

# 1 Stochastic Calculus

We will extend the ideas of the integral to allow us to deal with integrands and integrators that are stochastic processes. The stochastic integrals can be used to model the value of a portfolio that trades stocks in continuous time.

## 1.1 Itô Integral

We first recall the notion of integration with respect to a deterministic function with bounded variation.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Pi = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, t]$ . The **Riemann–Stieltjes integral** of the integrand  $f$  with respect to the integrator  $G$  is defined as

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) dG(s) = \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) (G(t_{i+1}) - G(t_i)),$$

where the limit is taken over all partitions as the mesh size  $\|\Pi\| = \max(t_i - t_{i-1})$  tends to 0.

**Remark 1.2.** Recall that if  $f$  is continuous and  $G$  is a function with bounded total variation, then the sample point  $f(t_i)$  does not matter and it can be replaced with any point  $c_i \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ . Notice that  $F(t)$  is also a continuous function in this case.

Our goal is to define a notion of integration against a stochastic process. Let  $\{\xi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be two adapted stochastic processes. Typically,  $\xi_t$  measures the amount of a stock held and  $X_t$  represents the value of a stock at time  $t$ . We want to define the stochastic integral

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dX(s)$$

which encodes the value of a portfolio at time  $t$ . The rules of calculus do not immediately apply since even in the simplest case when we want to integrate against a Brownian motion  $W_t$ , we have seen that  $W_t$  does not have bounded total variation, so the Riemann–Stieltjes integral does not make sense. However, we can still define the stochastic integral in the natural way, which might remind us of the betting strategies we saw in Week 4.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\Pi = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, t]$  and let  $\{\xi_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be two adapted stochastic processes. The **Itô integral** of the integrand  $\xi$  with respect to the integrator  $X$  is defined as

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dX(s) = \lim_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi(t_i) (X(t_{i+1}) - X(t_i)), \quad (1)$$

where the limit is taken over all partitions as the mesh size  $\|\Pi\| = \max(t_{i+1} - t_i)$  tends to 0. Sometimes we write the stochastic integral in **differential form** using the notation

$$dI(t) = \xi(t) dX(t).$$

This is shorthand for precisely what is written in (1).

Interpreting what the equal sign in (1) means is a bit beyond the course. We cannot compute the interpret the stochastic integral for a realization of Brownian motion since the Riemann–Stieltjes integral is not defined so the limit for a realization of Brownian motion doesn't make much sense. Therefore, we can't make sense of  $I(t)$  as an almost sure limit. However, we can make sense of  $I(t)$  as a random variable and show that it makes sense if we consider a weaker notion of convergence such as convergence in probability.

**Remark 1.4.** In the Itô integral, it is crucial that we take the left end point value  $\xi(t_i)$ . This makes sense from a modeling perspective because our strategy to invest in a stock cannot depend on future information. Different choices of sample points lead to different notions of the stochastic integral that have very different properties. Notice that  $I_t$  is a stochastic process.

## 2 The Stochastic Integral Against Brownian Motion

Throughout this section, we only consider the case of integration against Brownian motion. That is, for any adapted stochastic process  $\{\xi(s)\}_{s \geq 0}$  (with possibly discontinuous sample paths), we will consider the properties of

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dW(s). \quad (2)$$

We immediately see that there are some subtle differences between the stochastic integral and the Riemann integral. If  $f(t)$  is a function of bounded variation, then we have by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_0^t f(s) df(s) = \frac{1}{2} f(t)^2.$$

Somewhat surprisingly, from the definition of the stochastic integral, we see that (Problem 2.4)

$$\int_0^t W(s) dW(s) = \frac{1}{2} W(t)^2 - \frac{1}{2} t,$$

so there is an extra correction term in comparison with the non-random case. This correction can be explained using **new** type of calculus called **Itô calculus**.

### 2.1 Basic Properties

The first three properties are direct consequences of the fact that the stochastic integral is a sum. The Riemann integral of a possibly discontinuous function gives us a continuous function. We see that continuity is preserved even for the stochastic process.

#### Proposition 2.1 (*Continuity*)

The stochastic integral  $I(t)$  given in (2) is continuous

The Riemann integral of a function gives us another function. By the definition, we also see that the Itô integral of an adapted process is again an adapted process.

#### Proposition 2.2 (*Adaptivity*)

For each  $t$ , the stochastic integral  $I(t)$  given in (2) is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable.

A key property of the Riemann integral is the linearity, which allows us to compute the integrals of sums of functions. By the definition, the Itô integral obeys the same linearity properties.

#### Proposition 2.3 (*Additivity*)

If  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$  are adapted processes, then

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dW(s) \quad J(t) = \int_0^t \zeta(s) dW(s)$$

satisfies

$$\int_0^t c\xi(s) + d\zeta(s) dW(s) = cI(t) + dJ(t).$$

We now move onto some less trivial properties. One of the main reasons why taking the sample points to be the left endpoint of the interval in the definition of the Itô integral, is that this implies that the Itô integral will be a martingale. We have already seen the intuition of this when we studied the betting strategies when we first introduced martingales in Week 4, and this computation is done for simple processes in Problem 2.1.

