Throughout, let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space.

## 1 Conditional expectation

**Theorem 1.1** (Existence and uniqueness of conditional expectation). Let  $X \in L^1$ , and  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists a random variable Y such that

- Y is G-measurable
- $Y \in L^1$ , and  $\mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_A = \mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Moreover, if Y' is another random variable satisfying these conditions, then Y' = Y almost surely.

We call Y a (version of) the conditional expectation given G.

Proof. (Existence)

Case 1:  $X \in L^2$ .

Recall that  $L^2$  is a Hilbert space, and that the set of  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variables is a closed subspace of  $L^2$  (it is closed because the space  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$  is complete). The projection theorem then gives us the existence and uniqueness of  $Y \in L^2 \subseteq L^1$ .

Case 2:  $X \ge 0 \in L^1$ .

Let  $X_n = X \wedge n \in L^2$ . Then by case 1, we can define  $Y_n = \mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}) \in L^2$ . We make the following observation

**Lemma 1.1.1.** Suppose (X, Y) and (X', Y') are two pairs of random variables satisfying the conditions of the theorem, then  $X \ge X'$  implies  $Y \ge Y'$  almost surely.

*Proof.* Let 
$$A = \{Y < Y'\}$$
. Then  $\mathbb{E}Y \mathbf{1}_A = \mathbb{E}X \mathbf{1}_A \ge \mathbb{E}X' \mathbf{1}_A = \mathbb{E}Y' \mathbf{1}_A$ , so  $\mathbb{E}(Y - Y') \mathbf{1}_A \ge 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ .

It follows that there is some random variable Y such that  $Y_n \uparrow Y$ . Clearly Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. For any  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_{A} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}Y_{n}\mathbf{1}_{A}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}X_{n}\mathbf{1}_{A}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_{A}$$
(MCV)

Case 3:  $X \in L^1$ .

Write  $X = X^+ - X^-$ , and apply case 2 to  $X^+$  and  $X^-$ .

(Uniqueness) Suppose Y and Y' are two random variables satisfying the conditions of the theorem. The  $\{Y > Y'\}$  is in  $\mathcal{G}$  so  $\mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_{\{Y > Y'\}} = \mathbb{E}Y'\mathbf{1}_{\{Y > Y'\}} \implies \mathbb{E}(Y - Y')\mathbf{1}_{\{Y > Y'\}} = 0 \implies \mathbb{P}(Y > Y') = 0$ . Similarly,  $\mathbb{P}(Y' > Y) = 0$ .

**Remark.** The above can also be proved using the Radon-Nikodym theorem.

(Proof via Radon-Nikodym) First recall the Radon-Nikodym theorem

**Proposition** (Radon-Nikodym theorem). Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Then there exists a unique (up to a.e. equivalence)  $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  such that  $\nu(A) = \int_A f \, d\mu$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Consider the measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$  given by

$$\mu(A) = \mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_A, \quad A \in \mathcal{G}$$

so  $\mu \ll \mathbb{P}$ . By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a unique  $Y \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$  such that  $\mu(A) = \int_A Y d\mathbb{P}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ .

For general  $X \in L^1$ , we can write  $X = X^+ - X^-$  and apply the above to  $X^+$  and  $X^-$ .

**Proposition** (Equivalent definition for conditional expectation). Let  $X, \mathcal{G}$  be as above. Then there exists a random variable Y such that

- Y is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable
- $Y \in L^1$  and  $\mathbb{E}XZ = \mathbb{E}YZ$  for all  $Z \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$

Moreover,  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})$  almost surely.

*Proof.* (Existence) Set  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})$ . It is straightforward to see that Y satisfies the conditions of the proposition for simple functions Z. Note that simple functions that are in  $L^p$  are dense in  $L^p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $Z_n \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$  be a sequence of simple functions such that  $Z_n \to Z$  in  $L^{\infty}$  (in particular, we have almost sure pointwise convergence). Then

$$\mathbb{E}XZ = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}XZ_n$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}YZ_n$$

$$= \mathbb{E}YZ$$
(DCT)

(Uniqueness) Note that any two random variables satisfying the conditions of the proposition are versions of the conditional expectation given  $\mathcal{G}$ , which was shown to be unique.

