JPPS 2008; 5(2): 105-111 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

DOUBLE BLIND PLACEBO CONTROLLED CLINICAL TRIAL EXAMINING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF

ST. JOHN’S WORT (HYPERICUM PERFORATUM) IN MILD TO MODERATE DEPRESSION

Raza-ur-Rahman, Moin Ahmed Ansari, Zafar Hayder, Aftab Ahmed Siddiqui, Ishfaq A. Bukhari, Muhammed Abdul Qayyum

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To compare improvement in symptoms of mild to moderate depression after treatment with Hypericum perforatum (St. John’s Wort extract) and placebo.

**Design**: Double blind randomized controlled trial.

**Place and duration of study:** This study was conducted at the outpatient department of psychiatry at Civil Hospital, Karachi from December 2006 to November 2007.

**Subjects and Methods:** This study is experimental, controlled randomized trial, done at out-patient department of Civil Hospital, Karachi on 112 patients who were assigned either St. John’s Wort or placebo group for treatment. Depression was measured at weekly interval using Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) for six consecutive weeks along with concurrent side effects.

**Results:** 234 out of 350 initially selected patients were randomly assigned to two different groups A & B receiving St. John’s Wort and placebo respectively. The efficacy of the treatments was evaluated after 14, 28 and 42 days of the treatments through changes in HAM-D score compared to Day 0. The Mean HAM- D score on Day 0 of St. John’s Wort treated and placebo treated was 18.04 (SD=3.6) and 17.50 (SD= 3.1) respectively. A marked reduction in HAM-D score was evident at 14 to 42 days of the treatment in both groups, however at day 42 the reduction in HAM-D score observed with St. John’s Wort group was more from that of placebo.

**Conclusion:** St. John’s Wort extract is more effective than placebo in the treatment of mild to moderate depression and have comparable safety and tolerability profile.

**Key words:** Double-blind randomized controlled trial, Depression, Hypericum perforatum (St. John’s Wort), Placebo.

# INTRODUCTION

Depression a common psychiatric disorder, affects mood, thoughts, physical health, and behavior of the

**Raza-ur-Rahman**, Assistant Professor, Depratment of Psy- chiatry, Civil Hopsital, Dow Univesity of Health Sciences Karachi, Pakistan. E-mail: [razaur@yahoo.com](mailto:razaur@yahoo.com)

**Moin Ahmed Ansari,** Depratment of Psychiatry, Liaquat Na- tional Hospital, Karachi.

**Zafar Hayder,** Depratment of Psychiatry, Jinnah Postgradu- ate Medical Centre, Karachi.

**Aftab Ahmed Siddiqui,** Department of Medicine, Liaquat Col- lege of Medicine & Dentistry, Darul Sehat Hospital Karachi.

**Ishfaq A. Bukhari**, Medics Labaratories, North Karachi In- dustrial Area, Karachi, Pakistan.

**Muhammed Abdul Qayyum**, Medics Labaratories, North Karachi Industrial Area, Karachi, Pakistan.

**Correspondence: Dr Raza-ur-Rahman**

patient1. There is high prevalence of depression in Pa- kistani population attributable perhaps to peculiar so- cioeconomic conditions2-6. Among different barriers in successful treatment of depression two main are ad- verse effect of standard antidepressant and their high cost6. An effective, low cost, well tolerated anti- depressant would thus be of tremendous clinical impor- tance.

Many treatment strategies have been tried for these problems, including complimentary and alternative medi- cine (CAM). St. John’s Wort (botanical name: *Hyperi- cum perforatum*) is a herbal medicine that is being used for centuries7 and is highest OTC (over the counter) sell- ing medicine in many developed countries8. It is one of the extensively studied natural product9 funded by pres- tigious organization like Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) of United States National Institute of Health. Scientific evidence regarding the

Table 1

Cost Comparison in Pak Rs. of St. John’s Wort with other standard antidepressants

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ingredient** | **Lowest** | **Price** | **Tab** | **Highest** | **6 week Treatment Cost**  **Lowest Highest** | |
| Impipramine 25mg | 0.86 | 1.16 | | | 108.08 | 146.67 |
| Amitriptyline 25mg | 0.75 | 0.94 | | | 126.00 | 158.47 |
| St. John’s Wort 450mg | 3.96 | — | | | 332.50 | — |
| Fluoxetine 20mg | 4.70 | 37.80 | | | 197.40 | 1,587.60 |
| Citalopram 20mg | 9.90 | 49.97 | | | 415.80 | 2,098.74 |
| Paroxetine 20mg | 10.50 | 43.24 | | | 441.00 | 1,816.21 |
| Sertraline 50mg | 6.00 | 46.02 | | | 756.00 | 5,798.06 |
| Venlafaxine 50mg | 18.70 | 27.14 | | | 1,178.1 | 1,709.98 |
| Fluvoxamine 100mg | 25.00 | 37.84 | | | 1,050.00 | 1,589.20 |

