# SFWRENG 4G06 - Hazard Analysis

Group: NextStep (Group 10)

Justin Rosner, rosnej1 Daniel Noorduyn, noorduyd Mengxi Lei, leim5 Alexander Samaha, samahaa Tishko Araz, arazt

Department of Computing and Software McMaster University December 1, 2021

# Contents

1	Revisions	2
2	Introduction 2.1 Scope and Purpose	3 3 3 4
3	Component Overview  3.1 Data Collection 3.2 Object Avoidance System 3.3 User Guidance Mechanism 3.4 User Inputs 3.5 Sensor Calibration 3.6 Bluetooth System	4 4 4 4 4 5
4	Safety Considerations         4.1       Data Collection         4.1.1       Software Issues         4.1.2       Hardware Issues         4.2       Object Avoidance System         4.2.1       Software Issues         4.2.2       Hardware Issues         4.3       User Guidance Mechanism         4.3.1       Software Issues         4.3.2       Hardware Issues         4.4       User Inputs         4.4.1       Software Issues         4.4.2       Hardware Issues         4.5       Sensor Calibration         4.5.1       Software Issues         4.5.2       Hardware Issues         4.6       Bluetooth System         4.6.1       Software Issues         4.6.2       Hardware Issues	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
5	Correlation Between Hazard Functions and Requirements	7
6	FMEA Worksheet 6.1 Scope of Hazard Analysis and System Boundary	<b>8</b>
7		11
L	ist of Tables	
	Revision History	2 4 7 7 7 7 8

8	Correlation between hazard function 6 and requirements	8
9	Failure Mode and Effect Analysis worksheet	8

# 1 Revisions

		Reason for Change				
Revision 0	December 1, 2021	N/A				

Table 1: Revision History

# 2 Introduction

### 2.1 Scope and Purpose

The purpose of this document is to identify the modules and subsystems of our NextStep system which are deemed hazardous and that can potentially cause damage to the users of our system. With such an analysis we can mitigate and possibly eliminate the risks that result from the hazards identified. Hazard analysis must be executed during the software development cycle, the requirement analysis, architectural design, and the actual creation of the modules and hardware wiring. This document will contain the hazard analysis of the NextStep subsystems and their inner workings. Hazards and risks will be identified from previous literature as well as experiments conducted that will give us a deeper insight of the how the system acts under certain conditions.

# 2.2 Background

Historically, blind and visually impaired people have turned to aids such as white canes, guide dogs, and tactile paving to help them navigate through the world. Although each of these have their own associated hazards, they are not similar enough in design to warrant mentioning in this document.

Instead, we wanted to take a look at a watch prototype designed by students at Wake Forest University (1) that makes use of a similar set of sensors like those that NextStep will be using. In this design there are two sonar sensors (one on the top of the wrist and one on the side of the wrist near the thumb). The user is able to sweep their arm around, detecting objects, and the device will buzz (provide haptic feedback) when there is an object close to where they are currently sweeping. Keeping in mind that this is not a product available for retail, so no existing hazard analysis was found. However, after looking at their implementation it is clear that there are some hazards involved that our group should keep in mind for NextStep. One such hazard is that their design only allows for two sensors of the same type, which also happen to be pointing in different directions. This does not leave much wiggle room for hardware failure. If one or both of the sensors stop working it would lead to a catastrophic failure wherein the device would be rendered useless.

Another device that operates on similar principles to NextStep is the commercially available Sunu Band (2). This device also uses sonar sensors on the user's wrist to detect objects and relay the information back to them using haptic feedback. The company Sunu performed a hazard analysis and were able to detect the following potential hazards:

- The sonar sensor becoming wet.
- Using the device in temperatures greater than 55 degrees Celsius.
- Detecting street curbs, drop-offs, stairways, uneven surfaces, slippery or wet surfaces, and moving vehicles.
- Interference from other ultrasonic devices (eg. Automatic room lighting, motion detectors, etc.)

# 2.3 Roadmap

The hazards identified in this analysis will be used to create additional safety requirements in the next revision of the functional requirements document. In addition to this, the uncovered hazards will affect the outcome of our design process as well, as for each hazard we will need to have a solution in the design that prevent or mitigate the hazard.

