## Week 11

**Problem 1.** (Strauss 6.1.2) Find the solutions that depend only on r of the equation  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz} = k^2u$ , where k is a positive constant. (*Hint*: Substitute u = v/r.)

**Solution 1.** Recall that in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , if we do a change of variables to spherical coordinates,

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz} = u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(u_{\theta\theta} + (\cot\theta)u_{\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}u_{\phi\phi}\right).$$

If we are looking for solutions that only depend on r, that is  $u(r, \phi, \psi) = u(r)$  then we can safely ignore the terms on the right, so  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz} = k^2 u$  can be expressed in spherical coordinates as

$$u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r = k^2u.$$

This is a second order ODE, which we can solve using the substitution u = v/r. Notice

$$u_r = \frac{v_r}{r} - \frac{v}{r^2}, \quad u_{rr} = \frac{v_{rr}}{r} - 2\frac{v_r}{r^2} + 2\frac{v}{r^3}$$

so under this change of variables, we have

$$u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r = k^2u \implies \frac{v_{rr}}{r} = k^2\frac{v}{r} \implies v_{rr} - k^2v = 0.$$

This is a second order constant coefficient ODE with roots  $r = \pm k$ , so

$$v = Ae^{kr} + Be^{-kr} \implies u = A\frac{e^{kr}}{r} + B\frac{e^{-kr}}{r},$$

is the general solution.

**Problem 2.** (Strauss 6.1.5) Solve  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 1$  in r < a with u(x,y) vanishing on r = a.

**Solution 2.** Since we are on the disk, and neither our source or initial conditions depend on the angle  $\theta$  we can use rotational invariance to solve this problem. Recall that in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , if we do a change of variables to polar form,

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = u_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}u_r + \frac{1}{r^2}u_{\theta\theta}.$$

If we are looking for solutions that only depend on r, that is  $u(r,\theta) = u(r)$ , then we can safely ignore the terms on the right, so  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 1$  can be expressed in spherical coordinates as

$$u_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}u_r = 1 \implies ru_{rr} + u_r = r \implies (u_r r)' = r.$$

This ODE can be solved by directly integrating, which implies

$$u_r r = \frac{r^2}{2} + C_1 \implies u_r = r + \frac{C_1}{r} \implies u(r) = \frac{r^2}{4} + C_1 \log r + C_2.$$

We now use the boundary conditions to solve for the coefficients. We have the implicit condition  $\lim_{r\to 0} u(r) < \infty$  and the boundary condition u(a) = 0. Therefore, we must have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} u(r) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{r^2}{4} + C_1 \log r + C_2 < \infty \text{ and } 0 = u(a) = \frac{a^2}{4} + C_1 \log a + C_2.$$

The first condition implies that  $C_1 = 0$  and the second condition implies  $C_2 = -\frac{a^2}{4}$ . Therefore,

$$u(r) = \frac{r^2}{4} - \frac{a^2}{4},$$

is the particular solution.

**Problem 3.** (Strauss 6.1.6) Solve  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 1$  in the annulus a < r < b with u(x, y) vanishing on both parts of the boundary r = a and r = b.

**Solution 3.** Since we are on the annulus, and neither our source or initial conditions depend on the angle  $\theta$  we can use rotational invariance to solve this problem. Following the steps in problem 2, we have the general solution to  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 1$  in polar coordinates is given by

$$u(r) = \frac{r^2}{4} + C_1 \log r + C_2.$$

We now use the boundary conditions to solve for the coefficients. We have the conditions u(a) = 0 and u(b) = 0, which implies

$$u(a) = \frac{a^2}{4} + C_1 \log a + C_2 = 0$$
 and  $u(b) = \frac{b^2}{4} + C_1 \log b + C_2 = 0$ .

This can be easily solved to give  $C_1 = -\frac{b^2 - a^2}{4(\log(b) - \log(a))}$  and  $C_2 = -\frac{a^2}{4} + \frac{b^2 - a^2}{4(\log(b) - \log(a))} \log(a)$ , giving us the particular solution

$$u(r) = \frac{r^2 - a^2}{4} - \frac{(b^2 - a^2)(\log(r) - \log(a))}{4(\log(b) - \log(a))}.$$

**Problem 4.** (Strauss 6.1.10) Prove the uniqueness of the Dirichlet problem  $\Delta u = f$  in D, u = g on the boundary of D by the energy method. That is, after subtracting two solution w = u - v, multiply the Laplace equation for w by w itself and use the divergence theorem.

**Solution** 4. Assume that u and v are both solutions to the  $\Delta u = f$  in D and u = g on  $\partial D$ . If we define w = u - v then  $\Delta w = 0$  in D and w = 0 on  $\partial D$ . Therefore, by integration by parts

$$0 = -\int_{D} w \Delta w \, dx = \int_{D} |\nabla w|^{2} \, dx - \int_{\partial D} w \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \, dS = \int_{D} |\nabla w|^{2} \, dx$$

which implies that  $\nabla w \equiv 0$  in D (in other words, all partials of w are 0 on D). Since w = 0 on  $\partial D$  we must have  $w \equiv 0$  which implies u = v on  $\overline{D}$ .

**Problem 5.** (Strauss 6.1.12) Check the validity of the maximum principle for the harmonic function  $(1-x^2-y^2)/(1-2x+x^2+y^2)$  in the disk  $\bar{D}=\{x^2+y^2\leq 1\}$ . Explain.

**Solution** 5. One can easily check that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{(1-x^2-y^2)}{(1-2x+x^2+y^2)} = \frac{4(x-1)(x^2-2x-3y^2+1)}{(x^2-2x+y^2+1)^3} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \frac{(1-x^2-y^2)}{(1-2x+x^2+y^2)}$$

so  $u(x,y) = \frac{(1-x^2-y^2)}{(1-2x+x^2+y^2)}$  is a solution to  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ . If we factor our solution, notice

$$u(x,y) = \frac{(1-x^2-y^2)}{(1-2x+x^2+y^2)} = \frac{1-(x^2+y^2)}{(x-1)^2+y^2}.$$

Notice that on the interior  $D = \{x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ , the numerator is positive so

$$\max_{(x,y)\in D} u(x,y) > 0$$

while on the boundary  $\partial D = \{x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$  the numerator is 0, so

$$\max_{(x,y)\in\partial D\setminus(1,0)}u(x,y)=0,$$

(our function is not defined at (1,0) so we ignore this point). In particular, for this example we have

$$\max_{(x,y)\in\partial D\backslash(1,0)}u(x,y)<\max_{(x,y)\in D}u(x,y),$$

which appears to contradict the maximum principle. However, this is not a counterexample because the maximum principle does not apply to this case, because u(x,y) is not continuous on  $\bar{D} = \{x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$  since there is a discontinuity at the point (1,0).