Rightarrow a \in B \land (x = f(a) \land a = h(x)) (\in I_B)

\Rightarrow (a \in B \land x = f(a)) \land a = h(x) (\in I_B)

\Rightarrow a \in B \land x = f(a) (\in I_B)

\Rightarrow (\exists g \in B) x = f(a) (\in I_B)

\Rightarrow (\exists g \in B) x = f(a) (\in I_B)
```

Hace, f is onto.

6. Prove there does not exist a simple graph of order 4 and size ? Equivalently, we shall prove that there was not exist a simple graph where IVI=4 and IEI=7. (proof by contradiction) Suppose there exists a graph G where IVI=4 and IEI=7. We know the maximum degree sequence of G is a complete graph, since a complete graph has all retices paired with an edge or more formally (\formally, vz\end(\formally) \{v, vz\end(\formally) \{v, vz\end(\formally) \end(\formally) \formally \varepsilon \text{v} \text{ \in v, \psi vz} The maximum degree sequence would be 3333. This is because every vertex has 3 other vertices to pair with, in an edge. A rotex with a degree >3 implies (VI) y since G is a simple graph However, IVI=4 in graph 6. Thus the largest degree sequence is 3333. If we add up all the degrees in the sequence, we get 5 deg(v) = 3+3+3+3 = 12. However, Edeg (v) = 21E1 (theorem) $=7 \lesssim \deg(v) = 2(7)$ (IEI=7) =7 \(\left \deg (v) = 14. We know 12<14 (hsa) this implies there are some self-loops or parallel edges in graph G. However, 6 is simple, so there are no self-loops or parallel edges in G. Here, we have a contradiction!

Thus there does not exist a simple graph G of order 4 and size 7.