### 2.4 Definitions

Words	Definition			
Risks	The probability that a system will enter a haz-			
	ardous state or that an accident will occur due			
	to the system.			
Subsystem	A sub-component of the whole system that			
	has its individual functionality independent or			
	loosely dependant (uses 1-2 subsystems as a			
	client) on other sub-components of the whole			
	system.			
Redundancy	The duplication of critical subsystems such			
	that we can increase the reliability of the func-			
	tion of that subsystem.			
Hazard	A combination of system and outside environ-			
	ment conditions that has the potential to en-			
	danger people or damage the environment.			

Table 2: Definitions of words that come up frequently

# 3 Component Overview

The overall design of NextStep can be broken down into the following components:

#### 3.1 Data Collection

This component collects and filters data from the environment using ultrasonic sensors and a camera, before relaying this information to the Object Avoidance System. This component is key to the functionality of NextStep as without, accurate data the Object Avoidance System will not be able to work properly.

# 3.2 Object Avoidance System

This component receives data from the Data Collection component and calculates precisely where objects are in relation to the user, and how they can navigate around them.

#### 3.3 User Guidance Mechanism

This component receives the necessary information from the Route Planning System and the Object Avoidance System to give them guidance on how to get to their destination and avoid hitting obstacles. This is one of the key components of NextStep, as without a useful way of relaying information to the user, all of the data on where the objects is rendered useless.

### 3.4 User Inputs

Responsible for collecting information about the user to pass on to the Sensor Calibration (eg. User height) as well as their desired location which can be passed on to the Route Planning System.

### 3.5 Sensor Calibration

Using the data gathered from the User Inputs component to make sure that the sensors in the Data Collection component know their relative location to each other and the ground so that they can provide accurate readings.

# 3.6 Bluetooth System

This component serves as the communication layer for the User Inputs component and Object Avoidance System to communicate amongst each other.

# 4 Safety Considerations

# 4.1 Data Collection

#### 4.1.1 Software Issues

• The component is unable to filter the data within the desired time.

#### 4.1.2 Hardware Issues

- The component is unable to collect data due to sensor failure.
- The component is unable to acquire the data from sensor due to failure in wiring.
- The component is unable to filter the data due to processor failure.

# 4.2 Object Avoidance System

#### 4.2.1 Software Issues

- The system is unable to receive the data in desired time.
- The system is unable to process the data in desired time.

#### 4.2.2 Hardware Issues

• The system is unable to perform functionality due to processor failure.

# 4.3 User Guidance Mechanism

### 4.3.1 Software Issues

- The component is unable to receive the data in desired time.
- The component is unable to process the data in desired time.

#### 4.3.2 Hardware Issues

- The component is unable to calculate guidance information due to processor failure.
- The component is unable to output the guidance information to user due to hardware component failure.

#### 4.4 User Inputs

#### 4.4.1 Software Issues

• The ability to attack the system by injecting code.

#### 4.4.2 Hardware Issues

• The component is unable to perform functionality due to hardware component failure.

# 4.5 Sensor Calibration

#### 4.5.1 Software Issues

• The ability to attack the system by injecting code.

### 4.5.2 Hardware Issues

- The component is unable to perform functionality due to hardware component failure.
- The component is unable to perform functionality due to improperly located sensors.

# 4.6 Bluetooth System

# 4.6.1 Software Issues

- The ability to inject false information during communication.
- The ability to perform attacks on the system via vulnerabilities in Bluetooth.

#### 4.6.2 Hardware Issues

- The system is unable to perform functionality due to Bluetooth chip failure.
- The system is unable to perform functionality due to interference in 2.4GHz channel.

# 5 Correlation Between Hazard Functions and Requirements

Hazard Function	Functional and Non-functional requirements
F1: Movement feedback to user	FR2
	FR3
	FR5
	FR6
	FR7
	FR9
	FR15
	FR17
	FR19
	FR24
	FR25
	PR5

Table 3: Correlation between hazard function 1 and requirements

Hazard Function	Functional and Non-functional requirements
F2: Object Detection and path creation	FR1
	FR3
	FR7
	FR9
	FR17
	FR18
	FR19
	FR22
	FR23
	PR1
	PR5
	PR7

Table 4: Correlation between hazard function 2 and requirements

Hazard Function	Functional and Non-functional requirements
F3: Movement Detection	FR1
	FR23

