

# 1 Overview

The overall idea of the procedure is to identify operators that are suitable for line-breaking and categorise them with respect to the quality of a line-break at that position. We thereby compute a penalty for each eligible operator, that takes into account

- (i) the nesting depth of the operator,
- (ii) the parent structure it is contained in (e.g., a line-break in a compound expression like an integral or a sum should be less likely than one outside), and
- (iii) how evenly a line-break at that position would split an expression.

The procedure consists effectively of 4 major steps, some of them are broken down into several algorithms:

1. **Canonicalisation** performs a general rewrite of a MathML, so it can be handled expressions as uniformly as possible, while identifying operators for line-breaking.
  - (a) **Cleanup** rewrites “undesirable” tags, removes superfluous elements and combines elements as much as possible without disturbing potential semantic meaning of groups.
  - (b) **Chunking** Introduces additional layers by identifying and combining sub-expressions that have some semantic connection (e.g., identifying sums or integrals).
  - (c) **Operator Selection** finds and marks the operators that are eligible for line-breaking.
2. **Horizontal Complexity** recursively calculates an abstract complexity measure for the assumed horizontal space an expressions takes in the rendered layout.
3. **Break Quality** calculates for each target operated a quality value for line-breaking taking it contextual position into account.
  - (a) **Real Depth** calculates the depth of eligible operators in the tree modulo types of expressions we want to exclude.
  - (b) **Parental Complexity Factor** relates the size of a target expression against size of its context.
  - (c) **Gap Complexity** represents the horizontal space between target operators on the same depth level.
4. **Clustering** discretises all target operators into  $k$  clusters of distinct line-breaking quality (e.g., a 3-clustering could result in goodbreak, badbreak, nobreak).

## Definitions

We define some sets of that we will use in the remainder.

<i>TOKEN</i>	=	{<mi>, <mn>, <mo>, <mtext>, <mspace>, <ms>}
<i>LAYOUT</i>	=	{<mfrac>, <msqrt>, <mroot>, <mfenced>, <menclose>}
<i>TABLES</i>	=	{<mtable>, <mlabeledtr>, <mtr>, <mt>, <maligngroup>, <malignmark>}
<i>SCRIPT</i>	=	{<msub>, <msup>, <msubsup>, <munder>, <mover>, <munderover>, <mmultiscripts>}
<i>ELEMENTARY</i>	=	{<mstack>, <mlongdiv>, <msgroup>, <msrow>, <mcarries>, <mcarry>, <msline>}
<i>SPACE</i>	=	{<msrow>, <mrow>, <mspace>, <mstyle>, <mphantom>, <mpadded>, <none>}
<i>INFERRED</i>	=	{<msqrt>, <mstyle>, <mphantom>, <mpadded>, <merror>, <menclose>, <mt>, <math>, <mcarry>}

## 2 Canonicalisation

The initial algorithm of the canonicalisation performs a general cleanup of our MathML element.

