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# ABSTRACT



**OBJECTIVE**

To investigate the extent to which family satisfaction predict mental health of young adults.

# STUDY DESIGN

Correlational research design

# PLACE AND DURATION OF STUDY

The study was conducted in Higher Education Commission recognized institutions of Lahore during 2015-2016.

# SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Convenient sampling was used to select 1DO male and 100 female (N=200) young adults with age range from 18 to 28 years (M=22.73, SD=2.015). The respondents had education level from intermediate to post graduation level. For data collection satisfaction with family life scale and general health questionnaire were utilized. For mean differences t-test and for finding relationship among the variables Pearson correlation and multiple regression was used.

# RESULTS

Findings revealed positive significant relationship between family satisfaction and mental health of young adults. Resultsindicated

that family satisfaction scores significantly correlated with general health (r = .25, p < .01). Further, it was found that overall women (M =

15.80, SD= 6.20) have higher score on general health as compared with men (M = 14.16, SD= 5.43).

# CONCLUSION

The results revealed that family satisfaction isan important predictor of mental health.It was also concluded that family satisfaction morecritically related to women health ascompare with men.
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# INTRODUCTION

Family is defined as two or more individuals related by blood, marriage, or adoption who reside in the same household'. Satisfaction or approval with family life has been directly related to a variety of other family wellness variables, including higher family cohesion, adaptability, communication and overall family functioning'·'. Family is the essential unit of society, and is perhaps the "oldest and most resilient institution" in society'. Family satisfaction refers to the response to existing family functioning in comparison with anindividual'sinternal sense of what ismost appropriate or desirable. Satisfaction is a mental or rational appraisal and an emotional response to what was and what could be'.

Youth isa period of discovery, exploration, and instability that occursbetween middle childhood and late adolescence. In these phases of life, it is *very* crucial for a person to be mentally satisfied and satisfied withhis/her family life in an attempt to recognize and understand characteristics and behaviors connected with quality family life, researchers have focused on variableslinked to the large construct of satisfaction with family life.Family alwaysconsistsof individualswho interact with each otherand learn almost each and everything from each other and they are tied with each other by emotionsand sentiments. The bigger social structure imposes on individuals through thefamily'.

Theoretical model indicates that close relations and the support given by close ones specifically family is an ultimate requirement of all irrespective of theirculture'·'.People who have strong bonds with others are able to get maximum psychological well­ being"·".Family plays a significant rolein the growth or development of youth'smental health and well-being. Numerous studies have shown that family is a significant protective aspect in the livesof urban youth"·".

A state of well-being in which *every* individual understands his or her own potential, can manage with the normal stresses of life, can work efficiently and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to her or his community is termed as mental health". Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity".This definition stresses more on the people's physical and emotional quality of life than on ratesof identified diseases".

Mental health is determined by the attitude a person feels about himself, others and how he isable to experience and alter to day-today living conditions. The teacher can help his students to accept themselves, regards themselves and learns to get along happily and successfully with others and make hearty good adjustments to living healthfullyand actively. He isconcerned with helping them manage mental health and general health so that they may be developed into mature, responsible and well­ adjusted adults".

A lot of work has been done in the west. There isa need to study family satisfaction and mental health in a collectivist culture like Pakistan. Objective of the current study is to find the relationship between Family Satisfaction and Mental Health and to determine

whetherfamily satisfaction can predict mental health. On the basis of the aforementionedliterature it was be hypothesized that

Hl: There would a strong positive relationship between family satisfaction and general health of youngadults

H2: There would be a predictive relationship between family

satisfaction and mental health

H3: Male and female young adults would differ significantly in terms of family satisfaction and mentalhealth.

H4: Young adult girls will have high general health or mental health

as compare to young adult boys

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

### Participants

Co relational study was conducted by using convenient sampling method on a sample of 200 participants with demographic characteristics mentioned in Table 1. The inclusion criteria were (a) age ranged from 18 to 28 years (b) education level from intermediate to post graduation level. In addition, those participants were excluded from thestudywhodid not fulfill the inclusion criteria.

