

# Analysis Assignment 1

## - Rajeeva L. Karandikar

Soham Chatterjee

Roll: BMC202175

1. (i) We know that if  $b_n = \sup\{a_i \mid i \geq n, i, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  then  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ . Hence  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|b_n - \alpha| < \epsilon \implies \alpha - \epsilon < b_n < \alpha + \epsilon$$

for all  $n > N$ . Since  $\alpha - \epsilon$  is less than supremum of the set  $\{a_i \mid i \geq n, i, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  there exists a  $a_m$  where  $m \geq n$  such that

$$\alpha - \epsilon < a_m \leq b_n \implies \alpha - \epsilon < a_m < \alpha + \epsilon$$

Here  $m \geq n > N \implies m > N$ . Now if we take  $\max\{N, k\} = N_1$ , for all  $n > N_1$ ,  $\alpha - \epsilon < b_n < \alpha + \epsilon$  and hence there exists a term of the sequence  $a_m$  where  $m \geq n$  such that the inequality

$$\alpha - \epsilon < a_m \leq b_n < \alpha + \epsilon$$

satisfies where  $m \geq n > N_1 \geq k$ . Hence  $\forall k < \infty$  and  $\epsilon > 0 \exists m > k$  such that

$$\alpha - \epsilon < a_m < \alpha + \epsilon$$

- (ii) Using the statement of previous problem  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  and  $k < \infty$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \exists m > k$  such that

$$\alpha - \epsilon < a_m < \alpha + \epsilon$$

Now given that  $\exists \{n_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq t\}$  where  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{j} < a_{n_j} < \alpha + \frac{1}{j}$$

where  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ . Now if we choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{t+1}$  and  $k = n_t + 1$  there exists  $m > k$  such that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{t+1} < a_m < \alpha + \frac{1}{t+1}$$

Now take  $m = n_{t+1}$  then we have  $n_{t+1} > n_t$  which satisfies the inequality

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{t+1} < a_{n_{t+1}} < \alpha + \frac{1}{t+1}$$

- (iii) Using the statement in problem (i) we can say that for  $k_1 = 1$  and  $\epsilon_1 = 1$  there exists  $m > k_1$  such that

$$\alpha - 1 < a_m < \alpha + 1$$

Take  $m = n_1$ . Now if we choose  $k_2 = m$  and  $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2}$  using the statement in previous problem there exists a  $m' > k_2$  such that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{2} < a_{m'} < \alpha + \frac{1}{2}$$

Take this  $m' = n_2$ . Now if there exists  $\{n_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq t\}$  where  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t$  such that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{j} < a_{n_j} < \alpha + \frac{1}{j}$$

$j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$  there exists  $n_{t+1} > n_t$  such that the following inequality satisfies

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{t+1} < a_{n_{t+1}} < \alpha + \frac{1}{t+1}$$

Hence by Mathematical Induction we can say that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $a_{n_{k+1}} > a_{n_k}$  such that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{k+1} < a_{n_{k+1}} < \alpha + \frac{1}{k+1}$$

Hence we get a sequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  where  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  such that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k} < \alpha + \frac{1}{k}$$

Hence the sequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  converges to  $\alpha$ . Therefore  $\exists \{n_j \mid j \geq 1\}$  such that  $n_j < n_{j+1}$  where  $j \geq 1$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_j} = \alpha \text{ [Proved]}$$

- (iv) First take the sequence  $\{c_n\}$ . Let  $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup c_n$ . Therefore using the statement in previous problem we can say that  $\exists \{n_j \mid j \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $n_j < n_{j+1}$  where  $j \geq 1$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{n_j} = c$$

Given that any subsequence of the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  converges to  $\theta$ . Therefore we can say  $\theta = c$ .

Now consider the sequence  $\{-c_n\}$ . Suppose  $d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup(-c_n)$ . Hence  $\exists \{m_j \mid j \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $m_j < m_{j+1}$  where  $j \geq 1$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (-c_{m_j}) = d$$

Let  $b_n = \sup\{(-c_k) \mid k \geq n, k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Hence  $b_n \geq (-c_k) \forall k \geq n$ . Hence  $-b_n \leq c_k \forall k \geq n$ . Hence  $-b_n = \inf\{c_k \mid k \geq n\}$ . Hence

$$-d = -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup(-c_n) = -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf c_n$$

Therefore  $\exists \{m_j \mid j \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $m_j < m_{j+1}$  where  $j \geq 1$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_{m_j} = -d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf c_n$$

As any subsequence of the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  converges to  $\theta$  we can say  $-d = \theta$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup c_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf c_n = \theta$$

Hence we can say

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \theta$$

2. (i) Given that  $\{b_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence. Therefore  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq \dots$ . Now  $w_k = u_k + 1 = 2^k + 1$  and  $v_k = 2^{k+1}$  hence there are exactly  $2^k$  terms from  $w_k$  to  $v_k$ . Now  $b_{w_k} \geq b_n$  for all  $n \geq w_k$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{j=w_k}^{v_k} b_j \leq \sum_{j=w_k}^{v_k} b_{w_k} = 2^k b_{w_k}$$

Again  $b_{v_k} \leq b_n$  for all  $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, v_k\}$ . Hence

$$\sum_{j=w_k}^{v_k} b_j \geq \sum_{j=w_k}^{v_k} b_{v_k} = 2^k b_{v_k}$$

Therefore

$$2^k b_{v_k} \leq \sum_{j=w_k}^{v_k} b_j \leq 2^k b_{w_k}$$

(ii) As  $\{b_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence. Therefore  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq \dots$ . Now  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k b_n$ . Let  $2^m < k \leq 2^{m+1}$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose Now suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n}$  converges. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\leq b_1 + (b_2 + b_3) + (b_4 + b_5 + b_6 + b_7) + \dots + \sum_{n=2^i}^{2^{i+1}-1} b_n + \dots + \sum_{n=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} b_n \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left( \sum_{n=2^i}^{2^{i+1}-1} b_n \right) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\leq \sum_{i=0}^m \left( \sum_{n=2^i}^{2^{i+1}-1} b_{2^i} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\leq \sum_{i=0}^m 2^i b_{2^i} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k b_n \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^k 2^n b_{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n}$$

Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n}$  converges.

Now suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\geq b_1 + b_2 + (b_3 + b_4) + \dots + \sum_{n=2^i+1}^{2^{i+1}} b_n + \dots + \sum_{n=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} b_n \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\geq \frac{1}{2} b_1 + b_2 + 2b_4 + \dots + 2^i b_{2^{i+1}} + \dots + 2^{m-1} b_{2^m} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^k b_n &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2^i b_{2^{i+1}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k b_n \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} 2^n b_{2^{n+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} 2^n b_{2^{n+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^{n+1}} \right]$$

Hence  $\left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^{n+1}} \right]$  converges as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges.

Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n}$  converges. [Proved]

(iii) Let  $p > 1$ . Using the statement in the previous problem we can say that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  converges if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{(2^n)^p}$  converges. Now

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{(2^n)^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-p)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^{1-p})^n$$

As  $p > 1$ ,  $1 - p < 0$  hence  $2^{1-p} < 1$ . Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2^{1-p})^n$  is a geometric series which converges and therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{(2^n)^p}$  converges. Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  converges.

Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  converges. Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{(2^n)^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-p)}$  converges. Now if  $p \leq 1$  then  $1 - p \geq 0$ . Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-p)} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n \cdot 0} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k 1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k$$

Now  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k$  diverges. Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  will also diverge but we said that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  converges. Contradiction. Hence  $p > 1$ .

Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  converges if and only if  $p > 1$ . [Proved]