## Evidence lower bounds with built-in baselines

Justin

December 23, 2022

## 1 Problem setup

The goal is to maximize the log marginal likelihood,

$$\log p(x) = \log \sum_{z} p(x, z), \tag{1}$$

for a latent variable model. The derivative of equation 1 is

$$\nabla \log \sum_{x} p(x, z) = \frac{p(x, z)}{p(x)} \nabla \log p(x, z) = p(z \mid x) \nabla \log p(x, z), \tag{2}$$

the expected gradient under the posterior. When exact marginalization and exact computation of the posterior is intractable,<sup>1</sup> a common approach is to introduce a variational approximation the the posterior  $q(z \mid x)$  and optimize the following lower bound

$$\log p(x) = \log \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) \frac{p(x, z)}{q(z \mid x)} \ge \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) \log \frac{p(x, z)}{q(z \mid x)}.^{2}$$
(3)

Empirically, we find that directly optimizing equation 3 to be more difficult than optimizing the marginal likelihood (equation 1), often requiring a baseline:

$$\nabla_{q} \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) \log \frac{p(x, z)}{q(z \mid x)}$$

$$= \nabla_{q} \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) \log p(x \mid z) - KL[q(z \mid x) || p(z)]$$

$$= \nabla_{q} \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) (\log p(x \mid z) - B) - KL[q(z \mid x) || p(z)],$$
(4)

where the baseline B is not a function of z.<sup>3</sup> Computing this baseline B can be relatively expensive, requiring multiple evaluations of  $p(x \mid z)$ . A common choice of baseline is the sample-average baseline, where  $B = \sum_{z' \in Z} \log p(x \mid z')$  and Z is a set of iid samples.<sup>4</sup>

We show that the gradient of the marginal likelihood (equation 2) already contains a built-in baseline, and use that to derive a simple and computable lower bound of the marginal likelihood (equation 1) whose gradient does not require the manual engineering of a baseline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Marginalization and computation of the posterior take the same amount of computation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The gap between the two is given by  $KL[q(z \mid x)||p(z \mid x)]$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The derivative is a linear operator, and  $\nabla \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) B = B \nabla \sum_{z} q(z \mid x) = B \cdot 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A more efficient alternative is the leave-one-out baseline, which is efficient if the results of  $p(x \mid z)$  are already available for a set of iid z.

## 2 The gradient of logsumexp gives the scaled regret

The key component of the marginal likelihood is the logsum exp operation, a smooth version of max:  $\log \sum_{z} \exp f(z)$ . Similar to the gradient of the marginal likelihood, the gradient of logsum exp is given by

$$\nabla \log \sum_{z' \in Z} \exp f(z') = \frac{e^{f(z)}}{\sum_{z' \in Z} e^{f(z')}} \nabla f(z) = e^{f(z) - \log \sum_{z' \in Z} e^{f(z')}} \nabla f(z) \approx \underbrace{e^{f(z) - \max_{z' \in Z} f(z')}}_{R} \nabla f(z).$$

The last approximation holds because logsum exp is a smooth approximation of max. We can therefore think of  $\log \sum_{z' \in Z} \exp f(z') \approx \max_{z' \in Z} f(z)$  as a built-in baseline. R is also the exponentiated regret, e.g. difference between a given f(z) and the best f(z). The gradient has another nice property: it is always scaled between 0 and 1.