---

**Algorithm 1** Cleanup on MathML expression  $E$ .

---

```

1: procedure CLEANUP( $E$ )
2:   case <mfenced>:
3:      $F \leftarrow \langle \text{mfenced} \rangle C_0, \dots, C_m \langle / \text{mfenced} \rangle$ 
4:     if separators  $\notin F$  then ▷ Case a
5:        $O_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow O_m \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle, \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
6:     else if separators = "s"  $\in F$  then ▷ Case b
7:        $O_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow O_m \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle s \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
8:     else if separators = " $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ "  $\in F$  then ▷ Case c
9:        $O_1 \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle s_1 \langle / \text{mo} \rangle, \dots, O_{n-1} \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle s_{n-1} \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
10:       $O_n \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow O_m \langle \text{mo} \rangle s_n \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
11:    if open = "l"  $\in F$  then ▷ Case d
12:       $L \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle l \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
13:    else ▷ Case e
14:       $L \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle ( \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
15:      Add meaning="open" to  $L$ 
16:    if close = "r"  $\in F$  then ▷ Case f
17:       $R \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle r \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
18:    else ▷ Case g
19:       $R \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle ) \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
20:      Insert  $R$  as last child
21:      Add meaning="close" to  $R$ 
22:       $M \leftarrow \langle \text{mrow} \rangle L C_o O_1 C_1 \dots O_n C_n R \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
23:      Add meaning="fenced" to  $M$ 
24:      Replace  $F$  by  $M$ 
25:    end case
26:  case <mfrac>:
27:    if linethickness = "0" then
28:       $F \leftarrow \langle \text{mfrac} \rangle C_1 C_2 \langle / \text{mfrac} \rangle$ 
29:       $T \leftarrow \langle \text{mtable} \rangle \langle \text{mtr} \rangle \langle \text{mtd} \rangle C_1 \langle / \text{mtd} \rangle \langle / \text{mtr} \rangle \langle \text{mtr} \rangle \langle \text{mtd} \rangle C_2 \langle / \text{mtd} \rangle \langle / \text{mtr} \rangle \langle / \text{mtable} \rangle$ 
30:      Replace  $F$  by  $T$ 
31:    end case
32:  case <mrow>1: ▷ Merges unnecessary <mrow>s on the same level
33:     $R \leftarrow \langle \text{mrow} \rangle C_1 \dots C_n \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
34:     $R' \leftarrow \langle \text{mrow} \rangle D_1 \dots D_m \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
35:    if <p>...  $RR'$  ...</p>  $\wedge p \in \text{INFERRRED} \wedge \text{meaning} \notin R \wedge \text{meaning} \notin R'$  then
▷ I.e., mrows are direct neighbours and not strictly necessary
36:      Replace  $RR'$  by  $\langle \text{mrow} \rangle C_1 \dots C_n D_1 \dots D_m \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
37:    end case
38:  case <mrow>2: ▷ Removes nested, singleton <mrow>s
39:     $R \leftarrow \langle \text{mrow} \rangle C_1 \dots C_n \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
40:     $P \leftarrow \langle \text{t} \rangle R \langle / \text{t} \rangle$ 
41:    if  $t \in \text{INFERRRED}$  then
42:      Replace  $R$  by  $C_1 \dots C_n$ 
43:    if meaning  $\in R$  then
44:      Add meaning to  $P$ 
45:  end case

```

```

46:  case <mspace>:
47:    if  $F \leftarrow \langle t \rangle u_1, \dots, u_n \langle /t \rangle, t \in \mathcal{TOKEN} \setminus \{\langle ms \rangle, \langle mspace \rangle\} \wedge u_1 \dots u_n \in \mathcal{SPACE}$  then
48:      Replace  $F$  by  $\langle mspace \text{ width}="U" \rangle$ , where  $U = \sum_{j=1}^n u'_j$  ▷ Case a
49:    else
50:      if  $F \leftarrow \langle t \rangle u_1, \dots, u_n \langle /t \rangle, t \in \mathcal{TOKEN} \setminus \{\langle ms \rangle, \langle mspace \rangle\} \wedge u_1 \dots u_i \in \mathcal{SPACE}, i < n$  then
51:         $S \leftarrow \langle mspace \text{ width}="U" \rangle$ , where  $U = \sum_{j=1}^i u'_j$ 
52:         $R \leftarrow \langle t \rangle u_{i+1} \dots u_n \langle /t \rangle$ 
53:        if  $\langle p \rangle \dots F \dots \langle /p \rangle \wedge p \in \mathcal{INFERRED}$  then ▷ Case b
54:          Replace  $F$  by  $S R$ 
55:        else
56:          Replace  $F$  by  $\langle mrow \rangle S R \langle /mrow \rangle$  ▷ Case c
57:      if  $F \leftarrow \langle t \rangle u_1, \dots, u_n \langle /t \rangle, t \in \mathcal{TOKEN} \setminus \{\langle ms \rangle, \langle mspace \rangle\} \wedge u_i \dots u_n \in \mathcal{SPACE}, i > 1$  then
58:         $S \leftarrow \langle mspace \text{ width}="U" \rangle$ , where  $U = \sum_{j=i}^n u'_j$ 
59:         $R \leftarrow \langle t \rangle u_1 \dots u_{i-1} \langle /t \rangle$ 
60:        if  $\langle p \rangle \dots F \dots \langle /p \rangle \wedge p \in \mathcal{INFERRED}$  then ▷ Case d
61:          Replace  $F$  by  $R S$ 
62:        else
63:          Replace  $F$  by  $\langle mrow \rangle R S \langle /mrow \rangle$  ▷ Case e
64:    end case

```

---

Note, that in the  $\langle mrow \rangle$  cases we only allow to merge or remove  $\langle mrow \rangle$  elements that do not contain any additional attributes. This ensures that any information on stretchy characters, etc. is retained at the correct position.

