

ST. MICHAEL'S COLLEGE OF ILIGAN, INC

Document Code:

SMCII.RMO.IGVTCVF.001

Rev.:

Effectivity Date: 08.20.2024

Subject:

INTERVIEW GUIDE VALIDATION TOOL Construct Validity Form 21

INTERVIEW GUIDE VALIDATION TOOL Construct Validity

nstitution's Name: Research Title:
Researcher's Name: Program: MA PhD Specialization: Date of Validation: Expert Validator:
Field of Expertise:
PART I: VALIDATOR'S PROFILE
Name of Validator:
Current Position: nstitution/Affiliation:
Field of Expertise:
□ Other (Please specify):

Part II: Evaluation of Interview Questions

Please evaluate each interview question using the following criteria: Clarity, Relevance, Grammatical Accuracy, Comprehensiveness, and Alignment. Clarity refers to how well the question is worded and whether it is easy to understand. Grammatical Accuracy ensures that the item is free from grammatical errors, with appropriate sentence structure, punctuation, and word choice that ensure clear and effective communication. Relevance assesses the question's connection to the research objectives. Comprehensiveness evaluates whether the question adequately covers essential aspects of the research topic. Finally, Alignment ensures that the question is consistent with the qualitative research design.

Each criterion should be rated on a scale of 1 to 4, where 1 indicates the lowest level of effectiveness and 4 represents the highest level (e.g., 1 – Unsatisfactory, 4 – Excellent).



ST. MICHAEL'S COLLEGE OF ILIGAN, INC

Document Code: SMCII.RMO.IGVTCVF.001

Rev.: Effectivity Date: 0 08.20.2024

Subject:

INTERVIEW GUIDE VALIDATION TOOL Construct Validity Form 21

Part III: Itemized Validation of Interview Questions

Instructions: Please evaluate each interview question using the criteria below. Circle the appropriate rating for each dimension in the Interview Assessment Table Form.

Criteria	4 - Excellent	3 - Satisfactory	2 - Needs Improvement	1 - Unsatisfactory
Clarity (Is the question clearly worded and easy to understand?)	Well- structured and precise; no ambiguity.	Easy to understand with minor rewording needed.	Some parts are unclear or vague.	Difficult to understand; ambiguous.
Grammatical Accuracy (is the item free from grammatical errors, with appropriate sentence structure, punctuation, and word choice that ensure clear and effective communication	The item is free from grammatical errors, with clear and precise language.	The item has minor grammatical issues that do not hinder understanding.	The item contains noticeable grammatical errors, including sentence structure issues, improper punctuation, or awkward phrasing.	The item has frequent grammatical errors that significantly hinder comprehension.
Relevance (Is the question relevant to the research objectives?)	Strongly supports key research goals.	Directly relates to research objectives.	Partially relates to objectives but may need refinement	Does not relate to research objectives.
Comprehensiveness (Does the question cover essential aspects of the research topic?)	Fully covers all critical aspects of the topic.	Covers most essential aspects	Covers some but not all necessary aspects.	Misses key aspects of the topic.
Alignment (Does the question align with the qualitative research design?)	Perfectly matches qualitative research principles.	Fits qualitative research principles well.	Has partial alignment with the qualitative design.	Does not fit qualitative research design.



ST. MICHAEL'S COLLEGE OF ILIGAN, INC

Document Code: SMCII.RMO.IGVTCVF.001

Rev.: Effectivity Date: 0 08.20.2024

Subject:

INTERVIEW GUIDE VALIDATION TOOL Construct Validity Form 21

(PLEASE SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENT FOR QUALITATIVE QUESTIONS RATING FORM)

Validator's Final Recommendation

The interview guide is valid and can be used without revisions.

The interview guide requires minor revisions before approval.

+ The interview guide needs major modifications before approval.

Validator's Name:	
Signature:	
Date:	