**Proposition 2.4 (Martingale)**

The Itô integral is a martingale.

Since  $I(t)$  is a martingale and  $I(0) = 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[I(t)] = 0$$

for all  $t$ . Therefore, the variance has a simpler form,  $\text{Var}(I(t)) = \mathbb{E}[I^2(t)]$ . The next formula allows us to compute the variance of the Itô integral. The computation is done for simple processes in Problem 2.2.

**Proposition 2.5 (Itô Isometry)**

The stochastic integral  $I(t)$  given in (2) satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[I^2(t)] = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \xi^2(s) ds$$

We know that Brownian motion accumulates quadratic variation at a rate of 1 per unit of time. When we scale Brownian motion by  $\xi(t)$ , then one might expect that the quadratic variation of the Itô integral now depend on time and scale like  $\Delta^2(t)$  since we are square in the definition of quadratic variation. The computation is done for simple processes in Problem 2.3.

**Proposition 2.6 (Quadratic Variation)**

The stochastic integral  $I(t)$  given in (2) satisfies

$$[I, I](t) = \int_0^t \xi^2(s) ds.$$

**Remark 2.7.** The shorthand to remember this formula is using differential notation:  $dI(t) = \xi(t)dW(t)$  so

$$dI(t)dI(t) = \xi^2(t)dW(t)dW(t) = \xi^2(t) dt$$

since  $dW(t)dW(t) = t$ , the quadratic variation of Brownian motion.

**2.2 Ito's Lemma for Brownian Motion**

The Itô formula allows us to integrate functions of Brownian motion. The key difference is the appearance of a second order correction term.

**Theorem 2.8 (Basic Itô Lemma)**

Suppose that  $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is twice continuously differentiable. Then

$$f(W_T) - f(W_0) = \int_0^T f'(W_t) dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T f''(W_t) dt \quad (3)$$

**Remark 2.9.** The red term in (3) is a second-order correction term that appears only with functions of non-vanishing quadratic variation. We will see later that when considering integrals against more generic stochastic processes  $X(t)$  called Itô processes, the correction term is of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T f''(X(s)) d[X, X](s).$$

In particular, this when  $X$  has vanishing quadratic variation (such as in the case of bounded variation functions), then this reduces to Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for Stieltjes integrals. When  $X$  is a Brownian motion, then  $d[X, X](s) = ds$  which gives us the formula in Proposition 2.8

## 2.3 Example Problems

### 2.3.1 Proofs of Main Results

**Problem 2.1.** Let  $\Pi = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, T]$  and suppose that  $\xi(t)$  is constant on each subinterval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ . Show that

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dW(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i))$$

is a martingale as in Proposition 2.4.

**Solution 2.1.** Let  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . We will only show the case when  $s$  and  $t$  are in different subintervals, because the case that  $s$  and  $t$  are in the same interval is easier and considerably simpler. Suppose that  $s \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$  and  $t \in [t_\ell, t_{\ell+1})$ . We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) + \xi(t_\ell) (W(t) - W(t_\ell)) \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) + \xi(t_k) (W(s) - W(t_k)), \end{aligned}$$

since we have to take the upper end of the integral to be  $t$  or  $s$  respectively instead of the end of the subinterval. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) + \xi(t_k) (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) + \xi(t_\ell) (W(t) - W(t_\ell)) \end{aligned}$$

We will compute the conditional expected values of each term separately.

- If we are given information up to time  $s$ , then the first term is known. More precisely,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i))$$

since every random variable in the sum is  $\mathcal{F}_s$  measurable.

- Since Brownian motion is a martingale,  $\mathbb{E}[W(t_{k+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = W(s)$  and  $\xi(t_k)$  and  $W(t_k)$  are  $\mathcal{F}_s$  measurable

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_k) (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \xi(t_k) (\mathbb{E}[W(t_{k+1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] - W(t_k)) = \xi(t_k) (W(s) - W(t_k)).$$

- We use the tower property. Notice that for each term in the sum, we can condition on future information  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_s$  to see that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \mid \mathcal{F}_s].$$

Since Brownian motion is a martingale,  $\mathbb{E}[W(t_{i+1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] = W(t_i)$  and  $\xi(t_i)$  and  $W(t_i)$  are  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  measurable so the same computation as the second term implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)(W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] = 0.$$

Each term in the sum is zero, so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell-1} \xi(t_i)(W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) | \mathcal{F}_s\right] = 0$$

- The same computation as the third term by conditioning on the future information  $\mathcal{F}_{t_\ell} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_s$  implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_\ell)(W(t) - W(t_\ell)) | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0.$$

Applying linearity of conditional expectations and using the above four simplifications completes the proof.