Note also, that for the final case of the algorithm above we introduced a set  $\mathcal{SPACE}$  that we define as the set of all unicode horizontal non-breaking whitespace characters.

$$\mathcal{SPACE} = \{U+00A0, U+2000, U+2001, U+2002, U+2003, U+2004, U+2005, U+2006, \\ U+2007, U+2008, U+2009, U+200A, U+202F, U+205F, U+3000, U+200B, \\ U+200C, U+200D, U+2060, U+FEFF\}$$

Note that in the  $\langle mspace \rangle$  case above, we strip whitespaces from the left and right of potential content. Note also, that the  $u'_i$  correspond to the MathML attribute equivalent to the actual Unicode whitespace.

The Chunking algorithm imposes a more refined hierarchical structure on the MathML expression, by recognising semantically interesting components, such as big operator applications, integrals, etc.; introducing additional mrows, as well as invisible operators and function applications.

We define some additional sets of tags and unicode characters.

$$\mathcal{MULTS} = \{\langle mn \rangle, \langle mi \rangle\} \cup \mathcal{LAYOUT} \cup \mathcal{SCRIPT}$$

Let  $\mathcal{BIGOPS}$  be the set of all Unicode n-ary operators:

$$\mathcal{BIGOPS} = \{U+2140, U+220F, U+2210, U+2211, U+22C0, U+22C1, U+22C2, U+22C3, U+2A00, \\ U+2A01, U+2A02, U+2A03, U+2A04, U+2A05, U+2A06, U+2A07, U+2A08, U+2A09, \\ U+2A0A, U+2A0B, U+2AFC, U+2AFF\}$$

Let  $\mathcal{INTEGRALS}$  be the set of all Unicode integral symbols:

$$\mathcal{INTEGRALS} = \{U+222B, U+222C, U+222D, U+222E, U+222F, U+2230, U+2231, U+2232, U+2233, \\ U+2A0C, U+2A0D, U+2A0E, U+2A0F, U+2A10, U+2A11, U+2A12, U+2A13, U+2A14, \\ U+2A15, U+2A16, U+2A17, U+2A18, U+2A19, U+2A1A, U+2A1B, U+2A1C\}$$

---

**Algorithm 2** Chunking of MathML expression  $E$ 


---

```

1: procedure CHUNKING( $E$ )
2:   step 1: ▷ Embellished Operators
3:      $\mathcal{M} \leftarrow \{m \in E \mid m = \langle \text{mo} \rangle t \langle / \text{mo} \rangle\}$ 
4:     for  $M = \langle \text{mo} \rangle t \langle / \text{mo} \rangle \in \mathcal{M}$  do
5:       while  $P = \langle p \rangle M c_1 \dots \langle / p \rangle \wedge p \in \text{SCRIPT}$  do ▷ M is first child of a script node.
6:         Add embellished="t" to  $P$ 
7:          $M \leftarrow P$ 
8:       Add meaning="operator" to  $M$ 
9:   end step
10:  step 2: ▷ Fence Matching
11:    Run balanced fence algorithm on a single (inferred) row to obtain  $\mathcal{P}$  ▷ See [1] for more details.
12:    Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{(\langle t \text{ meaning}="operator">f \langle / t \rangle, \langle t' \text{ meaning}="operator">f' \langle / t' \rangle) \mid f, f' \text{ matching fences}\}$ 
13:    for Every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  do
14:      Replace  $\langle t \text{ meaning}="operator">f \langle / t \rangle S_1 \dots S_n \langle t' \text{ meaning}="operator">f' \langle / t' \rangle$  by
15:       $\langle \text{mrow meaning}="fenced">\langle t \text{ meaning}="open">f \langle / t \rangle S_1 \dots S_n \langle t' \text{ meaning}="close">f' \langle / t' \rangle \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
16:    end step
17:  step 3: ▷ Chunking
18:     $\mathcal{T} = \{\}$ 
19:    Perform Depth-First Traversal of expression  $E$ 
20:     $M \leftarrow \langle p \rangle C_1 \dots C_n \langle / p \rangle$ 
21:    if  $p \notin \text{INFERRERD}$  then
22:      recurse  $C_1 \dots C_n$ 
23:    for  $i \leftarrow n$  to 1 do
24:      ▷ Big Operators
25:      case  $(C_i = \langle \text{mo meaning}="operator">t \langle / \text{mo} \rangle \vee \text{embellished}="t" \in C_i) \wedge t \in \text{BIGOPS}$ :
26:        Find  $C_k$  following sibling of  $C_i$  s.t.  $\text{meaning}="operator" \in C_k$ 
27:        Otherwise  $C_k = C_n$ 
28:        Replace  $C_i \dots C_k$  with  $\langle \text{mrow meaning}="bigop">C_i \dots C_k \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
29:        Set  $\text{meaning}="bigop"$  in  $C_i$ 
30:      ▷ Integrals
31:      case  $(C_i = \langle \text{mo meaning}="operator">t \langle / \text{mo} \rangle \vee \text{embellished}="t" \in C_i) \wedge t \in \text{INTEGRALS}$ :
32:        Find  $C_k$  following sibling of  $C_i$  s.t.  $C_k = \langle \text{mi} \rangle du \langle / \text{mi} \rangle$  for any  $u$ .
33:        Otherwise find  $C_k$  following sibling of  $C_i$  s.t.  $\text{meaning}="operator" \in C_k$ 
34:        Otherwise  $C_k = C_n$ 
35:        Replace  $C_i \dots C_k$  with  $\langle \text{mrow meaning}="integral">C_i \dots C_k \langle / \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
36:        Set  $\text{meaning}="integral"$  in  $C_i$ 
37:      ▷ Postfix Operators
38:      case  $(i = n \wedge \text{meaning}="operator" \in C_i) \vee \text{meaning}="postfix" \in C_{i+1}$ :
39:        Set  $\text{meaning}="postfix"$  in  $C_i$ 
40:      ▷ Prefix Operators
41:      case  $\text{meaning}="operator" \in C_i \wedge (\text{meaning}="operator" \in C_{i-1} \vee i = 1$ :
42:        Set  $\text{meaning}="prefix"$  in  $C_i$ 
43:      ▷ Invisible Times
44:      case  $(C_i \in \text{MULTS} \vee \text{meaning}="fenced" \in C_i) \wedge$ 
45:       $(C_{i+1} \in \text{MULTS} \vee \text{meaning}="fenced" \in C_{i+1})$  :
46:         $N \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle \text{U+2062} \langle / \text{mo} \rangle$ 
47:         $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{N\}$ 