**Lemma 1.1.2** (Conditional expectation as a function). Let  $X,Y:(\Omega,\mathcal{F})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Then Y is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$  if and only if there exists a Borel-measurable function  $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$  such that  $Y(\omega)=f(X(\omega))$  for all  $\omega\in\Omega$ .

**Proposition** (Properties of conditional expectation). All (in)equality relations below hold almost surely.

- 1. If  $X \geq 0$  a.s., then  $\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G}) \geq 0$
- 2. If X and  $\mathcal{G}$  are independent, then  $\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}[X]$
- 3. If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X_1, X_2 \in L^1$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha X_1 + \beta X_2 \mid \mathcal{G}) = \alpha \mathbb{E}(X_1 \mid \mathcal{G}) + \beta \mathbb{E}(X_2 \mid \mathcal{G}).$$

4. Tower property: If  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G}) \mid \mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{H}).$$

5. If Z is bounded and  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, then

$$\mathbb{E}(ZX \mid \mathcal{G}) = Z\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G}).$$

6. Let  $X \in L^1$  and  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Assume that  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{G})$  is independent of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(X \mid \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})).$$

*Proof.* 1. Follows from the proof of existence and uniqueness of conditional expectation, or just use monotonicity.

- 2. Let  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X)\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbb{E}X\mathbb{E}1_A = \mathbb{E}(X1_A)$
- 3. Use linearity of conditional expectation.
- 4. Let  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G}) \mid \mathcal{H})\mathbf{1}_A\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})\mathbf{1}_A\right] = \mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_A)$
- 5. Easy if Z is an indicator function. Then use linearity and covergence theorems.
- 6. Note  $\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ -measurable and  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  is generated by the  $\pi$ -system  $\{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{G}, B \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . We show that  $\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})$  satisfies the defining property of  $\mathbb{E}(X \mid \sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}))$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then for any element of the  $\pi$ -system, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X\mid\mathcal{G})\mathbf{1}_{A\cap B}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X\mid\mathcal{G})\mathbf{1}_{A}\mathbf{1}_{B}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_{A}\mid\mathcal{G})\mathbf{1}_{B}] = \mathbb{E}(\underbrace{X\mathbf{1}_{A}}_{\in\sigma(\mathcal{G},X)})\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{B}) = \mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_{A\cap B})$$

Since finite measures extend uniquely from  $\pi$ -systems, the above holds if  $A \cap B$  is replaced by any element of  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ 

**Proposition** (Properties of conditional expectation). All (in)equality relations below hold almost surely.

1. Jensen's inequality: If  $c: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then

$$\mathbb{E}(c(X) \mid \mathcal{G}) \ge c(\mathbb{E}(X) \mid \mathcal{G}).$$

2. For  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$\|\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})\|_{p} \leq \|X\|_{p}.$$

- 3. Monotone convergence theorem Suppose  $X_n \uparrow X$  is a sequence of non-negative random variables. Then  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}) \uparrow \mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})$ .
- 4. Fatou's lemma: If  $X_n$  are non-negative measurable, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty} X_n \mid \mathcal{G}\right) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}).$$

5. Dominated convergence theorem: If  $X_n \to X$  and  $Y \in L^1$  such that  $Y \ge |X_n|$  for all n, then  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})$ .

*Proof.* 1. Note that a convex function is the supremum of countably many affine functions  $c(x) = \sup_{i \in I} a_i x + b_i$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(c(X) \mid \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{i \in I} (a_i X + b_i) \mid \mathcal{G}\right)$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}(a_i X + b_i \mid \mathcal{G}) \quad \forall i \in I$$
 (monotonicity)

So  $\mathbb{E}(c(X) \mid \mathcal{G}) \ge \sup_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}(a_i X + b_i \mid \mathcal{G}) = c(\mathbb{E}(X \mid \mathcal{G})).$ 

- 2. Jensen
- 3. By monotonicity,  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}) \uparrow Y$  for some Y. By the usual monotone convergence theorem,  $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}X_n \to \mathbb{E}Y \leq \mathbb{E}X$  so  $Y \in L^1$ . Since each of the  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G})$  are  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable, so is Y. Finally, for any  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_{A} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}(X_{n} \mid \mathcal{G})\mathbf{1}_{A}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}X_{n}\mathbf{1}_{A}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}X\mathbf{1}_{A}$$
(MCV)

4.

