Introduction to Score-matching

Justin T Chiu July 6, 2023

Goals

- 1. What is an energy-based model and why are they hard to train?
- 2. What is score-matching, and how can it be used to train an EBM?
- 3. How does score-matching relate to diffusion models?

Energy-Based Models (EBM)

Problem setup: Density estimation

- Observations from true model $x \sim p^*(x)$
- Ideally: Learn a model p(x) that's close to $p^*(x)$
 - Capture uncertainty / variability over x
- Participation: Give examples of an x we model, and how p(x) is parameterized
 - Ex: Language modeling uses Transformers for $p(x) = \prod_t p(x_t|x_{< t})$

Running example: Image generation

- "Solved": Finite-class density estimation
 - Softmax assigns a score to each E(x) then normalizes

$$softmax(x) = \frac{\exp(E(x))}{\sum_{x} \exp(E(x))}$$

- Image generation
 - Every change in a single pixel is a new class
 - Size: 1024 x 1024, each pixel has 256 * 3 values

Image generation models

Autoregressive: Break down generation from left-to-right

$$p(x) = \prod_{t} p(x_{ij}|x_{< i,j},x_{\bullet,< j})$$

Latent variable model: Specify break down more flexibly

$$p(x) = \sum_{z} p(x|z)p(z)$$

Energy-based model: Don't force breakdown of decision process

$$p(x) = \frac{E(x)}{\int_x E(x)}$$

EBM drawing

Example:

$$E(x) = \sum_i E_i(x)$$

What is an EBM?

Globally normalized over images x

$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(E(x))}{Z}$$
$$Z = \int_{X} \exp(E(x))$$

- Computation of the partition function Z is hard
 - Integrate E(x) over all possible images
- Goal of training: maximize likelihood (minimize KL div)
 - Need to compute p(x) and therefore Z
 - Next: How to avoid computing partition function Z

Score-matching: Training an EBM

KL divergence to Fisher divergence

Standard: Minimize KL divergence

$$E_{p^*(x)} \log \frac{p^*(x)}{p(x)} = E_{p^*(x)} \log p^*(x) - E_{p^*(x)} \log p(x)$$

- Issue: Can't compute p(x) because of Z
- Instead: Give up on equality := KL div

Approximation lemma (made up)

- Two continuous functions are equal iff they are pointwise equal $p^*(x) = p(x)$
- ALSO: Two continuous functions are equal iff their derivatives are equal $\nabla_x p^*(x) = \nabla_x p(x)$

Fisher divergence intuition

- If two density fns are equal, have the same Stein score $s(x) = \nabla_x \log p(x)$
- Can use the Stein score to get good samples / find likely x
 - Langevin dynamics: follow score + noise
- Lose ability to compute likelihoods, can only use score model for sampling

Minimize Fisher divergence = Score matching

Minimize Fisher divergence to avoid computing Z

$$E_{p^*(x)} \left\| \nabla_x \log \frac{p^*(x)}{p(x)} \right\|_2^2 = E_{p^*(x)} \left\| \nabla_x \log p^*(x) - \nabla_x \log p(x) \right\|_2^2$$

• Notation: Introduce Stein score $s(x) = \nabla_x \log p(x)$

$$E_{p^*(x)} \|\nabla_x \log p^*(x) - \nabla_x \log p(x)\|_2^2 = E_{p^*(x)} \|\nabla_x \log p^*(x) - s(x)\|_2^2$$

• Parameterize s(x) directly instead of p(x), avoid computing Z

Issues in training an EBM

$$E_{p^*(x)} \|\nabla_x \log p^*(x) - s(x)\|_2^2$$

- 1) Solved: Cant compute p(x) b/c of Z=> model Stein score $s(x)=\nabla_x\log p(x)$
- 2) Unknown p^* : Dont know $p^*(x)$ or its score

3) Covariate shift: $E_{p^*(x)}$ is problematic because of covariate shift

Avoiding p^* : Implicit score matching

Can rewrite the explicit score matching objective to avoid p*

$$E_{p^*(x)}\left[\|\nabla_x \log p^*(x) - s(x)\|_2^2\right] \approx E_{p^*(x)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|s(x)\|_2^2 + tr(\nabla_x s(x))\right]$$

- Second term is nasty: $s(x) \in R^d$, $\nabla_x s(x) \in R^{d \times d}$
- Solution: Use Hutchinson's trace estimator

$$E_{p^*(x)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|s(x)\|_2^2 + tr(\nabla_x s(x))\right] = E_{v \sim N(0, l_d)} E_{p^*(x)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|s(x)\|_2^2 + v^T \nabla_x s(x)\right)v\right]$$

Easy to implement with pytorch

Covariate shift

- Sample via Langevin dynamics := Start with random point and follow score + noise
 - Score is trained on examples drawn from $p^*(x)$
 - Score is bad on regions of low $p^*(x)$, eg random points
 - Slow mixing and bad samples

Solution to cov shift

- Solution: sample perturbed $x \sim p^*(x)$ with multiple noise scales $\{\sigma_i\}$
 - Interpretation: Data augmention + smooth out samples
 - Need to have score model condition on noise $s(x; \sigma_i)$

Summary

- Intractable partition function => Model (Stein) score
 - Pointwise equality => derivative equality
 - Lose ability to compute likelihoods, can only use score model for sampling
 - Sample via Langevin dynamics (follow grad+noise)
- Don't know data score: Rewrite objective to avoid $\nabla_x p^*(x)$
 - Results in some nasty expressions => Estimate with Hutchinson trace estimator
- Add multiple noise scales to help learning score at random points

Connection to diffusion models

Diffusion models

 Hierarchical VAE perspective: forward / reverse process vs noised marginals + score model

SDE: continuous-time extension of score matching (time = the noise scale)

Credits

- Ayan Das' blog post
- **L**yu 2009
- Vincent 2011
- Song 2019