```

```

46:         Replace  $C_i C_{i+1}$  with  $C_i N C_{i+1}$ 
47:     end case
48:         case  $C_i = \langle \text{mi} \rangle u_1 \dots u_n \langle \text{mi} \rangle \wedge n \geq 2 \wedge \text{meaning} = \text{"fenced"} \in C_{i+1}$ :
49:              $N \leftarrow \langle \text{mo} \rangle \text{U+2061} \langle \text{mo} \rangle$ 
50:              $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{N\}$ 
51:             Replace  $C_i C_{i+1}$  with  $\langle \text{mrow} \rangle C_i N C_{i+1} \langle \text{mrow} \rangle$ 
52:         end case
53:     End Depth-First Traversal
54:     for  $M \in T$  do
55:         Add  $\text{meaning} = \text{"operator"}$  to  $M$ 
56:     end step

```

---

[1] Here suggest using Balanced Parenthesis algorithm using a stack as main datastructure. Example implementation can be found at:

- <http://www.ardendertat.com/2011/11/08/programming-interview-questions-14-check-balanced-parentheses>
- <https://dzone.com/articles/balanced-parenthesis-check>
- <http://clarkfeusier.com/2015/01/16/interview-question-balanced-parentheses-stack.html>

In our case we are not interested in a boolean decision, but to compute the actual pairs of balanced fences, hence they need to be recorded. In addition we have three more alterations:

1. We extend the standard algorithm to deal with pairs neutral fences, i.e.,  $|, ||, \dots$
2. We ignore any fence that do not match an opening fence. In particular we ignore neutral fences, if a closing and matching closing fence can be found. E.g., the neutral fence in  $\{\dots|\dots\}$  should be ignored!
3. An exception has to be made for opening fences before tables, as these generally denote case statements.

Note: This algorithm could be further improved by using a linear programming approach to find the maximum number of matching fences. Note also, that this

Markup of eligible breaking operators. We first define a set of “stop tags”, that is, operators that are children of these tags are never considered to be eligible for linebreaking.

---

**Algorithm 3** Operator Selection on expression  $E$ ; returns set of target operators  $T$ .

---

```

1: procedure OPERATOR SELECTION( $E$ )
2:    $\mathcal{S} \leftarrow \text{SCRIPT} \cup \text{LAYOUT} \cup \{\langle \text{mphantom} \rangle, \langle \text{mtable} \rangle\}$ 
3:    $\mathcal{T} = \{\}$ 
4:   if  $\text{meaning} = \text{"operator"} \in E$  then
5:      $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \cup \{E\}$ 
6:   else if  $E = \langle \text{p} \rangle C_1 \dots C_n \langle \text{p} \rangle \wedge p \notin \mathcal{S}$  then
7:     OPERATOR SELECTION( $C_1$ ), ..., OPERATOR SELECTION( $C_n$ )
8:   return  $T$ 

```

---

### 3 Calculating linebreak values

Calculating values for `linebreak` attributes consists of three procedures:

1. **Horizontal Complexity** recursively calculates an abstract complexity measure for the assumed horizontal space an expressions takes in the rendered layout.