Table 5: Correlation between hazard function 3 and requirements

Hazard Function	Functional and Non-functional requirements
F4: Sensor Calibration and user input	FR8
	FR10
	FR11
	FR12
	FR13
	FR14
	FR16

Table 6: Correlation between hazard function 4 and requirements

Hazard Function	Functional and Non-functional requirements
F5: System process data in certain time	FR15
	FR17
	FR24
	FR25

Table 7: Correlation between hazard function 5 and requirements

Hazard Function	Functional and Non-functional requirements
F6: System will have a reliable power subsystem	FR4
	FR20
	PR6
	PR11

Table 8: Correlation between hazard function 6 and requirements

# 6 FMEA Worksheet

# 6.1 Scope of Hazard Analysis and System Boundary

The following hazards are considered out of scope and will not be included in the hazard analysis.

- User inputs the wrong dimension for their height but it is still within a reasonable value. NextStep would not be able to tell if the user is maliciously giving bad information.
- User incorrectly dons the device (example: pointing the wrong way). NextStep would not be able to tell if the device is on the user incorrectly.
- Detecting objects moving faster than a walking pace.
- Detecting objects in a low light environment. NextStep will not be able to accurately navigate a low light environment.

Table 9: Failure Mode and Effect Analysis worksheet

	T	I					I	
				Probability of	Severity Ranking	Detection Ranking	RPN (out of 1000,	
Component	Failure Mode	Causal Failures	Effects	Occurrence (out of	(out of 10, 0 being	(out of 10,0 being	higher the	Ref
•				10, 0 being least, 10	least severe, 10	least difficult, 10	number, more	
				being most)	being most severe)	being most difficult)	priority given)	
	User hits a stationary object.	a. Sensor misses detecting something;     b. Sensor is broken;     c. Object location incorrectly calculated;     d. Incorrect way to avoid object produced;	User hits an object.	3	10	2	60	H1-1
F1	User hits a slow moving object.	a. Sensor misses detecting something;     b. Sensor is broken;     c. Object location incorrectly calculated;     d. Incorrect way to avoid object produced;     e. System processed data too slowly;	User hits an object.	4	10	2	80	H1-2
F2	Object location incorrectly calculated.	a. Wrong units used in calculation;     b. Object moving too fast;     c. Cancellation errors;     d. Wrong data passed from sensors;     e. Data filtering errors;	User hits an object.	5	8	5	200	H2-1
	Incorrect way to avoid object produced.	a. Dynamic object moving too fast;     b. Wrong user dimensions given;	a. User hits the object;     b. User is directed into     another object;	4	8	5	160	H2-2
F3	Sensor misses detecting something.	a. Sensor malfunction; b. Sensor blocked; c. Object in sensor blindspot; d. Object is too small; e. Object components don't reflect sonic waves; f. Interference from other sonic waves;	User hits an object.	6	8	9	432	Н3-1
	Sensor detects a phantom object.	a. Sensor positioned incorrectly;     b. Something is blocking the sensor;	User avoids an object that isn't there.	2	3	8	48	H3-2

F4	Sensor is broken.	a. Water damage; b. Fall damage; c. Sensor shorted; d. Wear and tear;	User hits an object.	2	10	2	40	H4-1
F**	Wrong user dimensions given.	a. User inputted incorrect     dimensions;     b. User inputted dimensions     in wrong units;	a. User hits an object;     b. User avoids an object     unnecessarily;	3	2	10	60	H4-2
F5	Object detection feedback is too slow.	a. System processed data too slowly;     b. Object is moving too fast;     c. User is moving too fast;	User hits an object.	3	8	2	48	H5-1
F6	Device shuts down unexpectedly.	a. Battery is empty;     b. Battery is malfunctioning;     c. Power module is     malfunctioning;	User can no longer use the device as intended.	2	7	1	14	H6-1

# 7 References

- (1) L. Stinson, "A buzzing sonar watch that helps blind people navigate," Wired, 20-Nov-2014. [Online]. Available: https://www.wired.com/2014/11/buzzing-sonar-watch-helps-blind-people-navigate/. [Accessed: 30-Nov-2021].
- (2) Sunu, Sunu Band. [Online]. Available: https://www.sunu.com/. [Accessed: 30-Nov-2021].