Source: druginfosys.com

effectiveness of St. John’s Wort for depression is incon- sistent. There are many studies that support usefulness of St. John’s Wort in treating mild to moderate depres- sion10,12. A meta-analysis of 23 randomized clinical trials of St. John’s Wort extract, involving 1757 patients, re- vealed significant response as compared to placebo (15 placebo control trial)13 and equal efficacy in com- parison to other antidepressants (8 antidepressant con- trol trial). There are other clinical trials which revealed similar results in support of efficacy of St. John’s Wort, but the study design and methodology have often been criticized14-16. Wolke17 considered the criticized issues in randomized multi-center double blind parallel group trial and in his trial he found St. John’s Wort (500mg) is not only as effective as Imipramine (150mg) but also signifi- cantly better tolerated. Similar results were observed by Phillip18 in double blind placebo control comparison of St. John’s Wort (1050mg) with Imipramine (100mg). Simi- lar results have also been observed in comparative stud- ies with Fluoxetine19,20, Paroxetine21, and Citalopram22. One common finding of all studies was better tolerability of the St. John’s Wort. Trautman-Sponsel23 concluded that when account of differing dose levels, standardiza- tion and methods of evaluation are taken into account then St. John’s Wort extract is efficacious and well toler- ated.

Besides many studies in support of efficacy of St. John’s Wort, two large studies, one sponsored by NCCAM24, showed that the herb was no more effective than placebo in treating major depression of moderate severity. An analysis of the results of 37 clinical trials concluded that St. John’s Wort may have only minimal beneficial effects on major depression. However, the analysis also found that St. John’s Wort may benefit people with minor depression; these benefits may be similar to those from standard antidepressants. Overall, St. John’s Wort appeared to produce fewer side effects

than some standard antidepressants19. The issue of com- pliance becomes more important in patients with mild to moderate depression, who usually tend to discontinue medication due to side effect7.

Keeping in mind the aforementioned facts the pri- mary objective of the study was to evaluate the efficacy of St. John’s Wort 900 mg/day in local population during the 6 weeks of acute treatment. Secondary objectives were to assess the safety and tolerability of the treat- ments investigated. The principal outcome measure was the change in the total score on the Hamilton Rating Scale (HAM-D) 17-item version between day 0 and end point.

# SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This was a 6-week randomized, double blind pla- cebo controlled trial comparing the efficacy of St. John’s Wort extract 300 mg with placebo in patients suffering from a mild to moderate depression. The investigation was conducted at the out patient department of psychia- try at Civil Hospital, Karachi. Ethical approval was taken before the start of the study and all patients provided written informed consent.

## Sample Size

112 patients with mild to moderate depression were included in the study.

## Sample Selection Technique

Systemic randomization / convenience.

## Inclusion Criteria

Patients of both sexes, between the ages of 18 to 65 with no associated physical disease, who gave their consent, were recruited for the study.

## Exclusion criteria

Any patients with depression secondary to organic illness and atypical cases that may carry different diag- nosis were not included. Patient belonging out side of Karachi were also not included because of inherent dif- ficultly in follow-up.

## Clinical assessment

Base line investigation was carried out by Blood CPs & ESR, Serum Createnin and LFT

Mild to moderate depression was assessed ac- cording to International Statistical Classification of Dis- eases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10, 10th Revi- sion, Version 2003; F32.0 Mild depressive episode or F33.0 Recurrent depressive disorder, current episode mild and F32.1 Moderate depressive episode or F33.1 Recurrent depressive disorder, current episode moder- ate). Participants were required to have a total score between the ranges of 15-22 on 17 item Hamilton De- pression Scale (HAM-D).

## Investigational Treatments

Film coated tablets containing 300 mg dry extract of St. John’s Wort (Hypericum perforatum) were used. Tablets containing placebo (a mix of maize starch and dicalcium phopate) were indistinguishable from those containing St. John’s Wort extract in all aspects of their appearance. During the 6 weeks of randomized treat- ment all patients took 3 film coated tablets per day, one in the morning, one in the afternoon and one in the evening.

## Sample Selection Procedure

All consecutive patients enrolled at out patient de- partment of psychiatry, were screened by OPD doctors. Newly diagnosed patients of depression were selected and Hospital Anxiety & Depression (HAD) scale was applied, patients having high score on HAD scale (> 11) were referred to the researcher.