2. **Gap Complexity** calculates the horizontal complexity between target operators on the same depth level.
3. **Break Quality** calculates for each target operator a quality value for line-breaking taking its contextual position into account.
  - (a) **Real Depth** calculates the depth of eligible operators in the tree modulo types of expressions we want to exclude.
  - (b) **Parental Complexity Factor** relates the size of a target expression against size of its context.
  - (c) **Gap Complexity Factor** relates the size of the gap between target operators against the size of its context.
4. **Clustering** discretises all target operators into  $k$  clusters of distinct line-breaking quality (e.g., a 3-clustering could result in goodbreak, badbreak, nobreak).

### 3.1 Complexity measure

The complexity measure provides a heuristic estimate the width (in **em**) of subexpressions.

**Note.** To improve efficiency, this value can be calculated while calculating the Gap Complexity Measure (cf. the next subsection).

#### 3.1.1 Helpers

---

**Algorithm 4** Auxiliary procedure for transforming alpha to numeric sizes

---

```

1: procedure MATHSIZEFACTOR( $E$ )
2:    $f \leftarrow 1$ 
3:    $mathsize \leftarrow E.getAttribute('mathsize')$ 
4:   if  $mathsize = 'small'$  then  $f \leftarrow 0.71$ 
5:   else if  $mathsize = 'big'$  then  $f \leftarrow 2$ 
6:   else if  $mathsize = length$  then  $f \leftarrow length$  ▷ We assume such lengths are given in em
7:   return  $f$ 

```

---

#### 3.1.2 Main Algorithm

---

**Algorithm 5** Complexity measure

---

```

1: procedure COMPLEXITY
2:   case  $text = u_1 \dots u_n$ : ▷  $u_i$  are either Unicode Points or Unicode graphemes
3:      $sum \leftarrow 0$ 
4:     for  $i \leftarrow 1, n$  do
5:       if  $u_i$  is invisible then ▷ E.g., U+2061, U+2062, U+2063, U+2064
6:          $sum \leftarrow sum + 0.1$  ▷ Note: this avoids division by zero
7:       if  $u_i$  is half-point then
8:          $sum \leftarrow sum + 0.5$ 
9:       if  $u_i$  is full-point then
10:         $sum \leftarrow sum + 1$ 
11:     return  $sum$ 
12:   end case
13:   case  $\langle \text{mglyph} \rangle$ :
14:     if  $width = "S"$  then
15:       return  $S$  ▷ We assume such widths are given in em
16:     else if  $src = "S"$  then

