

# CIS500 Final Review

April 29, 2013

What is the result of the following expression?

```
map (fun n => n * n) [1,2,3]
```

1. [1,2,3]
2. [1,4,9]
3. 3
4. 9
5. None of the above

What is the following expression equal to?

$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

1.  $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$
2.  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C$
3. None of the above

What is the type of the following function?

```
Fixpoint fold A B (f : A -> B -> B) (b : B)
               (l : list A) : B :=
  match l with
  | [] => b
  | a :: l' => f a (fold f b l')
  end.
```

1.  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{list } A \rightarrow B$
2. forall A B,  $f \rightarrow b \rightarrow l \rightarrow B$
3. forall A B,  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{list } A \rightarrow B$
4. forall A B,  $(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{list } A \rightarrow B$
5. Ill-typed

Suppose that  $A : \text{Type}$ ,  $P : A \rightarrow \text{Prop}$  and  $Q : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ . Are the following propositions logically equivalent? (i.e.,  $P1 \leftrightarrow P2$ )

$\text{forall } a : A, P \ a \rightarrow \text{forall } b : A, Q \ a \ b$

$\text{forall } a \ b : A, P \ a \rightarrow Q \ a \ b$

1. Yes
2. No

Suppose that  $A : \text{Type}$  and  $Q : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ . Are the following propositions logically equivalent? (i.e.,  $P1 \leftrightarrow P2$ )

$\text{forall } a : A, \text{ exists } b : A, Q \ a \ b$

$\text{exists } b : A, \text{ forall } a : A, Q \ a \ b$

1. Yes
2. No

Which logic connective does the following declaration define?

```
Inductive R (A B : Prop) : Prop :=  
| R_intro : A -> B -> R A B.
```

1. or
2. and
3. exists
4. forall
5. None of the above.

What is the type of the following expression?

```
fun A B (H : A \ / B) =>  
  match H with  
  | or_introl HA => or_intror HA  
  | or_intror HB => or_introl HB  
end
```

1. forall A B, A \ / B -> A /\ B
2. forall A B, A \ / B -> B \ / A
3. forall A B, A \ / B -> A \ / B
4. None of the above



Define the “strictly less than” relation as a Coq inductive predicate.

Annotate the following program.

```
{True}  
R ::= X;  
Q ::= 0;  
WHILE R >= D DO  
    R ::= R - D;  
    Q ::= Q + 1  
END  
{X = Q * D + R /\ R < D}
```

Find an invariant that can be used to prove the following specification holds.

```
{X = n}  
Y ::= 1;  
WHILE X > 0 DO  
    Y ::= 2 * Y;  
    X ::= X - 1  
END  
{Y = 2^n}
```

Find an invariant that can be used to prove the following specification holds.

```
{True}  
Y ::= 0;  
P ::= 0;  
WHILE Y * Y <= X DO  
  IF Y * Y = X THEN  
    P ::= 1;  
  ELSE  
    SKIP  
  FI;  
  Y ::= Y + 1  
END  
{P = 0 /\ (forall k, k * k <> X) /\  
  P = 1 /\ (exists k, k * k = X)}
```

Recall that the *type-safety* property states informally that *well-typed programs can't go wrong*. How do you formalize this statement in Coq?

Suppose that we add the following evaluation rule to the STLC:

-----  
if true then t1 else t2 ==> true

Is step still deterministic?

1. Yes
2. No

Suppose that we add the following evaluation rule to the STLC:

-----  
if true then t1 else t2 ==> true

Does progress still hold?

1. Yes
2. No

Suppose that we add the following evaluation rule to the STLC:

-----  
if true then t1 else t2 ==> true

Does preservation still hold?

1. Yes
2. No



Suppose that we add the following evaluation rules:

value v  
-----  
true v ==> false

value v  
-----  
false v ==> true

Is step still deterministic?

1. Yes
2. No

Suppose that we add the following evaluation rules:

value v  
-----  
true v ==> false

value v  
-----  
false v ==> true

Does progress still hold?

1. Yes
2. No

Suppose that we add the following evaluation rules:

value v  
-----  
true v ==> false

value v  
-----  
false v ==> true

Does preservation still hold?

1. Yes
2. No

Does the following proposition hold?

`exists T, empty |- (\p : T. p.fst (p.snd 42)) : T -> A`

1. Yes
2. No

Does the following proposition hold?

$\text{exists } T, \text{ empty } \vdash \text{fix } (\backslash n : \text{Nat}. \text{pred } n) : T$

1. Yes
2. No

Does the following proposition hold?

`exists T T',`

`y : T' |- y (if true then true else y) : T`

1. Yes
2. No

Give an informal proof of the following theorem about the STLC with booleans:

```
forall Gamma t T1 T2,  
  Gamma |- t : T1 ->  
  Gamma |- t : T2 ->  
  T1 = T2
```