Researcher assessed these patients in detail on semi structured psychiatric interview based on Present State Examination (PSE) questioner. The detailed psy- chiatric history of these patients was taken on standard format and diagnosis of depression was made accord- ing to ICD-10 criteria. Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D) was administered on these patients to find out severity of depression. Base line investigations such as complete blood count (CPC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), serum createnin and liver function test (LFT) were carried out on selected cases to exclude concur- rent illness.

The patients included in this study were divided randomly by balloting into two identical groups (A & B) each group was prescribed medicine labeled accord- ingly. One of these groups received St. John’s Wort ex- tract Tablets 300mg thrice daily, while other group was given placebo. Both the researchers and the patients were blinded to the treatment being offered. Test drugs were packaged in identical containers clearly marked

“Group A” and “Group B” under the supervision of a registered pharmacist, who did not participate in alloca- tion of test drugs to the patients. The test drugs were shipped to the department of psychiatry at Civil Hospital where they were kept with the head researcher and were released once the patients were assigned to a particu- lar group. The code of the medicine was sealed and placed in a secure location and was opened after com- piling the data.

Patients were followed up weekly for 6 weeks to assess improvement of symptoms, side effects of drug and adjustment of dosage. HAM-D was repeated on 2nd, 4th and 6th week to see improvement.

A specially designed check list was used for re- cording adverse effects which included nausea, dizzi- ness, drowsiness, dryness of mouth and skin allergies, there was also space provided for recording any other adverse effects reported during the course of the treat- ment.

Patients were thoroughly questioned about ad- verse events in a general inquiry during each visit. These were recorded & concurrent illness was also dealt with.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was done to correlate different therapeutic variables (active drug and placebo) with ref- erence to the severity of depression (according to rating scale used in this research) by using t–test.

# RESULTS

In this 6-weak double blind placebo controlled study the efficacy of St. John’s Wort was compared to placebo in mild to moderate cases of depression. Total 234 patients out of 350 initially selected for the study were randomly assigned to two different groups A & B. Both groups were almost homogenous in terms of mean age and gender; there were more female patients than male in both groups (Table 2). Patients assigned to group ‘A’ received St. John’s Wort 300 mg thrice daily. Patients in group ‘B’ received same dose of placebo. Patients in both groups were observed on weekly fol- low-up basis for 6 weeks. 35 patients from St. John’s Wort treated and 76 patients from placebo treated did not maintain the 6 weeks follow-up and the primary rea- son for this premature termination of the treatment could not be traced out (Figure 1).

## Efficacy

The efficacy of the treatments was evaluated after 14, 28 and 42 days of the treatments through changes in HAM-D score compared to Day 0. The Mean HAM-D score on Day 0 of St. John’s Wort treated and placebo treated was 18.04 (SD =3.6) and 17.50 (SD =3.1) re- spectively as shown in Table 3. A marked reduction in HAM-D score was evident at 14 to 42 days of the treat- ment in both groups, however at day 42 the reduction in HAM-D score observed with St. John’s Wort group was different from that of placebo (Figure 2). The percentage

Table 2

Base line characteristics of patients with mild to moderate depression treated with St. John’s Wort (SJW) 300 mg thrice daily or placebo

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristics** | **SJW (n=56)**  **Mean (SD)** | **Treatment Placebo (n=56)**  **Mean (SD)** | **P – Value** |
| Age (years) | 33.89 (10.884) | 36.29 (12.478) | 0.28 |
| HAD Score | 17.83 (4.573) | 17.57 (3.996) | 0.7 |
| HAM-D Score at inclusion | 18.04 (3.593) | 17.50 (3.116) | 0.4 |
| **Sex** | **% (n)** | **%(n)** |  |
| Male | 23.2 (13) | 21.4 (12) |  |
| Female | 76.8 (43) | 78.6 (44) |  |




Fig. 1: Screening and follow-up compiliance of the patients with mild to moderate depression treated with St. John’s Wort 300 mg thrice daily or placeo.




Fig. 2: Change from base line in mean HAM-D scores in patients with mildto moderate depression after 14, 28 & 42 days of treatment with SJW and Placebo.

**60**

SJW

**55**

**50**

Placebo

**45**

**40**

**35**

**30**

**25**

**20**

**15**

**10**

**5**

**0**

**Percentage**

reductions for both treatments are shown in Figure III; the data demonstrate that patients treated with St. John’s Wort exhibited greater improvements as compared to placebo treated group, indicating effectiveness of St. John’s Wort in the treatment of mild to moderate depression.