### Instruments

***Satisfaction with family life scale:*** The Satisfaction with Family Life (SWFL) scale21 is a modified version of the Satisfaction with Life scale22 in which the wordsfamily life replaced the word life in each of the original items. This scale shows an alpha reliability of .94 and strong internal consistency. The SWFL scale is composed of fiveitems that require respondentsto agree or disagree with global statement about family life on a 7 point Likert-type scale ranging from 1=strongly disagree to ?=strongly agree. Scores may range from 5 to 35.High scores indicate high satisfaction rate.

Cronbach's alpha coefficients obtained from the present sample were .84 for affective quality of satisfaction.

***General health questionnaire:*** The twelve-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) is intended to screen for general (non­ psychotic) psychiatric morbidity23. The GHQ-12 comprises 12 items

describing mood states, six of which are positively phrased and six negatively phrased.Each item ofGHQ-12 has four possible responses option. Item scores were coded or recoded according to the scoring method. It is a four-point rating scale where categories range from often designated as 1 to never designated as 4. Cronbach's alpha reliability values obtained from the present research sample were

.75. Alpha coefficients represent that scale is reliable and internally consistent measure.

### Procedure

The present research was approved from the departmental review committee.In addition, before carrying out the main research, a trial phase was done to decide the language and cultural suitability of the instrument exploited in the study to enquire about the appropriate age level to undertake the second phase of research. Expert opinion was sought for the same purpose. Trial phase of the research was carried-out with a sample of 30 young adults.Experts recommended that both scales were culturally and linguistically appropriate for Pakistani young adults.In accordance with the suggestions given by

the experts, the participants did not exhibit any difficulty regarding the questionnaires.

The participants were directed to read each and every statement carefully and mark their response by selecting the most suitable statement which they deem the most appropriate. Personal demographics of the participants were obtained on separate sheet. The participants were given assurance that their personal information and data will not be leaked or provided to anyone. It will be kept fully confidential and we acknowledged their cooperation and participation in the study.

## RESULTS

The present study included 200young adults,age ranging from 18 to 28 years, and their minimum education was intermediate. Table 2 shows mean, standard deviation, Cronbach's alpha value, potential and actual response range, and skewness values. It was found that both scales have satisfactory reliability and Skewness range. Results indicated that family satisfaction scores significantly correlated with general health (r = .25, p < .01); although it is a weak correlation, it showed that increase in the family satisfaction increased general health and decrease in family satisfaction decreased general health (See Table 3).

Multiple regression analysis has been carried out to find the significant predictors of general health among gender, age and family satisfaction. It was found that family satisfaction (B = .20, p<

.01) significantly predicted the general health. R2 for general health model was 8%. The positive B value indicated that increase in predictor increases one standard deviation change in the general health scores (Table 4).

Table 5 showed the mean difference between men and women on the family satisfaction and general health scores. Results indicated that there was significant mean difference between men and women with respect to general health (t = -1.99, p < .05).Further, it was found that overall women (M = 15.80, SD = 6.20) had higher score on general health as compared with men(M= 14.16,SD= 5.43).

**Table 1**

Demographic Characteristics of the Participants (N = 200)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable**  Age groups | **F** | % |
| 18 - 22 years | 98 | 49.0 |
| 23 - 28 years | 102 | 51.0 |
| Gender |  |  |
| Men | 100 | 50.0 |
| Women | 100 | 50.0 |
| Family system |  |  |
| r'luc1ear | SI | qo.u |
| Joint | 79 | 37.0 |
| Single parenting | 29 | 14.5 |
| Monthly income |  |  |
| Below-I0000 | 04 | 2.0 |
| I 0000-25000 | 18 | 9.0 |
| 25000-50000 | 62 | 31.0 |
| Above 50000 | 116 | 58.0 |

*Note. f= frequency.* % = *percentage*

**Table 2**
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towards parental family of origin and childhood experiences "·".

