

COMP 2310 Assignment 4

S.8.3b)

i) Prove or disprove R and S are antisymmetric $\Rightarrow R \cup S$ is an antisymmetric relation

(disproof by counterexample)

Let $R = \leq$ and $S = \geq \dots (O)$

Since \leq and \geq are partial orders

$\Rightarrow \leq$ and \geq are antisymmetric (definition of partial order)

$\Rightarrow R$ and S are antisymmetric ($(O)_{sub=}$)

Since $0 \leq 1$, we have $(0,1) \in R$ (definition of R)

$\Rightarrow (0,1) \in R \vee (0,1) \in S$ (I1)

$\Rightarrow (0,1) \in R \cup S$ (definition of \cup)... (A)

Since $1 \geq 0$, we have $(1,0) \in S$ (definition of R)

$\Rightarrow (1,0) \in S \vee (1,0) \in R$ (I1)

$\Rightarrow (1,0) \in R \vee (1,0) \in S$ (E10)

$\Rightarrow (1,0) \in R \cup S$ (definition of \cup)... (B)

Now we have $(0,1) \in R \cup S \wedge (1,0) \in R \cup S$ ((A), (B), I6)

Since $0 \neq 1$, then $(0,1) \in R \cup S \wedge (1,0) \in R \cup S \Rightarrow 0=1$ is evaluated to false

$\Rightarrow R \cup S$ is antisymmetric is evaluated to false (definition of antisymmetric)

Thus $R \cup S$ is not antisymmetric.

□

ii) R and S are antisymmetric $\Rightarrow R \cap S$ is antisymmetric.

(direct proof)

Suppose R and S are antisymmetric (hypothesis)

Then $(a,b) \in R \wedge (b,a) \in R \Rightarrow a=b$ (R is antisymmetric)... (A)

Also $(a,b) \in S \wedge (b,a) \in S \Rightarrow a=b$ (S is antisymmetric)... (B)

We are to prove $(a,b) \in R \cap S \wedge (b,a) \in R \cap S \Rightarrow a=b$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(a,b) \in R \cap S \wedge (b,a) \in R \cap S$ (hypothesis)

$\Rightarrow (a,b) \in R \wedge (a,b) \in S \wedge (b,a) \in R \wedge (b,a) \in S$ (definition of \cap)

$\Rightarrow (a,b) \in R \wedge (b,a) \in R \wedge (a,b) \in S \wedge (b,a) \in S$ (E9)

$\Rightarrow (a,b) \in R \wedge (b,a) \in R$ (I2)

$\Rightarrow a=b$ (CP 5.3(c))

Thus $R \cap S$ is antisymmetric.

□

S.8.17. $R \circ Y_2 = R$ and $X_2 \circ R = R$ ($\exists z)(z \in Y_1 \wedge ((x, z) \in R \wedge (z, y) \in Y_2)$)

Q) We are to prove $(x, y) \in R \circ Y_2 \iff (x, y) \in R$

(Bidirectional proof)

\Rightarrow) Prove $(x, y) \in R \circ Y_2 \implies (x, y) \in R$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(x, y) \in R \circ Y_2$ (hypothesis)

$\Rightarrow \exists z (z \in Y_1 \wedge ((x, z) \in R \wedge (z, y) \in Y_2))$ (definition of \circ)

$\Rightarrow a \in Y_1 \wedge ((x, a) \in R \wedge (a, y) \in Y_2)$ (EI)

$\Rightarrow a \in Y_1 \wedge ((x, a) \in R \wedge a = y)$ (definition of Y_2)

$\Rightarrow (x, a) \in R \wedge a = y$ (I2)

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} (x, a) \in R & \text{(E9, I2)} \dots \text{(I)} \\ a = y & \dots \text{(II)} \end{cases}$

Then $(x, y) \in R$ (I), (II), sub₂)

\Leftarrow) We are to prove $(x, y) \in R \implies (x, y) \in R \circ Y_2$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(x, y) \in R$ (hypothesis) (III)

let $a = y \dots$ (X)

$\Rightarrow (a, y) \in Y_2$ (definition of Y_2) \dots (IV)

$\Rightarrow (a, y) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ (definition of Y_2)

$\Rightarrow a \in Y_1 \wedge y \in Y_2$ (definition of X)

$\Rightarrow a \in Y_1$ (I2)

$\Rightarrow a \in Y_1 \wedge (x, y) \in R \wedge (a, y) \in Y_2$ ((III), (IV), I6)

$\Rightarrow a \in Y_1 \wedge (x, a) \in R \wedge (a, y) \in Y_2$ (X), sub₂)

$\Rightarrow \exists z (z \in Y_1 \wedge ((x, z) \in R \wedge (z, y) \in Y_2))$ (E9)

