Analysis-I Exercise - Rajeeva L. Karandikar

Soham Chatterjee

Roll: BMC202175

1 Exercise A

A1. Given that

$$a+t=b+t$$

where $a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now adding -t both sides we get

$$(a+t) - t = (b+t) - t$$

or, $a + (t-t) = b + (t-t)$
or, $a + 0 = b + 0$
or, $a = b$ [Proved]

A2. Given that

$$a\cdot s=b\cdot s$$

where $a, b, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Now multiplying $\frac{1}{s}$ both sides we get

$$(a \cdot s) \cdot \frac{1}{s} = (b \cdot s) \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$
 or, $a \cdot (s \cdot \frac{1}{s}) = b \cdot (s \cdot \frac{1}{s})$ or, $a \cdot 1 = b \cdot 1$ or, $a = b$ [Proved]

A3. Given that

$$a + t < b + t$$

where $a, b, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now adding -t both sides we get

$$(a+t) - t < (b+t) - t$$

or, $a + (t-t) < b + (t-t)$
or, $a + 0 < b + 0$
or, $a < b$ [Proved]

A4. We know that x - x = 0. If x > 0 then $x - x > 0 - x \implies 0 > -x$. Similarly if x < 0 then $x - x < 0 - x \implies 0 < -x$.

Let $x \cdot y > 0$ and x > 0. Let y < 0. Hence z > 0. Hence $x \cdot -y > 0$. Hence

$$x \cdot y + x \cdot (-y) > 0 \implies x \cdot (y - y) > 0 \implies x \cdot 0 > 0$$

which is not true. Hence y>0. If x<0 assume y>0. Hence -x>0. Hence $(-x)\cdot y>0$. Hence

$$x \cdot y + (-x) \cdot y > 0 \implies (x - x) \cdot y > 0 \implies 0 \cdot y > 0$$

which is not true. Hence y < 0.

Now for the given problem

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot s &< b \cdot s \\ \text{or, } a \cdot s + (-a) \cdot s &< b \cdot s + (-a) \cdot s \\ \text{or, } (a-a) \cdot s &< (b-a) \cdot s \\ \text{or, } 0 \cdot s &< (b-a) \cdot s \\ \text{or, } 0 &< (b-a) \cdot s \end{aligned}$$

As s < 0 hence

$$b - a < 0 \implies b - a + a < 0 + a \implies b < a$$
 [Proved]

A5. Given that $x \cdot y = x$. Multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{x}$

$$x \cdot y = x$$
or, $(x \cdot y) \cdot \frac{1}{x} = x \cdot \frac{1}{x}$
or, $y \cdot \left(x \cdot \frac{1}{x}\right) = 1$
or, $y \cdot 1 = 1$
or, $y = 1$ [Proved]

2 Exercise B

B1. Given y>1. As y>0, $\frac{1}{y}$ multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{y}$ we get

$$y \cdot \frac{1}{y} > 1 \cdot \frac{1}{y} \implies 1 > \frac{1}{y}$$
 [Proved]

B2. Consider the real number $\frac{1}{z}$. By Archimedean Property $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \cdot \frac{1}{z} > 1$ Now multiplying z in both sides we get

$$\left(n \cdot \frac{1}{z} > 1\right) \cdot z > 1 \cdot z \implies n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{z} \cdot z\right) > z \implies n > z$$

Hence $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } n > z.[Proved]$

B3. Let A be a non-empty set of integers which is bounded above. As $A \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, A has a least upper bound. Let's say it is s. Hence $\forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists \; a \in A$ such that

$$s - \epsilon < a \le s$$

Because if it is not true then there exists no such $a \in A$ which is greater than $s - \epsilon$. Then $s - \epsilon$ would be an upper which is less than s which is

not possible since s is the least upper bound. Now a is an integer. Take $\epsilon=1$. If s>a then a is not the upper bound $\exists \ b\in A$ such that

$$s \ge b > a > s - 1$$

Hence there exists two distinct integers such that 0 < b - a < 1 which is not possible. Hence s = a. Therefore the least upper bound of a bounded non-empty set of integers is also an integer and belongs to that set.

Now, let S be the set of all integers n such that $n \leq z$. Then \exists a least upper bound of B. Let b be the least upper bound of B. As we previously proved b is an integer and $b \in B$. Hence $b \leq z$.

Now, if $b+1 \leq z$ then $b+1 \in B$. Then there exists an upper bound of the set B which is greater than the least upper bound and also an element of B which is not possible. Hence b+1>z. Hence $\exists \ t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t-1 \leq z < t$.[Proved]

B4. $\forall z > 1, z \in \mathbb{R} \exists t \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } t - 1 \leq z < t. \text{ As } t - 1 \leq z \text{ we can say}$

$$t-1+1 \le z+1 \implies t \le z+1$$

Hence

$$z < t \le z + 1$$

Therefore $\exists s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z < s \le z + 1$.[Proved]

B5. Given that y > x. Hence y - x > 0. Now by Archimedean Property $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$k \cdot (y - x) > 1 \implies k \cdot y > 1 + k \cdot x$$
 [Proved]

B6. Now, $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 + k \cdot x < k \cdot y$$

Now $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \cdot x < m \le k \cdot x + 1$. Hence

$$k \cdot x < m < k \cdot y$$

There $\exists k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \cdot x < m < k \cdot y$. [Proved]

B7. We know that there $\exists k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \cdot x < m < k \cdot y$. Multiplying $\frac{1}{k}$ we get

$$\frac{1}{k} \cdot k \cdot x < \frac{1}{k} \cdot m < \frac{1}{k} \cdot k \cdot y \implies x < \frac{m}{k} < y$$

As m, k are integers $\frac{m}{k} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore there $\exists r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that x < r < y.[Proved]

B8. AS $\beta > \alpha$, $\beta - \alpha > 0$. By Archimedean Property $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \cdot (\beta - \alpha) > 1 \implies \beta - \alpha > \frac{1}{n}$. Now let S be the set of all integers k such that $k > n \cdot \alpha$. Hence the set S has a greatest lower bound. Let m be the greatest lower bound. Hence $m > n \cdot \alpha \implies \frac{m}{n} > \alpha$.

Now $n \cdot \alpha + 1 > m$ because if not then m-1 be an element of S which is less than the greatest lower bound which is not possible. Hence

$$n \cdot x + 1 > m \implies \alpha + \frac{1}{n} > \frac{m}{n}$$

Therefore

$$\alpha + (\beta - \alpha) > \alpha + \frac{1}{n} > \frac{m}{n} > \alpha \implies y > \frac{m}{n} > \alpha$$

Hence $\forall \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \ \exists \ r \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } \alpha < r < \beta. [Proved]$