

① a) We can say e^x is quasi-concave, since $f'(x) > 0$, and any monotonically increasing or decreasing function is both quasi-concave and quasi-convex. More formally, if $f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \geq \min\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$ where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then the function is quasi-concave. Here, we need to show $e^{\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2} \geq \min\{e^{x_1}, e^{x_2}\}$. Consider any 2 points, x_1, x_2 where $x_1 \leq x_2$. Since $f'(x) > 0 \forall x$, then $e^{x_1} < e^{x_2}$. Therefore, we need to show $e^{\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2} \geq e^{x_1}$, or, taking logs of both sides: $\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 \geq x_1 \Rightarrow x_2 \geq x_1$, which holds, by assumption.

b) Here, we can show the function is concave, since concavity \Rightarrow quasi-concavity.

$$f_1 = x_1^{-1/2} \quad f_2 = 2x_2^{-1/2} \quad f_{11} = -\frac{1}{2}x_1^{-3/2} \quad f_{22} = -\frac{1}{2}x_2^{-3/2} \quad f_{12} = 0 \quad x_1, x_2 > 0$$

Since $f_{11} < 0$, $f_{22} < 0$ and $f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}^2 = \frac{1}{4}x_1^{-3/2}x_2^{-3/2} > 0 \Rightarrow$ function is concave and therefore, also quasi-concave.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mathcal{L} = x_1 x_2 + \lambda(16 - x_1 - 4x_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = x_2 - \lambda = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2} = x_1 - 4\lambda = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 16 - x_1 - 4x_2 = 0$$

Since $x_2 - \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = x_2$ and since $x_1 - 4\lambda = 0$

$\Rightarrow \lambda = x_1/4$ so, $x_2 = x_1/4$, or $x_1 = 4x_2$

Sub into F.O.C. for $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}$: $16 - 4x_2 - 4x_2 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 16 - 8x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_2^* = 2} \text{ and } \boxed{x_1^* = 4(2) = 8}$$

maximized value of f , f^* is $2 \cdot 8 = 16$

③ minimize $x_1 + 4x_2$ subject to $x_1 x_2 = 16$

$$\mathcal{L} = x_1 + 4x_2 + \lambda(16 - x_1 x_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1} = 1 - \lambda x_2 = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_2} = 4 - \lambda x_1 = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 16 - x_1 x_2 = 0$$

$$1 - \lambda x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 1/x_2 \quad 4 - \lambda x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 4/x_1$$

$$1/x_2 = 4/x_1 \Rightarrow x_1 = 4x_2. \text{ Sub in F.O.C. } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}: 16 - 4x_2 x_2 = 0$$

$$\text{or } 16 - 4x_2^2 = 0 \Rightarrow 4 = x_2^2 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_2^* = 2} \quad \boxed{x_1^* = 4(2) = 8} \quad (\text{Same as in } \textcircled{2} \text{ above})$$

④ The problem can be written: $\max Q(x, y) = 50x^{1/2}y^{1/2}$

subject to $x + y = 80$

$$\text{a) } \mathcal{L} = 50x^{1/2}y^{1/2} + \lambda(80 - x - y)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2}(50)x^{-1/2}y^{1/2} - \lambda = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2}(50)x^{1/2}y^{-1/2} - \lambda = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 80 - x - y = 0 \quad \lambda = 25x^{-1/2}y^{1/2} \text{ and } \lambda = 25x^{1/2}y^{-1/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 25x^{-1/2}y^{1/2} = 25x^{1/2}y^{-1/2} \Rightarrow x = y \text{ Plug into } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} :$$

$$80 - x - x = 0 \Rightarrow 80 - 2x = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x^* = 40 \text{ and } y^* = 40}$$

b) From above, we have $\lambda = 25x^{-1/2}y^{1/2}$, so $\lambda^* = 25\left(\frac{40}{40}\right)^{1/2} = 25$