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty} X_n \mid \mathcal{G}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\substack{m\geq n \\ increasing}} X_m \mid \mathcal{G}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\inf_{m\geq n} X_m \mid \mathcal{G}\right) \qquad (MCV)$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\inf_{\substack{m\geq n \\ \leq X_n}} X_m \mid \mathcal{G}\right)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{G}) \qquad (monotonicity)$$

5. Use Fatou's lemma on  $Y + X_n$  and  $Y - X_n$ .

## 2 Martingales

**Definition** ((Discrete) stochastic process). A stochastic process (in discrete time) is a collection of random variables  $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ . A stochastic process is is integrable if  $X_n\in L^1$  for all n.

**Definition** (Filtration). A filtration is a sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  for all n. We define  $F_{\infty} = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n)$ . The natural filtration of a stochastic process X is the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . A stochastic process is adapted to a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n$  if  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for all n.

**Definition** (Martingale). An integrable adapted process  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale if for all  $n\geq m$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_m) = X_m.$$

We say it is a *super-martingale* if

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$$

and a *sub-martingale* if

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_m) \ge X_m,$$

By the tower property, it is sufficient to check the martingale property for n = m + 1.

**Theorem 2.1** (Doob decomposition, non-examinable). Let  $X_n$  be an integrable adapted process. Then there exists a martingale  $M_n$  and an integrable predictable process  $A_n$  such that  $X_n = M_n + A_n$  and  $A_0 = 0$ , where predictable means that  $A_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable for all  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover,  $M_n$  and  $A_n$  are unique up to a.s. equivalence.

*Proof.* (Existence) Add up the 'known' bits to get A and the 'surprises' to get M. Formally,

$$A_{n} = A_{n-1} + \mathbb{E}(X_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) - X_{n-1}$$

$$M_{n} = M_{n-1} + \underbrace{X_{n} - \mathbb{E}(X_{n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1})}_{\text{surprise}}$$

(Uniqueness) Let  $X_n = M_n + A_n = M'_n + A'_n$ . Then  $M_n - M'_n = A'_n - A_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable. But  $M_n - M'_n$  is a martingale, so  $\mathbb{E}(M_n - M'_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 0$  so  $M_n = M'_n$  almost surely. Similarly,  $A_n = A'_n$  almost surely.

**Definition** (Stopping time). A random variable  $T: \Omega \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a stopping time if  $\{T \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for all n.

In the discrete case, we can equivalently require that  $\{T=n\}\in\mathcal{F}_n$  for all n.

**Definition**  $(X_T)$ . Let X be a stochastic process and T a stopping time. Then  $X_T: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by cases

$$X_T(\omega) = \begin{cases} X_n(\omega) & T(\omega) = n \\ 0 & T(\omega) = \infty \end{cases}$$

**Definition** (Stopped  $\sigma$ -algebra). Let T be a stopping time. Then the stopped  $\sigma$ -algebra is

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{ A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap \{ T \le n \} \in \mathcal{F}_n \text{ for all } n \}.$$

**Example.** Let N = # of times a random walk hits -5 before it first hits 10 and T be the first time the random walk hits 10. N is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable

**Definition** (Stopped process). Let X be a stochastic process and T a stopping time. Then the *stopped process* is  $X_n^T = X_{T \wedge n}$ 

## Proposition.

1. If  $T, S, (T_n)_{n\geq 0}$  are all stopping times, then

$$T\vee S, T\wedge S, \sup_n T_n, \inf T_n, \limsup T_n, \liminf T_n$$

are all stopping times.