$\Rightarrow (x, y) \in R \circ Y_2$ (definition of \circ)

Thus $(x, y) \in R \implies (x, y) \in R \circ Y_2$

Thus $(x, y) \in R \circ Y_2 \iff (x, y) \in R$

$\Rightarrow R \circ Y_2 = R$ (principle of extension)

b) We are to prove $(x, y) \in X \circ R \iff (x, y) \in R$

(Bidirectional proof)

\Rightarrow) We are to prove $(x, y) \in X \circ R \implies (x, y) \in R$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(x, y) \in X \circ R$ (hypothesis)

$\Rightarrow \exists z (z \in X_1 \wedge ((x, z) \in X_2 \wedge (z, y) \in R))$ (definition of \circ)

$\Rightarrow b \in X_1 \wedge (x, b) \in X_2 \wedge (b, y) \in R$ (EI)

$\Rightarrow b \in X_1 \wedge x = b \wedge (b, y) \in R$ (definition of X_2)

$\Rightarrow x = b \wedge (b, y) \in R$ (I2)

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = b & \text{(E9, I2)} \dots \text{(V)} \\ (b, y) \in R & \dots \text{(VI)} \end{cases}$

Then $(x, y) \in R$ ((VI), (V), sub₂)

\Leftarrow) We are to prove $(x, y) \in R \implies (x, y) \in X \circ R$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(x, y) \in R$ (hypothesis) \dots (VII)

Let $x = b \dots$ (IX)

$\Rightarrow (x, b) \in X_2$ (definition of X_2) \dots (VIII)

$\Rightarrow (x, b) \in X_1 \times X_2$ (definition of X_2)

$\Rightarrow x \in X_1 \wedge b \in X_2$ (definition of X)

$\Rightarrow b \in X_2$ (E9, I2)

$\Rightarrow b \in X_2 \wedge (x, b) \in X_2 \wedge (x, y) \in R$ ((VIII), (VII), I6)

$\Rightarrow b \in X_2 \wedge (x, b) \in X_2 \wedge (b, y) \in R$ ((IX), sub₂)

$$\Rightarrow (\exists z)(z \in X \wedge (x, z) \in X_0 \wedge (z, y) \in R) \quad (E\exists)$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, y) \in X_0 \cup R \quad (\text{definition of } o)$$

Thus $(x, y) \in R \Rightarrow (x, y) \in X_0 \cup R$
 Thus $(x, y) \in X_0 \cup R \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in R$
 $\Rightarrow X_0 \cup R = R$ (principle of extension)

6.6.14. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$

a) $(\exists g, h)(g: Y \rightarrow X \wedge h: Y \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ g = I_X \wedge h \circ f = I_Y) \Rightarrow f$ is a one-to-one correspondence.

(direct proof)

Suppose $(\exists g, h)(g: Y \rightarrow X \wedge h: Y \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ g = I_X \wedge h \circ f = I_Y)$
 $\Rightarrow c: Y \rightarrow X \wedge d: Y \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ c = I_X \wedge d \circ f = I_Y \quad (EI)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} c: Y \rightarrow X \\ d: Y \rightarrow X \\ f \circ c = I_X \\ d \circ f = I_Y \end{cases} \quad (E\exists, IU) \dots (F) \dots (G)$$

i) We are to prove f is one-to-one.
 $\Rightarrow (x, z) \in f \wedge (x, z) \in f \Rightarrow x = y$ (definition of one-to-one)

(direct proof)

Suppose $(x, z) \in f \wedge (x, z) \in f \quad (A)$
 $\Rightarrow z = f(x) \wedge z = f(y)$ (equivalent notation)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} z = f(x) \\ z = f(y) \end{cases} \quad (E\exists, IU) \dots (I) \dots (II)$$

Now, $z = f(y) \quad (II)$
 $\Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \quad (I, \text{sub}) \dots (III)$

Also $(x, z) \in f \quad (IA) IU) \dots (X)$
 $\Rightarrow (x, z) \in X \times Y$ (definition of f)
 $\Rightarrow x \in X \wedge z \in Y$ (definition of x)
 $\Rightarrow z \in Y \quad (E\exists, IU) \dots (Z)$

Similarly, $I_X(y) = y$ (definition of I_X)
 $\Rightarrow (x, y) \in I_X$ (equivalent notation)
 $\Rightarrow (x, y) \in f \circ c \quad ((F), \text{sub})$
 $\Rightarrow (\exists z \in Y)((x, z) \in f \wedge (z, y) \in c)$ (definition of o)
 $\Rightarrow a \in Y \wedge ((x, a) \in f \wedge (a, y) \in c) \quad (EI)$
 $\Rightarrow (x, a) \in f \wedge (a, y) \in c \quad (IU)$
 $\Rightarrow a = f(y) \wedge (a, y) \in c$ (equivalent notation)
 $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a = f(y) \\ (a, y) \in c \end{cases} \quad (E\exists, IU) \dots (B) \dots (C)$