```

---

```

17:         return width of  $S$                                 ▷ Requires external call to get image dimensions
18:     end case
19:     case <mSPACE>, <mpadded>:
20:         if width="S" then
21:             return  $S$                                         ▷ We assume such widths are given in em
22:         else
23:             return 0
24:     end case
25:     case <mstyle>:
26:          $R \leftarrow \langle \text{mstyle} \rangle u_1 \dots u_n \langle \text{mstyle} \rangle$ 
27:          $level \leftarrow 1$ 
28:         if  $R.getAttribute('scriptlevel')$  then
29:              $level \leftarrow R.getAttribute('scriptlevel')$ 
30:          $multiplier \leftarrow 1$ 
31:         if  $R.getAttribute('scriptsize multiplier')$  then
32:              $multiplier \leftarrow R.getAttribute('scriptsize multiplier')$ 
33:          $f \leftarrow R.getAttribute('factor') \times multiplier^{level} \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R)$ 
34:          $sum \leftarrow 1$                                         ▷  $1 = 0.5 \times 2$  as estimate for horizontal margins
35:         for  $i \leftarrow 1, n$  do
36:              $u_i.setAttribute('factor', f)$ 
37:              $sum \leftarrow sum + \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_i)$ 
38:         return  $sum$ 
39:     end case
40:     case  $\mathcal{TOKEN}$ :
41:          $R \leftarrow \langle \text{token} \rangle u_1 \dots u_n \langle \text{token} \rangle$ 
42:          $sum \leftarrow 1$                                         ▷  $1 = 0.5 \times 2$  as estimate for horizontal margins
43:         for  $i \leftarrow 1, n$  do
44:              $u_i.setAttribute('factor', R.getAttribute('factor') \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
45:              $sum \leftarrow sum + \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_i)$ 
46:         return  $sum$ 
47:     end case
48:     case <mSUB>, <mSUP>:
49:          $R \leftarrow \langle \text{tag} \rangle u_1 u_2 \langle \text{tag} \rangle$ 
50:          $sum \leftarrow 1$                                         ▷  $1 = 0.5 \times 2$  as estimate for horizontal margins
51:          $sum \leftarrow sum + \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_1)$ 
52:          $u_2.setAttribute('factor', '0.71 \times R.getAttribute('factor')' \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
53:          $sum \leftarrow sum + 0.71 \times \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_2)$         ▷ Default scriptsize multiplier
54:         return  $sum$ 
55:     end case
56:     case <mSUBSUP>:
57:          $R \leftarrow \langle \text{tag} \rangle u_1 u_2 u_3 \langle \text{tag} \rangle$ 
58:          $sum \leftarrow 1$                                         ▷  $1 = 0.5 \times 2$  as estimate for horizontal margins
59:          $u_1.setAttribute('factor', R.getAttribute('factor') \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
60:          $sum \leftarrow sum + \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_1)$ 
61:          $u_2.setAttribute('factor', '0.71 \times R.getAttribute('factor')' \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
62:          $u_3.setAttribute('factor', '0.71 \times R.getAttribute('factor')' \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
63:          $sum \leftarrow sum + 0.71 \times \max(\text{COMPLEXITY}(u_2), \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_3))$     ▷ Default scriptsize multiplier
0.71
64:         return  $sum$ 
65:     end case
66:     case <mmultiscripts>, <mprescripts>:
67:          $R \leftarrow \langle \text{tag} \rangle u_1 u_2 \dots u_n \langle \text{tag} \rangle$         ▷ Note: we assume "full" set of scripts
68:          $sum \leftarrow 1$                                         ▷  $1 = 0.5 \times 2$  as estimate for horizontal margins

```

```

69:     u1.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' × MathSizeFactor(R))
70:     sum ← sum + COMPLEXITY(u1)
71:     for i ← 2, 4, ..., n do
72:         ui.setAttribute('factor', '0.71 × R.getAttribute('factor')' × MathSizeFactor(R))
73:         ui+1.setAttribute('factor', '0.71 × R.getAttribute('factor')' × MathSizeFactor(R))
74:         sum ← sum + 0.71 × max(COMPLEXITY(ui), COMPLEXITY(ui+1))      ▷ Default
scriptsizemultiplier 0.71
75:     return sum
76: end case
77: case <msqrt>, <menclose>:
78:     R ← <tag>u1...un</tag>
79:     sum ← 1                      ▷ 1 = 0.5 × 2 as estimate for horizontal margins
80:     sum ← sum + 1                  ▷ Estimate for surd
81:     for i ← 1, n do
82:         ui.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' × MathSizeFactor(R))
83:         sum ← sum + COMPLEXITY(ui)
84:     return sum
85: end case
86: case <mroot>:
87:     R ← <tag>u1u2</tag>
88:     sum ← 1                      ▷ 1 = 0.5 × 2 as estimate for horizontal margins
89:     sum ← sum + 1                  ▷ Estimate for surd
90:     sum ← sum + COMPLEXITY(u1)    ▷ Note: assumes explicit <mrow>
91:     sum ← sum + 0.5041 × COMPLEXITY(u1) ▷ Note: default scriptsizemultiplier at scriptlevel 2 as
per spec. Also, no factor attribute is necessary.
92:     return sum
93: end case
94: case <mtable>, <mstack>:
95:     R ← <tag>u1...un</tag>      ▷ Note: we assume explicit table rows
96:     for i ← 1, n do
97:         ui.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' × MathSizeFactor(R))
98:         sum ← sum + COMPLEXITY(ui)
99:     return 1 + max(COMPLEXITY(u1), ..., COMPLEXITY(un))
100: end case
101: case <mfrac>:
102:     R ← <tag>u1...un</tag>
103:     for i ← 1, n do
104:         ui.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' × 0.71 × MathSizeFactor(R))    ▷ Default
scriptsizemultiplier
105:         sum ← sum + COMPLEXITY(ui)
106:     return 1 + max(COMPLEXITY(u1), ..., COMPLEXITY(un))
107: end case
108: case <munder>, <mover>, <munderover>:
109:     R ← <tag>u1...un</tag>
110:     u1.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' × MathSizeFactor(R))
111:     for i ← 2, n do
112:         sum ← sum + COMPLEXITY(ui)
113:         ui.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' × 0.71 × MathSizeFactor(R))    ▷ Default
scriptsizemultiplier
114:     return 1 + max(COMPLEXITY(u1), ..., COMPLEXITY(un))
115: end case
116: case <math>, <mrow>, <mphantom>, <merror>, <msrow>, <mtr>, <mtr>, <mtd>:
117:     R ← <tag>u1...un</tag>