## Safety and tolerability

A good tolerability profile was observed with both the treatments. Nausea and headache were the most common side effects reported in both groups of the pa- tients. However dry mouth and dizziness was more fre- quent with St. John’s Wort treatment, compared to pla- cebo (Table 3). The incidence and severity of the ad-

Table 3

Number of patients with mild to moderate depression who experienced adverse effects

during 6 weeks treatment with St. John’s Wort (SJW) 300 mg thrice daily or placebo.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Adverse Effects** | **SJW (n=56)** | **Placebo (n=56)** |
| Nausea | 16 (28.5%) | 8 (14.3%) |
| Dry mouth | 8 (14.3%) | 0 (0.0%) |
| Headache | 16 (28.5%) | 16 (28.5%) |
| Dizziness | 12 (21.4%) | 4 (7.1%) |
| Palpitations | 4 (7.1%) | 0 (0.0%) |
| Photosensitivity | 4 (7.1%) | 4 (7.1%) |

Fig.3: Percentage drop in HAM-D score in patients with mild to moderate depression after 6 weeks treatment with SJW and Placebo

verse effects observed were not to the extent that would make the patients reluctant in taking the medication. In the placebo treated group 2 patients were withdrawn prematurely because of the development of severe symp- toms of depression.

# DISCUSSION

## Efficacy

The results of this study demonstrate the efficacy of 300 mg thrice daily of St. John’s Wort as compare to placebo in patients with mild to moderate depression at the interval of 6 weeks. This finding was stable across several validated investigators. Over the first four weeks, the mean decreases in HAM-D scores were nearly the same with no statistical difference. It was about 7.7 points in St John’s Wort group and 6.8 in placebo group. Over the full 6 weeks, mean decreases in HAM-D scores were approximately 10 points for St John’s Wort group and 8 points for the placebo group; the difference of 2 points was significant.

On a descriptive level, the antidepressant effects of St. John’s Wort and placebo were comparable during the first four weeks of treatment, indicating that without a placebo run in phase, factors other than the pharmaco- logical action of St. Johns’ Wort extract may have ini- tially contributed to the observed relief of depressive symptoms. However, the majority of patients random- ized to placebo showed only limited or no improvement between day 28 and day 42.

The drop in mean HAM-D scores after 42 days treatment with St. John’s Wort (900mg/day) in our study

were comparable with results reported by Kasper et al25 with St. John’s Wort (1200mg/day) for same duration of treatment. However there was a minor difference in the placebo group of our and the Kasper study. The drop in mean HAM-D scores in the placebo group in the present study was slightly higher than reported by Kasper for placebo group.

## Safety and tolerability

For both treatment-groups, the highest frequen- cies of adverse events were observed during the first two weeks of acute treatment. Because this effect was observed in both treatment groups, it is likely to have been related to a non-specific, non-drug- related effect based on the patients’ and investigators’ expectations or to the patients’ initial severity of depres- sion (known to increase vulnerability to various kinds of adverse experiences). During the 6-week acute treatment, the St. John’s Wort group showed a relatively higher frequency of adverse events than the placebo group. Potentially attributable adverse events included gastrointestinal disorders, headache, dizzi- ness, dry mouth, and photosensitivity reactions (Table- 3). Number of patients complaining of headache was identical (28.5%) in both groups this appears more be- cause of the symptoms of depression than due to ad- verse effect of active drug. In the Kasper25 study St. John’s Wort extract had no meaningful influence on labo- ratory measures, physical findings, vital signs or ECG parameters.

# CONCLUSION

Although larger sample size is needed, the find- ings from our current study demonstrate that both treat- ments alleviated the symptoms of mild to moderate de- pression but St. John’s Wort 900 mg was more effective than placebo in the treatment of mild to moderate de- pression. Both treatments showed comparable safety and tolerability profile, however there was a slightly higher incidence of side effects in St. John’s Wort group compare to placebo.

Given the low cost of St. John’s Wort extract it may have a role in treatment of patients with mild to moder- ate depression who cannot afford standard antidepres- sants or who cannot tolerate standard antidepressants due to adverse effects.

# DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The study was funded by Medics Laboratories in- cluding provision of Deprisin® Tablets (St. John’s Wort) 300 mg and manufacturing of placebo with similar shape and color.