Then $(f(y), y) \in c \quad ((C), (B), \text{sub})$
 $\Rightarrow (z, y) \in c \quad ((II), \text{sub})$
 $\Rightarrow (x, z) \in f \wedge (z, y) \in c \quad ((X), IU, E\exists)$
 $\Rightarrow z \in Y \wedge ((x, z) \in f \wedge (z, y) \in c) \quad ((Z), IU, E\exists)$
 $\Rightarrow (\exists z \in Y)((x, z) \in f \wedge (z, y) \in c) \quad (E\exists)$
 $\Rightarrow (x, y) \in f \circ c$ (definition of o)
 $\Rightarrow (x, y) \in I_X \quad ((F), \text{sub})$
 $\Rightarrow y = I_X(x)$ (equivalent notation)
 $\Rightarrow y = x$ (definition of I_X)
 $\Rightarrow x = y$ (= is reflexive)

Thus f is one-to-one.

ii) We are to prove f is onto
 $\Rightarrow (\forall y)(y \in Y \Rightarrow ((\exists x)(x \in X \wedge y = f(x)))$ (definition of onto)
 $\Rightarrow y \in Y \Rightarrow ((\exists x)(x \in X \wedge y = f(x))$ (UI)

(direct proof)

Suppose $y \in Y$ (hypothesis)

let $x \in X$ such that $x = d(y)$ (definition of d) ... (1)

Now, $y = I_y(y)$ (definition of $I_y(y)$)
 $= d \circ f(y)$ ((G), sub₌)
 $= f(d(y))$ (equivalent notation)
 $= f(x)$ ((1), sub₌) ... (2)

Thus $x \in X \wedge y = f(x)$ (definition of y (2), I6)

$\Rightarrow (\exists x)(x \in X \wedge y = f(x))$ (EQ)

Thus, $y \in Y \Rightarrow (\exists x)(x \in X \wedge y = f(x))$

$\Rightarrow (\forall y)(y \in Y \Rightarrow (\exists x)(x \in X \wedge y = f(x)))$ (Gen)

$\Rightarrow f$ is onto (definition of onto)

Since f is one-to-one and onto, thus f is one-to-one correspondence. \square

b) $(\exists g, h)(g: Y \rightarrow X \wedge h: Y \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ g = I_x \wedge h \circ f = I_y) \Rightarrow g = h = f^{-1}$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(\exists g, h)(g: Y \rightarrow X \wedge h: Y \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ g = I_x \wedge h \circ f = I_y)$

$\Rightarrow c: Y \rightarrow X \wedge d: Y \rightarrow X \wedge f \circ c = I_x \wedge d \circ f = I_y$ (EI)

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} c: Y \rightarrow X & \dots (A) \\ d: Y \rightarrow X & \dots (B) \\ f \circ c = I_x & \dots (C) \\ d \circ f = I_y & \dots (D) \end{cases}$ (E9, IU) ... (3)

i) We are to show $c = f^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in Y)(c(y) = f^{-1}(y))$ (lemma 6.1.1)

(direct proof)

let $y \in Y$... (1)

Then $x = c(y)$ for some $x \in X$. (definition of c) ... (4)

Then $z = f^{-1}(y)$ for some $z \in X$ (definition of f^{-1}) ... (2)

$\Rightarrow y = f(z)$ (definition of inverse) ... (5)

Also $z = I_x(z)$ (definition of I_x)

$= f \circ c(z)$ ((C), sub₌)

$= c(f(z))$ (equivalent notation)

$= c(y)$ ((5), sub₌)

$= z$ ((X), sub₌)

Thus $z = x \Rightarrow x = z$ (= is reflexive)

$\Rightarrow x = f^{-1}(y)$ ((2), sub₌)

$\Rightarrow c(y) = f^{-1}(y)$ ((4), sub₌)

$\Rightarrow y \in Y \wedge c(y) = f^{-1}(y)$ ((1), I6, E9)

$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in Y)(c(y) = f^{-1}(y))$ (Gen)

$\Rightarrow c = f^{-1}$ (lemma 6.1.1)

ii) We are to show $d = f^{-1} \Rightarrow (\forall y \in Y)(d(y) = f^{-1}(y))$ (lemma 6.1.1)

(direct proof)

let $y \in Y$

Then $x = d(y)$ for some $x \in X$. (definition of d) ... (2)

$y = I_y(y)$ (definition of I_y)

$= d \circ f(y)$ ((D), sub₌)

$= f(d(y))$ (equivalent notation)

Thus $y = f(d(y))$

$\Rightarrow d(y) = f^{-1}(y)$ (definition of f^{-1})

