Lab: Two-Point Discrimination (Tactile Acuity)

BIO 137 · Human Anatomy and Physiology I · Unit 2 Lab Fall 2025 · KCTCS Somerset · Instructor: Justin N. Howard · (In Person)

Introduction

This lab investigates tactile acuity by measuring two-point discrimination thresholds across different body regions and relating receptor density to sensitivity.

Background

Touch acuity varies across the body due to differences in mechanoreceptor density and cortical representation. The two-point discrimination test estimates the smallest distance at which two simultaneous touches are perceived as distinct.



Figure 1: Example apparatus and target regions for two-point discrimination.

Safety

This is a non-invasive lab. Avoid excessive pressure that could break skin. Sanitize shared instruments between participants.

Materials

- Vernier calipers or measured paperclip divider
- Metric ruler
- Alcohol wipes or disinfectant
- Data sheet and pen; timer (optional)

Procedure

- 1. Prepare instrument: Set to a small separation (e.g., 1–2 mm) and verify with a ruler.
- 2. **Subject posture:** Subject closes eyes; arm relaxed on table.
- 3. **Testing rule:** Apply either one or two points gently and simultaneously for \sim 1 s.
- 4. Adaptive staircase: If reported "one," increase separation by 2-3 mm; if "two," decrease by 1-2 mm.
- 5. **Threshold:** Record the minimum distance identified as "two" in 3 consecutive trials.
- 6. Regions (suggested): fingertip, palm, forearm (volar), upper arm, back, calf.
- 7. **Repeat:** Collect data for both partners.

Student Worksheet — Lab: Two-Point Discrimination (Tactile Acuity)

| BIO 137 · Instructor: Justin N. I | Howard | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Name: | | Date: | | | |
| Instructions (Submission). | - | | | | |
| Electronic (preferred): C to Blackboard. | omplete this fillabl | e PDF, save as Last | name_Firstname | _Unit2Lab.pdf,an | d upload |
| Paper (alternative): Use meeting. | the paper worksh | eet PDF, complete | by hand, and subn | nit in person at the n | ext class |
| Choose only one method. | | | | | |
| Data Tables | | | | | 7 |
| Region | Trial 1 (mm) | Trial 2 (mm) | Trial 3 (mm) | Threshold (mm) | |
| Fingertip | | | | | |
| Palm | | | | | |
| Forearm (volar) | | | | | |
| Upper arm | | | | | |
| Back | | | | | |
| Calf | | | | | |
| Analysis & Question 1. Which region had the sm | allest (best) thresh | | | xplain using receptoi | r density. |
| 2. How could calluses, prio | r injury, or pressu | re variability affect | thresholds? | | |

3. Compare your data to your partner's. What biological or methodological factors explain differences?

| KCTCS Somerset • BIO 137 • Fall 2025 — Page 4 of 5 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Shier, D., Butler, J., & Lewis, R. (2021). Hole's Human Anatomy & Physiology (14th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

References