Psychometric Properties of the Study Scales (N = 200)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | **Range** | |  |
| **Scale** | ***k*** | ***M(SD)*** | ***a*** | **Potential** | **Actual** | **Skew** |
| FS |  | 24.38 | .84 | 1-7 | 2.0-7.0 | -.47 |
|  |  | (7.13) |  |  |  |  |
| (GHQ-12) | 12 | 14.98 | .75 | 1-4 | 1.0-2.3 | .02 |
|  |  | (5.87) |  |  |  |  |

*Nore. FS= family satisfaction, GHQ= General /-lea/ti, Questionnaire, k* = *110. of items. a* = *Cronbach's alpha*

**Table 3**

Inter-correlation between Family Satisfaction and General Health (N = 200)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr.#** | **Variable** |  |  | ***M(SD)*** |
| I. | Family Satisfaction |  | .25\*\* | 24.38(7.13) |
| 2. | General Health |  |  | 14.98(5.87) |

*Note. \*\*p* < *.OJ,*

**Table 4**

Gender, Age and Family Satisfaction as a Predictor of General Health (N = 200)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **95CI%**  **General Health** | | | |
| **Variable** | ***B*** | ***UL*** | ***LL*** |
| Constant | 7.88 | -2.54 | 18.30 |
| Gender | 1.52 | -.80 | 3.11 |
| Age | -.00 | -.40 | .40 |
| FS | .20 | .09 | .31 |
| *R* | .28 |  |  |
| *R'* | .08 |  |  |
| *F* | 5.52\*\* |  |  |

*Note. \*\*p< .OJ, FS= family sath,fac1io11, B* = *coefficient of regression, LL= lower limit, UL* = *upper limit.*

**Table 5**

Mean Differences on the Family Satisfaction and Mental Health between Men and Women

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Men  (11=IO0) | | Women  (11= 100) | | |  |  | 95 *%Cl* | |
| **Variable** | ***M*** | ***SD*** | ***M*** | ***SD*** | **1(198)** | ***P*** | ***LL*** | ***UL*** | **Cohen'sd** |
| Family satisfaction | 24.07 | 6.73 | 24.69 | 7.53 | -.61 | .54 | -.71 | 1.4 | .09 |
| General Health | 14.16 | 5.43 | 15.80 | 6.20 | -1.99 | .04 | -3.3 | -.02 | .28 |

*Note. Cl= confidence interval. LL= lower limit. UL* = *11pper limit. N* = *200.*

## DISCUSSION

Present study was set out to investigate relationship between family satisfaction and mental health of young adults. The finding of the current study was consistent withthe stated hypothesesof study and showed that there was significant relationship between family satisfaction and mental health of young adults.Therefore, increase in the family satisfaction increased general health and decrease in family satisfaction decreased general health. These findings were consistent with previousliterature, and they argued that the reasons behind this factor might be social adjustments, positive attitude

Similarly, family satisfaction was a significant predictor of mental health.Thisfinding was supported by the literature.They argued that according to Bowlby theory attachment factor predicts the later relationship among the significant others"· "· ". The study also investigated the differences among men and women in terms of family satisfaction and mental health. Present study suggested that women have high general health as compared to men. These findings are consistent with the number of previous findings previous research"·"·".The major reason behind thisfactor might be vitality, ratio of men and women, women empowerment, disorder diagnosis,andculture specificpractices"·".

## LIMITATIONS OF THE STU DY

The present study was conducted with colossal input but there are certain limitationswhich are to betaken intoconsideration.Owing to paucity of time, sample were restricted geographically as the sample was obtained from Lahore, Faisalabad, and Sialkot. To know the effects or impact of studiesat macro level, far flung areas of Pakistan could not be reached.The sample size of the study was small and the age range isnarrow.The sample was consisted of literate population; theperspective of illiterateswas not included in the study.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Pakistan is a pluralist society where all sort of diversities like cast, creed, race and provincial identities exist. All the young adults belonging to different areas of Pakistan vary in respect of satisfaction level and mental health. The need of further study is required to get acquaintance with the phenomenon of mental health and satisfaction.

The research undertaken bringsto limelight the significance of family satisfaction, functioning at micro level and mental health of young adults. It also compels us to conduct a research to the fact that how messy and chaotic environment affects the satisfaction level and mental health of young adults belonging to educated families. Anotherresearch can also be conducted keeping in view theexisting milieu in a house where poverty prevails, domestic violence isin rife, congested situation, dependence on parents for day to day needs, joblessness, daily mutual feuds and scarcity of resources. This research hasalso depicted inconsistency in gender health, i.e. young girlsare enjoying bettermentaland generalhealththan the boys.
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