Low-Rank Factorizations for Fast Inference in Structured Models

Justin Chiu* [1] Yuntian Deng* [2] Alexander Rush [1]

[1]Cornell Tech

[2]Harvard University

October 19, 2021

Structured Models

- Explicitly model output associations
  - Directly or through latent variables
- Focus on combinatorially large latent discrete structures
  - Complementary to continuous, deterministic representations
- More difficult to scale than alternative representations
  - Bottlenecked by time and space complexity of inference

Scaling Structured Models

- Target hypergraph models
- Impose a low-rank model constraint
  - Trades off model expressivity for cheaper inference
- Only constrain parameters used in key steps of inference

Problem Setup

- Model an observation $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_T)$ via latent structure z
- Perform training and evaluation via marginalization

$$p(x) = \sum_z p(x, z)$$



Hidden Markov models



Probabilistic context-free grammars

Hypergraphs for Inference

- Represent dynamic programs for inference as hypergraphs
- Propagate marginals along hyperedges
  - Hyperedge consists of a head nodes and tail nodes
  - Aggregate marginals from tails to head

Hyperedge representations for HMMs and PCFGs

Hypergraph Marginalization

- Combine tail marginals α_1, α_2 into joint tail marginal β_v
- Apply score matrix Ψ_e and aggregate in head marginal α_u
  - Multiple hyperedges may have the same head node

Algorithm 1 Hypergraph marginalization

for $u \leftarrow v$ hyperedge e topologically **do**

$\beta_v \leftarrow \alpha_{v_1} \alpha_{v_2}^\top$ $\quad \triangleright\ O(L^{|e|})$

$\alpha_u \overset{+}{\leftarrow} \Psi_e \beta_v$ $\quad \triangleright\ O(L^{|e|+1})$

return $\alpha_S^\top \mathbf{1}$

Low-rank Constraints

- Factor matrices $\Psi = UV^\top$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times R}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{L' \times R}$



- Two matrix-vector products of cost $O(LR)$ and $O(L'R)$

Low-rank Hypergraph Marginalization

Algorithm 2 Low-rank marginalization

for $u \leftarrow v_1, v_2$ hyperedge e topologically **do**

$\beta_v \leftarrow \alpha_{v_1} \alpha_{v_2}^\top$ ▷ $O(L^{|e|})$

$\gamma \leftarrow V_e^\top \beta_v$ ▷ $O(L^{|e|} R)$

$\alpha_u \xleftarrow{+} U_e \gamma$ ▷ $O(LR)$

return $\alpha_S^\top \mathbf{1}$

Expressivity of Rank-constrained Models

- Rank constraints limit expressivity
- Only apply constraints to a subset of parameters
  - Transition matrix for HMMs
  - Subset of the transition matrix for PCFGs
- Is it more expressive than a smaller model?
  - An L-state HMM with rank R ($< L$) is more expressive than an R-state HMM

Experiments

- Language modeling on Penn Treebank[1]
- Compare size vs speed and accuracy
- Softmax HMM and PCFG vs low-rank versions (LHMM, LPCFG)
- Evaluate accuracy with perplexity, a function of likelihood

[1] Marcus, Santorini, and Marcinkiewicz, 'Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: The Penn Treebank'.

HMM Results


State:Rank
softmax
2:1
4:1
8:1
Valid PPL
190
180
170
160
150
140
2^{10}
2^{11}
2^{12}
2^{13}
2^{14}
Number of states
Secs / Batch
2^{-1}
2^{-2}
2^{-3}
2^{-4}
2^{-5}
2^{10}
2^{11}
2^{12}
2^{13}
2^{14}
Number of states

HMM Speed vs Accuracy Frontier



PCFG Speed vs Accuracy Frontier



Conclusion

- Introduce a low-rank factorization to speed up inference
- Applies to models with hypergraph inference
- Most effective with large models
- Please see the paper for more experiments, analysis, and limitations

Citations I


Marcus, Mitchell P., Beatrice Santorini, and Mary Ann Marcinkiewicz. 'Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: The Penn Treebank'. In: *Computational Linguistics* 19.2 (1993), pp. 313–330. URL: https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/J93-2004.


Zhukov, Dimitri et al. 'Cross-task weakly supervised learning from instructional videos'. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2019, pp. 3537–3545.

Inference as Matrix-Vector Products

- Inference: sequence of matrix-vector products
- Speed up via fast matvec methods
- Applies to a large family of structured models

Model 1: Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)

For times t, model states $z_t \in [L] = \mathcal{L}$, and tokens $x_t \in [X] = \mathcal{X}$,



We wish to maximize

$$p(x) = \sum_{z_1} \cdots \sum_{z_T} p(x, z) = \mathbf{1}^\top \Psi_1 \Psi_2 \cdots \Psi_T \mathbf{1},$$

where

$$[\Psi_t]_{z_t, z_{t+1}} = p(z_{t+1}, x_t \mid z_t)$$
$$[\Psi_1]_{z_1, z_2} = p(z_2, x_1 \mid z_1) p(z_1)$$

Model 2: Probabilistic Context-Free Grammars (PCFG)

