MACHINE PERCEPTION ASSIGNMENT 1 REVIEW COMMENTS

Review of Team 1: MT2016096-MT2016138

Review by Team 2: MT2016155-MT2016152-MT2016140

Positives: -

file format of report is nicely done with proper explanation.
We thought that enough of theory is provided with each problem and code is properly commented.

Things that can be improved:

>For Problem 5, have a coherent set of outputs for proper comparison. Add the output for cv2.imshow() for both the operations.

>For Problem 8, explain the output of Prewitt and Sobel and not just explanation for the gray output also use the image with fewer and thick edges.

>For Problem 10, explain what you observe rather than just the procedure of sharpening images.

>For Problem 11, give comparison between both the approaches. Try to increase the accuracy of the lane detection code you have written.