```



---

```

118:      $sum \leftarrow 0$ 
119:     for  $i \leftarrow 1, n$  do
120:          $u_i.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
121:          $sum \leftarrow sum + \text{COMPLEXITY}(u_i)$ 
122:     return  $sum$ 
123: end case
124: case  $\langle \text{maction} \rangle$ :
125:      $R \leftarrow \langle \text{maction} \rangle u_1 \dots u_n \langle / \text{maction} \rangle$ 
126:     if  $\text{actiontype} = \text{"toggle"}, \text{selection} = \text{"S"}$  then
127:         return  $\text{COMPLEXITY}(u_S)$ 
128:          $u_S.setAttribute('factor', 'R.getAttribute('factor')' \times \text{MathSizeFactor}(R))$ 
129:     else
130:         return  $\text{COMPLEXITY}(u_1)$ 
131: end case
132: case  $\langle \text{annotation} \rangle, \langle \text{annotation-xml} \rangle$ :
133:     return  $\text{COMPLEXITY}(\text{textContent})$ 
134: end case

```

---

### 3.2 Gap Complexity

The Gap Complexity is calculated while traversing the MathML tree depth-first. It records the Horizontal Complexity (cf. the previous subsection) of the subexpression between target operators.

---

#### Algorithm 6 Gap Complexity

---

```

1: procedure GAP COMPLEXITY
2:    $K \leftarrow \text{max}(\text{FULL DEPTH})$  ▷ Use full depth as clustering
3:    $H_i \leftarrow 0 (i = 1, \dots, K)$  ▷ Hash table
4:    $tag_0 \leftarrow \langle \text{math} \rangle$ 
5:    $tag_j \leftarrow \text{ELIGIBLE}(j) (j = 1, \dots, n)$ 
6:   case  $j = 0$ :
7:     return 0
8:   end case
9:   case  $j + 1$ :
10:     $oldDepth \leftarrow \text{FULL DEPTH}(\langle tag_j \rangle)$ 
11:     $newDepth \leftarrow \text{FULL DEPTH}(\langle tag_{j+1} \rangle)$ 
12:     $dgc \leftarrow 0$  ▷ dgc = direct-gap-complexity
13:     $marker \leftarrow \langle tag_j \rangle$  ▷ The marker will travel across the tree from  $tag_j$  to  $tag_{j+1}$ 
14:    while  $marker \neq \langle tag_{j+1} \rangle$  do
15:       $marker \leftarrow (marker.NextSibling()) \parallel marker.parentNode().nextSibling()$ 
16:      if  $\text{ancestor}(marker, tag_{j+1})$  then
17:         $marker \leftarrow firstChild(marker)$ 
18:      else
19:         $dgc \leftarrow dgc + \text{COMPLEXITY}(marker) \times marker.getAttribute('factor')$ 
20:      if  $oldDepth \leq newDepth$  then
21:         $H_{newDepth} \leftarrow dgc$ 
22:        return  $dgc$ 
23:      else
24:         $result \leftarrow H_{oldDepth} + \dots + H_{newDepth}$ 
25:         $H_{oldDepth} \dots H_{newDepth} \leftarrow 0$ 
26:        return  $result$ 
27:    end case

```

---

### 3.3 Nesting structure

A target is preferred the less nested it is. However, we do not want to overly restrict line-breaking inside fenced expressions, while, on the other hand, we want to break up semantic units like integrals as little as possible. We therefore define skippable `<mrow>`s corresponding to fenced expressions but are not part of a big operator or function application. (Note that here `mrow` also includes inferred `mrow` elements).