Assign mass to each rule in a rewrite system



We wish to maximize

$$p(x) = \sum_{\text{tree:yield(tree)}=x} p(\text{tree})$$

Matvec Inference in PCFGs

For each rule define

$$[\Psi]_{z_u,(z_1,z_2)} = p(B = z_1, C = z_2 \mid A = z_u),$$

Algorithm 3 PCFG Inference

for $(i, k) \leftarrow (i, j), (j, k)$ in span-size order **do**
  for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{i,j} \times \mathcal{L}_{j,k}$ **do**
    $[\beta_{i,j,k}]_{(z_1,z_2)} = [\alpha_{i,j}]_{z_1} [\alpha_{j,k}]_{z_2}$
  $\alpha_{i,k} \overset{+}{\leftarrow} \Psi_{i,j,k} \beta_{i,j,k}$
return $\alpha_{1,T}^{\top} \mathbf{1}$

Low-Rank Factorization

- Factor matrices $\Psi = UV^\top$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times R}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{L' \times R}$



- Two matrix-vector products of cost $O(LR)$ and $O(L'R)$

Hypergraph Marginalization

- Models where exact inference is a directed acyclic hypergraph
- Hypergraph contains a node set and hyperedge set
  - Nodes have label set $\mathcal{L}$
  - Hyperedges join a single head node u and a list of tail nodes v



Hyperedge representations for HMMs and PCFGs

Hypergraph Marginalization Algorithms

Algorithm 4 Hypergraph marginalization

for $u \leftarrow v$ hyperedge e topologically **do**

$\beta_v \leftarrow \alpha_{v_1} \alpha_{v_2}^\top$ ▷ $O(L^{|e|})$

$\alpha_u \overset{+}{\leftarrow} \Psi_e \beta_v$ ▷ $O(L^{|e|+1})$

return $\alpha_S^\top \mathbf{1}$

Algorithm 5 Low-rank marginalization

for $u \leftarrow v_1, v_2$ hyperedge e topologically **do**

$\beta_v \leftarrow \alpha_{v_1} \alpha_{v_2}^\top$ ▷ $O(L^{|e|})$

$\gamma \leftarrow V_e^\top \beta_v$ ▷ $O(L^{|e|} R)$

$\alpha_u \overset{+}{\leftarrow} U_e \gamma$ ▷ $O(LR)$

return $\alpha_S^\top \mathbf{1}$

Expressiveness and Generality

- Rank constraints limit expressivity
- Only apply to a subset of parameters
  - Transition matrix for HMMs
  - Subset of the transition matrix for PCFGs
- Is it more expressive than a smaller model?
  - An L-state HMM with rank R ($< L$) is more expressive than an R-state HMM

Experiments

Experiments

- Language modeling on Penn Treebank[2]
  - Compare speed vs accuracy frontier
  - Softmax HMM and PCFG vs low-rank versions (LHMM, LPCFG)
  - Evaluate accuracy with perplexity, a function of likelihood

- Video modeling on CrossTask[3]
  - Scale state size with fixed computation budget
  - Softmax HSMM vs low-rank HSMM
  - Evaluate accuracy with negative log-likelihood

[2] Marcus, Santorini, and Marcinkiewicz, 'Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: The Penn Treebank'.

[3] Zhukov et al., 'Cross-task weakly supervised learning from instructional videos'.

HMM Speed vs Accuracy



PCFG Speed vs Accuracy



HSMM Results

Model	L	N	NLL	Sec/Batch
HSMM	2^6	-	1.428e5	0.78
HSMM	2^7	-	1.427e5	2.22
HSMM	2^8	-	1.426e5	7.69
LHSMM	2^7	2^7	1.427e5	4.17
LHSMM	2^8	2^6	1.426e5	5.00
LHSMM	2^9	2^5	1.424e5	5.56
LHSMM	2^{10}	2^4	1.423e5	10.00

Conclusion

- Introduce a low-rank factorization to speed up inference
- Applies to models with hypergraph inference
- Most effective with large models

Citations I


Marcus, Mitchell P., Beatrice Santorini, and Mary Ann Marcinkiewicz. 'Building a Large Annotated Corpus of English: The Penn Treebank'. In: *Computational Linguistics* 19.2 (1993), pp. 313–330. URL: https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/J93-2004.


Zhukov, Dimitri et al. 'Cross-task weakly supervised learning from instructional videos'. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2019, pp. 3537–3545.

HMM Music Results

Model	Nott	Piano	Muse	JSB
RNN-NADE	2.31	**7.05**	**5.6**	5.19
R-Transformer	**2.24**	7.44	7.00	8.26
LSTM	3.43	7.77	7.23	8.17
LV-RNN	2.72	7.61	6.89	**3.99**
SRNN	2.94	8.20	6.28	4.74
TSBN	3.67	7.89	6.81	7.48
HMM	2.43	8.51	7.34	5.74
LHMM	2.60	8.89	7.60	5.80

PCFG Results

$\lvert\mathcal{N}\rvert$	$\lvert\mathcal{P}\rvert$	Model	N	PPL	Sec/Batch
30	60	PCFG	-	252.60	0.29
		LPCFG	8	247.02	0.27
		LPCFG	16	250.59	0.27
60	120	PCFG	-	234.01	0.33
		LPCFG	16	217.24	0.28
		LPCFG	32	213.81	0.30
100	200	PCFG	-	191.08	1.02
		LPCFG	32	203.47	0.64
		LPCFG	64	194.25	0.81

HSMM Speed vs Accuracy