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in Y) (d(y) = f^{-1}(y)) \quad (G_2)$$

$$\text{Hence } (\forall y \in Y) (d(y) = f^{-1}(y)) \Rightarrow d = f^{-1} \quad (\text{lemma 6.1.1})$$

$$\text{Hence, } c = d = f^{-1} \quad \square$$

7.8.3. Let A and B be any two sets. Prove that $A \times B \sim B \times A$

We are to prove $(\exists f) f: A \times B \xrightarrow[\text{onto}]{1-1} B \times A$

Let $f: A \times B \rightarrow B \times A$ such that $((a, b), (b, a)) \in f$ (or equivalently, $f((a, b)) = (b, a)$)

i) We are to prove that $f((a, b)) = f((c, d)) \Rightarrow (a, b) = (c, d)$

(direct proof)

Suppose $f((a, b)) = f((c, d))$ (hypothesis)

$$\Rightarrow (b, a) = (d, c) \quad (\text{definition of } f)$$

$$\Rightarrow b = d \wedge a = c \quad (\text{lemma 4.4.8})$$

$$\Rightarrow a = c \wedge b = d \quad (E9)$$

$$\Rightarrow (a, b) = (c, d) \quad (\text{lemma 4.4.8})$$

Hence f is one-to-one.

ii) We are to prove $(\forall (c, d)) ((c, d) \in B \times A \Rightarrow (\exists (a, b)) ((a, b) \in A \times B \wedge (c, d) = f((a, b)))$
 $\Rightarrow (c, d) \in B \times A \Rightarrow (\exists (a, b)) ((a, b) \in A \times B \wedge (c, d) = f((a, b))) \quad (UI)$

(direct proof)

Suppose $(c, d) \in B \times A$

Now, $(c, d) = f((d, c))$ (definition of f) ... (A)

$$\Rightarrow ((d, c), (c, d)) \in f \quad (\text{equivalent notation})$$

$$\Rightarrow ((d, c), (c, d)) \in (A \times B) \times (B \times A) \quad (\text{definition of } f)$$

$$\Rightarrow (d, c) \in A \times B \wedge (c, d) \in B \times A \quad (\text{definition of } \times)$$

$$\Rightarrow (d, c) \in A \times B \quad (I2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (d, c) \in A \times B \wedge (c, d) = f((d, c)) \quad ((A), I6)$$

$$\text{Thus, } (\exists (a, b)) ((a, b) \in A \times B \wedge (c, d) = f((a, b)))$$

Hence, f is onto.

Thus, f is bijective.

Thus, $A \times B \sim B \times A$ (definition of \sim)

$$7.8.12. \quad g = f^{-1}$$

a) $g(i) =$ "program $L(\text{input}, \text{output});$
 var x : integer;
 begin
 read(x);
 write($2x$);
 end."

$$F_i(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Thus } d(i) = F_i(i) + 1 \\ = \begin{cases} 2i + 1 & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{definition of } F_i)$$

b) $g(i) = \text{"program } L(\text{input}, \text{output});$
 $\text{var } x: \text{integer};$
 begin
 $\text{read}(x);$
 $\text{repeat } x := x \text{ until } (\text{false})$
 $\text{end.}"$

By inspection, $g(i)$ has no output (it has no write, which dictates the output).

Thus, $F_i(x) = 1$

Hence $d(i) = F_i(i) + 1$
 $= 1 + 1$ (definition of $F_i(i)$)
 $= 2$ (h.s.a.)

c) $g(i) = \text{"program } L(\text{input}, \text{output});$
 $\text{var } x: \text{integer};$
 begin
 $\text{anjgishgragjskklsjjbumijk}$
 $\text{end.}"$

By inspection, $g(i)$ is an invalid program since there's no input or output.

Thus, $F_i(x) = 1$

Hence $d(i) = F_i(i) + 1$
 $= 1 + 1$ (definition of $F_i(i)$)
 $= 2$ (h.s.a.)

d) $g(i) = \text{"program } L(\text{input}, \text{output});$
 $\text{var } x: \text{integer};$
 begin
 $\text{read}(x);$
 $\text{if } (x < 50) \text{ then write}(x - 30)$
 $\text{elseif } (x < 1000) \text{ then write}(x/2)$
 $\text{else write("too large")}$
 $\text{end.}"$

By inspection, we can define $F_i(x)$ and $d(i)$ as follows:

$$F_i(x) = \begin{cases} x - 30 & \text{if } 30 < x < 50 \\ x/2 & \text{if } 50 \leq x < 1000 \text{ and } x/2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$d(i) = F_i(i) + 1$$

$$= \begin{cases} i - 29 & \text{if } 30 < i < 50 \\ i/2 + 1 & \text{if } 50 \leq i < 1000 \text{ and } i/2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\text{definition of } F_i(i))$$