**Problem 2.2.** Let  $\Pi = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, T]$  and suppose that  $\xi(t)$  is constant on each subinterval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ . Show that

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dW(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi(t_i)(W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i))$$

satisfies Itô's isometry as in Proposition 2.5.

**Solution 2.2.** Let  $t > 0$ , and suppose that  $t \in [t_\ell, t_{\ell+1})$ . To simplify notation, let  $D_k = W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)$  and  $D_\ell = W(t) - W(t_\ell)$ . We have

$$I(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \xi(t_i)(W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i)) + \xi(t_\ell)(W(t) - W(t_\ell)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \xi(t_k) D_k.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[I(t)^2] = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2 D_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i) \xi(t_j) D_i D_j].$$

We compute each term separately,

- Notice that for each  $i$ , we have  $\xi(t_i)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  measurable and  $D_i$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{t_i}$  so

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2 D_i^2] = \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2] \mathbb{E}[D_i^2] = \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2](t_{i+1} - t_i)$$

since  $D_i \sim N(0, t_{i+1} - t_i)$ . We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2 D_i^2] = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2](t_{i+1} - t_i) = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\xi^2(s)] ds = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \xi^2(s) ds$$

since  $\Delta(s)$  is constant on the subintervals so

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)^2](t_{i+1} - t_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathbb{E}[\xi(s)^2] ds \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_\ell)^2](t_{\ell+1} - t_\ell) = \int_{t_\ell}^t \mathbb{E}[\xi(s)^2] ds.$$

- Notice that for  $i < j$ , we have  $\xi(t_i)\xi(t_j)D_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$  measurable  $D_j$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_j$  so

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)\xi(t_j)D_iD_j] = \mathbb{E}[\xi(t_i)\xi(t_j)D_i] \mathbb{E}[D_j] = 0$$

since  $D_j \sim N(0, t_{j+1} - t_j)$ .

We conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}[I(t)^2] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\xi^2(s)] ds = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \xi^2(s) ds.$$

**Problem 2.3.** Let  $\Pi = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, T]$  and suppose that  $\xi(t)$  is constant on each subinterval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ . Show that

$$I(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) dW(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi(t_i) (W(t_{i+1}) - W(t_i))$$

satisfies the quadratic variation formula as in Proposition 2.6.

**Solution 2.3.** Notice that  $\xi(s)$  is constant on any subinterval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ . Therefore, for any partition  $\Pi = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$  of this subinterval,

$$\sum_{s=0}^n (I(s_{i+1}) - I(s_i))^2 = \sum_{s=0}^n [\xi(t_i)(W(s_{i+1}) - W(s_i))]^2 = \xi^2(t_i) \sum_{s=0}^n (W(s_{i+1}) - W(s_i))^2 \rightarrow \xi^2(t_i)(t_{i+1} - t_i)$$

since  $\sum_{s=0}^n (W(s_{i+1}) - W(s_i))^2 \rightarrow (t_{i+1} - t_i)$  using the quadratic variation of Brownian motion. On the other hand, since  $\xi^2(t)$  is constant on  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ , we have

$$\xi^2(t_i)(t_{i+1} - t_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \xi^2(s) ds.$$

Summing these up and using the appropriate modification for the last term proves the statement.

### 2.3.2 Applications

**Problem 2.4.** Let  $W(t)$  be a Brownian motion.

1. Use the definition of the Itô Integral to show that

$$\int_0^t W(s) dW(s) = \frac{1}{2}W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t$$

where  $W(s)$  is a Brownian motion.

2. Show that

$$\frac{1}{2}W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t$$

is a martingale.

**Solution 2.4.**

**Part 1:** We fix  $t > 0$  and let  $n \geq 1$ . We consider a uniform partition, i.e.  $t_i = \frac{i}{n}$ . We have

$$W_{t_i}(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) = \frac{1}{2}(W_{t_{i+1}})^2 - \frac{1}{2}(W_{t_i})^2 - \frac{1}{2}(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})^2$$

Hence, if  $K_n$  is the is the largest  $i$  such that  $\frac{i}{n} \leq t$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t W_s \, dW_s &= \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K_n} W_{t_i} (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{K_n} \left( (W_{t_{i+1}})^2 - (W_{t_i})^2 \right)}_{=(W_{t_{K_n}})^2 - (W_0)^2} - \sum_{k=0}^{K_n} (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} t \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K_n} (W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_k})^2 \longrightarrow t$$

using the quadratic variation of Brownian motion.

**Part 2:** The integrability conditions are clear. We have using the properties of conditional expectation and independent increments,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} t \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] &= -\frac{1}{2} t + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ (W_t - W_s + W_s)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} t + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ (W_t - W_s)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[ (W_t - W_s) W_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ W_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} t + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ (W_t - W_s)^2 \right] - W_s \mathbb{E} \left[ W_t - W_s \right] + \frac{1}{2} W_s^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} t + \frac{1}{2} (t - s) + \frac{1}{2} W_s^2 = \frac{1}{2} W_s^2 - \frac{1}{2} s. \end{aligned}$$