- 2.  $\mathcal{F}_T$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra
- 3. If  $S \leq T$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T$ .
- 4.  $X_T \mathbf{1}_{T<\infty}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable.
- 5. If  $(X_n)$  is an adapted process, then so is  $(X_n^T)_{n\geq 0}$  for any stopping time T.
- 6. If  $(X_n)$  is an integrable process, then so is  $(X_n^T)_{n\geq 0}$  for any stopping time T.

## Proof.

- 1. Elementary
- 2. Elementary
- 3. Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ . For any n, we have  $A \cap \{S \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $A \cap \{T \leq n\} = A \cap \{S \leq n\} \cap \{T \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .
- 4.  $X_T \mathbf{1}_{T<\infty} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T=n\}}$  where each of the terms is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable.
- 5.  $X_n^T = X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T \ge n\}} + X_T \mathbf{1}_{\{T < n\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{T < \infty\}}.$
- 6.  $X_n^T = X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T \ge n\}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} X_k \mathbf{1}_{\{T = k\}}$  so  $E|X_n^T| \le E|X_n| + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E|X_k| < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Equivalent definitions for super-martingales). Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be an integrable and adapted process. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a super-martingale.
- 2. For any bounded stopping times T and any stopping time S,

$$\mathbb{E}(X_T \mid \mathcal{F}_S) \leq X_{S \wedge T}.$$

- 3.  $(X_n^T)$  is a super-martingale for any stopping time T.
- 4. For bounded stopping times S,T such that  $S \leq T$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}X_T \leq \mathbb{E}X_S$$
.

*Proof.*  $-(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $n \ge m$  and set T = n, S = m.

- $-(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ : Tower rule
- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $n \geq m$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n^T \mid \mathcal{F}_m) = \mathbb{E}(X_{T \wedge n} \mid \mathcal{F}_m) \le X_{T \wedge m \wedge n} = X_m^T.$$

 $- (1) \Rightarrow (2) \text{ Let } T \leq N$ 

$$X_T = X_{S \wedge T} + \sum_{k=0}^{N} (X_{k+1} - X_k) \mathbf{1}_{S \le k < T}$$
 (\*)

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ .

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k+1} - X_k)\mathbf{1}_{S \le k < T}\mathbf{1}_A\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k+1} - X_k)\underbrace{\mathbf{1}_{S \le k < T}\mathbf{1}_A}_{\in \mathcal{F}_k} \mid \mathcal{F}_k\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{S \le k < T}\mathbf{1}_A\underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{k+1} - X_k) \mid \mathcal{F}_k\right]}_{\le 0}\right]$$

$$< 0$$

so  $\mathbb{E}X_T\mathbf{1}_A \leq \mathbb{E}X_{S\wedge T}\mathbf{1}_A$ . By Radon-Nikodym,  $\mathbb{E}(X_{S\wedge T}-X_T\mid \mathcal{F}_S)\geq 0$ . But  $X_{S\wedge T}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_S$ -measurable, so  $X_{S\wedge T}-X_T\geq 0$  almost surely.

 $-(4) \Rightarrow (2)$  Let  $n \geq m$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_m$ . One can check that  $T = m\mathbf{1}_A + n\mathbf{1}_{A^c} \leq n$  is a stopping time such that

$$\mathbb{E}((X_n - X_m)\mathbf{1}_A) = \mathbb{E}(X_n - X_T) \le 0$$

By Radon-Nikodym,  $\mathbb{E}(X_m - X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_m) \ge 0$  so  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \mid \mathcal{F}_m) \le X_m$ .

 $-(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $T = \infty$ 

**Theorem 2.3** (Optional stopping). Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a martingale and T a stopping time. Then  $E(X_T)=E(X_0)$  if any of the following conditions hold:

1. T is almost surely bounded, i.e. there is some N such that  $T \leq N$  almost surely.

- 2. X has bounded increments, i.e. there is some K such that  $|X_{n+1} X_n| \le K$  for all n almost surely and T is integrable
- 3. There exists an integrable random variable Y such that  $|X_n| \le Y$  for all n almost surely and T is finite almost surely, i.e.  $\mathbb{P}(T < \infty) = 1$ .

*Proof.* 1. Use (4) of the previous theorem with S=0, or prove directly.

- 2. placeholder
- 3. placeholder