# REFERENCES

1. Gelder M, Gath D, Mayo R, Cowen P. Mood disorder. In: Oxford Textbook of Psychiatry. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford university press, 1996: 197-245.
2. Mirza I, Jenkins R. Risk factors, prevalence, and treat- ment of anxiety and depressive disorders in Pakistan: systematic review. BMJ 2004; 328: 794-9.
3. Ahmed I, Saeed K, Mubashir MH, Latif S, Mumford DB. Minor psychiatric morbidity and socioechnomic factor. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2001; 11: 215-8.
4. Saeed K, Sultan S, Mubashir SS, Doger I, Mumford DB, Mubashir MH. Comparision of self-reporting question- naire and Bedford somatic inventory as screening in- struments for psychiatric morbidity in community set- ting in Pakistan. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2001; 11: 229-31.
5. Ahmed I, Saeed K, Mumford DB, Latif S, Mubashir MH. Social stress and psychiatric morbidity in rural Paki- stan. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2001; 11: 239-41.
6. Naqvi HA. Depression in primary care: clinical epidemi- ology & clinical decision analysis- an analytical review. J Pak Psych Soc 2006; 3: 6-11.
7. Gaster B, Holroyd J. St John’s Wort for depression. A systemic review. Arch Intern Med 2000; 160: 152-6.
8. Lecrubier Y, Clerc G, Didi R, Kieser M. Efficacy of St. John’s Wort extract WS 5570 in major depression: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry 2002; 159: 1361-6.
9. Pies R. Adverse neuropsychiatric reactions to herbal and over-the-counter “antidepressants”. J Clin Psychia- try 2000; 61: 815-20.
10. Hyperisi H. WHO monographs on selected medicinal plants. Vol 2. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002:149-71.
11. Lecrubier Y, Clerc G, Didi R, Kieser M Efficacy of St. John’s wort extract WS 5570 in major depression: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol 2005; 15: 293-301.
12. Kalb R, Trautmann-Sponsel RD, Kieser M Efficacy and tolerability of hypericum extract WS 5572 versus pla- cebo in mildly to moderately depressed patients. A ran- domized double-blind multicenter clinical trial. Pharmacopsychiatry 2001; 34: 96-103.
13. Linde K, Ramirez G. St John wort for depression – an overview and meta-analysis of rendamized clinical trials. BMJ 1996; 313: 253-8.
14. De Smet PA, Nolen WA. St. John wort as an antidepres- sant [Editorial]. BMJ 1996; 313: 241-2.
15. Linde K, Berner M. Has Hypericum found its place in antidepressant treatment? [Commentary] BMJ 1999; 319:-1539.
16. Houghton PJ. St. John’s Wort for depression. BMJ 1996; 313: 1204-5.
17. Woelk H. Comparision of St John wort and Imipramine for treating depression: randimized controlled trial. BMJ 2000; 321: 536-9.
18. Philipp M, Kohnen R, Hiller K. Hypericum extract versus Imipramin or placebo in patients with moderate depres- sion: Randomized multi-center study of treatment foe eight weeks. BMJ 1999; 319: 1534-9.
19. Schrader E. Equivalence of St. Johns wort extract (Ze177) and fluoxetine: a randomized control study in mild – moderate depression. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2000; 15: 61-8.
20. Fava M, Alpert J, Nierenberg AA, Mischoulon D, Otto MW, Zajecka J, et al. A Double-blind, randomized trial of St John’s wort, fluoxetine, and placebo in major de- pressive disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2005; 25: 441-7.
21. Szegedi A, Kohnen R, Dienel A, Kieser M. Acute treat- ment of moderate to sever depression with hypericum extract WS5570 (St John’s wort): randomized controlled double blind non-inferiority trial versus paroxitine. BMJ 2005; 330: 755-9
22. Gastpar M, Singer A, Zeller K. Comparative efficacy and safety of a once-daily dosage of hypericum extract STW3-VI and citalopram in patients with moderate de-

pression: a double-blind, randomised, multicentre, pla- cebo-controlled study. Pharmacopsychiatry 2006; 39:

66-75.

1. Trautmann-Sponsel RD. St Johns wort extract in the treatment of depression – an effective and well toler- ated antidepressant. The Europian Phytojournal 1996;1: 44-9.
2. NMCA facts; St. John’s Wort and Depression. [Online] 2007 [Cited on 2008, Sep 20]. Available from URL: <http://nccam.nih.gov/health/stjohnswort/>sjwataglance.htm.
3. Kasper S, Anghelescu IG, Szegedi A, Dienel A, Kieser

M. Superior efficacy of St John’s wort extract WS® 5570 compared toplacebo in patients with major de- pression: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-con- trolled, multi-center trial [ISRCTN77277298]. BMC Med 2006; 23: 4-14.