

Clean Your Filthy RAGS!

Optimizing, Accelerating, and
Evaluating RAG Applications

Justin Castilla



elastic

| The Search
AI Company





Justin Castilla

Developer Advocate @
Elastic

justin.castilla@elastic.co

Agenda

This lesson will have the majority of the text here, and the [repository](#) will house the code.



01-Recap

What is a RAG?

02-Chunking

Learn why the use of chunking is advised for all text documents of a certain size

03-Hypothetical Documents

When you have no words to embed but plenty of data points, you need to get creative.

04-Semantic Caching

Don't waste your tokens and user's time on the same questions over and over!

05-Using LLM as a judge of your LLM

Work on the response by exploring prompt engineering and fine-tuning our Natural Language requests around the context sent

06-Conversation

Connect the code to a Gradio UI library to have a conversation with our RAG application

Recap - What is a RAG?

Chunking

- **Tokens**

- not quite words, but building blocks of understanding

- **Token Limitations**

- processing these token groupings is expensive

- **Vector Limitations**

- vectors can only hold so much information

- **Chunking**

- meeting the vector requirements and reducing cost

Hypothetical Documents

- **Challenge:**

- You have plenty of data in your documents: dimensions, costs, location, product_name, category
- No real semantic or contextual information
- Vectors don't run well against non-contextual data

- **Opportunity:**

- Have an LLM consume your document and create the ideal customer query about that product
- Store that query as a vector attached to your document
- Search your documents with user query and LLM query!

Semantic Caching

- **Cost considerations:**

- You pay for every token going to the LLM
- Users ask a lot of questions.
 - Many are the same 🤖
 - You are paying multiple times for the same query

- **Cost savings:**

- Park your previous question and answer pairs between your VectorDB and LLM
- Check it first to see if a similar question has been asked
- If it is similar enough (within a threshold of similarity), send it!

Using LLM-as-a-Judge to evaluate your LLM

- **Evaluating your RAG**

- Ensure you keep a low quantity of hallucinations, incorrect answers, curtness, or non-sequitur responses
- Identify lacks in knowledge where your data doesn't match what the user needs

- **Creating your Judge**

- Use an LLM to evaluate the quality of your LLM's response
 - Create prompts that identify correctness/incorrectness
 - Train with simple tables of examples

Using LLM-as-a-Judge to evaluate your LLM

- **Ask Binary questions, not quantitative**
 - Is the tone professional?
 - Is the response concise?
 - Is the answer faithful to the source material?
- **Keep a consistent evaluation criteria**
 - Ask your judge to explain reasoning step by step.
 - Have them give reasoning
 - create an evaluation matrix for each one

Using LLM-as-a-Judge to evaluate your LLM

- **How: create prompts for your Judge LLM**
 - They won't have large result sets sent to them like your main LLM, so they can take big prompts with lots of instructions
 - Iterate on your prompts
 - Act on your gathered metrics with updated prompts for your main LLM
- **This may or may not work for your use case**
 - Try a jury of LLMs instead of a judge to meet quorum
 - It might be to have a simple thumbs up/down near your answer
 - Evaluation is a fuzzy area

Thank you!

