

1 Martingales

Definition 1. Let probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ together with a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$. Then the quadruple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}, \mathbb{P})$ is also called a **filtered probability space**.

In this course, \mathcal{T} will typically be the natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ or $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ the non-negative numbers. A martingale is a stochastic process defined with respect to a filtered probability space. Loosely speaking, it represents the total payout of a fair game. That is, the expected value in the future is equal to its current value.

Definition 2. Let $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ be a stochastic process satisfies the following two conditions.

- X is **adapted** to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$, i.e., X_t is \mathcal{F}_t measurable for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$.
- $\mathbb{E}[|X_t|] < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

X is called a **martingale** (with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$) if

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathcal{T} \text{ with } s \leq t. \quad (1)$$

If we say that $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ is a martingale without specifying the filtration, we mean that $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ is a martingale w.r.t. its natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^X = \sigma(X_s | s \in \mathcal{T}, s \leq t)$.

Remark 1. The condition (1) is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t - X_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0 \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathcal{T} \text{ with } s \leq t. \quad (2)$$

If we let X_t denote the total payouts of a game at time t , then $X_t - X_s$ represents the gain (or loss) accumulated between times t and s . Condition (2) implies that based on all the information available at time s , the expected value of this gain (or loss) is zero. In this sense, a martingale can be understood the mathematical formalization of a fair game.

Remark 2. In discrete time, $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, the condition (1) is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

The proof is a direct application of the tower property.

Example 1 (Simple Random Walk). Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be i.i.d. Rademacher random variables, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(Y = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ defined through

$$X_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k \quad (4)$$

is a martingale in discrete time with respect to the natural filtration \mathcal{F}_n^X . Indeed, since Y_{n+1} is independent of \mathcal{F}_n^X ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n + Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n + \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}] = X_n$$

which satisfies condition (3).

1.1 Properties

Naturally, the expected value of the earnings of a fair game is equal to zero.

Proposition 1

If $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ is a martingale, then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathcal{T}.$$

We have the following formula for the second moment of the earnings between time s and t .

Proposition 2

Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ be a martingale with $\mathbb{E}[(X_t)^2] < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Then, for $s, t \in \mathcal{T}$ with $s \leq t$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - X_s^2.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)^2] = \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_s^2].$$

Example 2. (The martingale betting strategy) Let X_t be a simple random walk. We now take an *adapted* stochastic process $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ where $\xi_0 = 1$ and, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\xi_n = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } Y_1 = \dots = Y_n = -1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This represents a betting strategy where we double our bet until we win. Then the gambler's total return at time n is

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{k-1} (X_k - X_{k-1}) \\ &= \xi_0 Y_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1} Y_n \\ &= \begin{cases} -1 - 2 - \dots - 2^{n-1} = -(2^n - 1), & \text{if } Y_1 = \dots = Y_n = -1 \\ +1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

One can show that with probability one there will eventually be some (random) integer n such that $Y_n = 1$, in which case the gambler will have won \$1.

Example 3 (General Betting Strategies). In general, let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a martingale denoting the outcomes of a fair game. We let the process $\{\xi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an adapted process denoting a betting strategy. This means that the ξ_n bet is a function of the information up to the n th game.

Suppose we are at game k , if we bet ξ_k on the k th game, then we earn $\xi_k(X_{k+1} - X_k)$ on the k th game. Our earnings associated with this betting strategy is therefore

$$V_0 = 0, \quad V_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_k (X_{k+1} - X_k). \quad (5)$$

The question is if one can come up with a smart betting strategy such that $\mathbb{E}[V_n] > 0 = \mathbb{E}[V_0]$ for some n ?

The answer to that question is no, and it is demonstrated in the following theorem. That is, no betting strategy that can turn a martingale into a favorable game.

Theorem 1

Suppose $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is an adapted process such that for every n there exists a constant C_n such that $|\xi_n(\omega)| \leq C_n$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. If $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is a martingale, then $\{V_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ defined in (5) is again a martingale. In particular, we have $\mathbb{E}[V_n] = 0$ for all n .

1.2 Example Problems

1.2.1 Proofs of Results

Problem 1.1. Prove Proposition 1.

Solution 1.1. It follows from (2) that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_0]] = \mathbb{E}[X_0].$$

Problem 1.2. Prove Proposition 2.

Solution 1.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 - 2X_t X_s + X_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - 2\mathbb{E}[X_t X_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[X_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - 2X_s \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] + X_s^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - 2X_s X_s + X_s^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - X_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second identity follows from the first by taking expectations.

Problem 1.3. Prove Theorem 1.

Solution 1.3. We check the properties of a martingale.

- (i) Clearly, $\{V_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is adapted.
- (ii) Since $|\xi_k| \leq C_k$, we define $C := \max\{C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}\}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|V_n|] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_{k-1}(X_k - X_{k-1})\right|\right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|\xi_{k-1}(X_k - X_{k-1})|] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n C_{k-1} \mathbb{E}[|X_k - X_{k-1}|] \leq C \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[|X_k|] + \mathbb{E}[|X_{k-1}|]) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[V_{n+1} - V_n | \mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}[\xi_n(X_{n+1} - X_n) | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= \xi_n \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $\{V_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is a martingale. Finally, the martingale property Proposition 1 implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_n] = \mathbb{E}[V_0] = 0, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

1.2.2 Definitions and Properties of Martingales

Problem 1.4. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be independent (though not necessarily identically distributed) random variables with common expectation $\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 0$ for all k . Show that $\{X_n\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ defined by

$$X_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$$

is a martingale in discrete time with respect to its natural filtration \mathcal{F}_n^X .

Solution 1.4. Since Y_{n+1} is independent of \mathcal{F}_n^X ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n + Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = X_n + \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}] = X_n.$$

Problem 1.5. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be independent and nonnegative (though not necessarily identically distributed) random variables with common expectation $\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 1$ for all k .

1. Show that $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ defined through

$$X_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad X_n = \prod_{k=1}^n Y_k$$

is a martingale.

2. Let Y_k be of the form $Y_k = e^{Z_k - c_k}$ for independent random variables Z_k with distribution $N(0, \sigma_k^2)$ and certain constants c_k . That is, determine c_k such that $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale.

Solution 1.5.

Part 1: The fact that X is adapted and integrable is clear. to show (3), notice that by independence,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^n Y_k \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right] = \prod_{k=1}^n Y_k \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \prod_{k=1}^n Y_k \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}] = \prod_{k=1}^n Y_k = X_n.$$

Part 2: From part 1, it suffices to find a constant so that $\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 1$. We have by the moment generating function formula for the Gaussian,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = e^{-c_k} \mathbb{E}[e^{Z_k}] = e^{-c_k} e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} = 1 \iff c_k = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}.$$

Problem 1.6. Let X be a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty$ and \mathcal{T} either $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ or $[0, \infty)$. Show that

$$X_t := \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in \mathcal{T},$$

is a martingale.

Solution 1.6. Clearly X_t is \mathcal{F}_t measurable because the conditional expected value. Furthermore, by Jensen's inequality and the law of total expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_t|] = \mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t]|] \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[|X| | \mathcal{F}_t]] = \mathbb{E}[|X|] < \infty.$$

Next, so show property (1) we have by the tower property that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_t] | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s.$$