Therefore, since λ^* measures $dQ^*/d(\text{total dollars available})$, we estimate the maximized output will decrease by $25 \times 1 = 25$

c) Now in part a, $\mathcal{L} = 50x^{1/2}y^{1/2} + \lambda(79 - x - y)$

Everything is the same in part a (i.e. $x^* = y^*$) until

we sub $x = y$ into $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}$, since now we have

$$79 - 2x = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x^* = y^* = 39.5} \text{ Maximum output before}$$

was $50(40)^{1/2}(40)^{1/2} = 50 \cdot 40 = 2000$. Maximum output

now is $50(39.5)^{1/2}(39.5)^{1/2} = 50 \cdot (39.5) = 1975$, so the

actual change of $2000 - 1975$ is equal to the estimated change of 25 in this case.

⑤ minimize $x + y$ subject to $50x^{1/2}y^{1/2} = 2000$

$$\mathcal{L} = x + y + \lambda(2000 - 50x^{1/2}y^{1/2})$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(50)x^{-1/2}y^{1/2}\lambda = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(50)x^{1/2}y^{-1/2}\lambda = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 2000 - 50x^{1/2}y^{1/2} = 0 \quad \lambda = \frac{x^{1/2}}{25y^{1/2}} \lambda = \frac{y^{1/2}}{25x^{1/2}}$$

$$x^{1/2}/25y^{1/2} = y^{1/2}/25x^{1/2} \Rightarrow x = y. \text{ Sub into constraint.}$$

$$2000 - 50x^{1/2}x^{1/2} = 0 \Rightarrow 50x = 2000 \Rightarrow \boxed{x^* = 40 = y^*}$$

⑥ a) $F(tx_1, tx_2) = (tx_1)(tx_2)^2 = t^3 x_1 x_2^2 = t^3 f(x_1, x_2)$

\Rightarrow homogeneous of degree 3

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} = x_2^2 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} = 2x_1 x_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} x_1 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} x_2 &= x_2^2 \cdot x_1 + 2x_1 x_2 \cdot x_2 \\ &= 3x_1 x_2^2 = 3F(x_1, x_2) \Rightarrow \text{Euler's th}^m \text{ holds} \end{aligned}$$

b) $F(tx_1, tx_2) = (tx_1)(tx_2) + (tx_2)^2 = t^2 x_1 x_2 + t^2 x_2^2 = t^2 F(x_1, x_2)$

\Rightarrow homogeneous of degree 2

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} = x_2 \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} = x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} x_1 + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} x_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_2 + 2x_2^2 = 2(x_1 x_2 + x_2^2) \Rightarrow \text{Euler's th}^m \text{ holds}$$

⑦ a) Level curve defined by x_1, x_2 such that

$$\ln(x_1) + \ln(x_2) - c = 0 \quad c = \text{constant}$$

By implicit fn. th^m, $\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = -f_{x_1}/f_{x_2}$

$$f_{x_1} = 1/x_1, \quad f_{x_2} = 1/x_2, \quad \text{so } \frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = (1/x_1)/(1/x_2) = -\frac{x_2}{x_1}$$

b) $f_{x_1} = 2x_1 x_2^2 - x_2 \quad f_{x_2} = 2x_1^2 x_2 - x_1$

Using implicit fn. th^m again,

$$\frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = - \left[\frac{2x_1 x_2^2 - x_2}{2x_1^2 x_2 - x_1} \right] = - \left[\frac{x_2 (2x_1 x_2 - 1)}{x_1 (2x_1 x_2 - 1)} \right] = -\frac{x_2}{x_1}$$

At any point along a ray from the origin, the proportion x_2/x_1 is constant. Since the derivatives in a) and b) above are functions of (x_2/x_1) - in fact in both cases here, they are equal to $-x_2/x_1$, the derivatives at the level curves at points along a given ray from the origin are the same, and therefore the functions are homothetic. $g(x_2/x_1) = -x_2/x_1$