We then calculate a *real depth* for each target, i.e., basically

$$\text{real depth} = \# \text{ mrow ancestors with meaning} - \# \text{ skippable mrow ancestors}$$

---

#### Algorithm 7 Full Depth computation

---

```

1: procedure FULL DEPTH( $T$ )
2:    $marker \leftarrow T$ 
3:    $realDepth \leftarrow 0$ 
4:   while  $marker.parentNode()$  do
5:     if  $marker.tagName \in \text{INFERRERD} \wedge \text{meaning} \in marker$  then
6:        $realDepth \leftarrow 1 + realDepth$ 
7:      $marker \leftarrow marker.parentNode()$ 
8:   return  $realDepth$ 

```

---



---

#### Algorithm 8 Real Depth computation

---

```

1: procedure REAL DEPTH( $T$ )
2:    $marker \leftarrow T$ 
3:    $realDepth \leftarrow 0$ 
4:   while  $marker.parentNode()$  do
5:     if  $marker.tagName \in \text{INFERRERD} \wedge \text{meaning} \in marker \wedge \text{meaning} = \text{"fenced"} \notin marker$  then
6:        $realDepth \leftarrow 1 + realDepth$ 
7:      $marker \leftarrow marker.parentNode()$ 
8:   return  $realDepth$ 

```

---

Converseley, we need to identify the *real parent* (`mrow`) for each target, i.e., the highest (in the tree) skippable `mrow` below the second-lowest non-skippable `mrow` (if that does not exist, the lowest non-skippable `mrow`).

---

#### Algorithm 9 Real Parent computation

---

```

1: procedure REAL PARENT MROW( $T$ )
2:    $marker \leftarrow T$ 
3:    $counter \leftarrow 1$ 
4:    $realParent \leftarrow T$ 
5:   while  $marker.parentNode()$  do
6:      $marker \leftarrow marker.parentNode()$ 
7:     if  $marker.tagName \notin \text{INFERRERD} \vee \text{meaning} \notin marker \vee \text{meaning} = \text{"fenced"} \in marker$  then
8:        $realParent \leftarrow marker$ 
9:     if  $marker.tagName \in \text{INFERRERD} \wedge \text{meaning} \in marker \wedge \text{meaning} = \text{"fenced"} \notin marker$  then
10:      if  $counter = 1$  then
11:         $counter \leftarrow 0$ 
12:         $realParent \leftarrow marker$ 
13:      else BREAK
14:   return  $realParent$ 

```

---

### 3.4 Parent complexity

If a target is within a very long `<mrow>`, it should be a better break-point.

$$parentalComplexityFactor = \text{COMPLEXITY}(\text{math}) / \text{COMPLEXITY}(\text{RealParent}(\text{target}))$$

This solves many conundrums from the samples along the lines of “but still break in this particular nested structure”

### 3.5 Combine the factors to a final penalty

The idea when combining the different complexity is as follows: A large real-depth makes a break point worse. On the other hand a large parent complexity and large gap to previous point makes it a better breakpoint.

We then define the final penalty  $p$  for a target operator  $t$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= \text{realDepth}(t) \times \text{parentComplexityFactor}(t) \times \text{GAPCOMPLEXITYFACTOR} \\ &= \text{realDepth}(t) \times \frac{\text{COMPLEXITY}(\text{math})}{\text{COMPLEXITY}(\text{RealParent}(t))} \times \frac{\text{COMPLEXITY}(\text{math})}{\text{GAPCOMPLEXITY}(t)} \end{aligned}$$

Examples:

- (a) top-level target  $t$ :  $\text{realDepth}(t) = 1$ ,  $\text{parentComplexityFactor}(t) = 1$ , thus  $p(t) = 1$
- (b) if there's a target with low depth but small parent it gets a similar penalty to a target with a higher depth but a large parent

### 3.6 Map to final scale

MathML allows three values ‘goodbreak’, ‘badbreak’ and ‘nobreak’ thus we need to map our penalty to a scale of 3 (or more, as desired).

A standard  $k$ -means clustering algorithms will suffice.

## A Examples for Algorithm 1

**Example 1.** Examples for **Case** `<mfenced>` from algorithm 1. We consider a permutation of the main cases.

Original	Case fire	Rewritten
<pre> &lt;mfenced open="[" close="]"&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt; &lt;/mfenced&gt; </pre>	a, d, f	<pre> &lt;mrow meaning="fenced"&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="open"&gt;[&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;,&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;,&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;,&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="close"&gt;]&lt;/mo&gt; &lt;/mrow&gt; </pre>
<pre> &lt;mfenced open="[" close="]" separators="+"&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt; &lt;/mfenced&gt; </pre>	b, d, f	<pre> &lt;mrow meaning="fenced"&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="open"&gt;[&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="close"&gt;]&lt;/mo&gt; &lt;/mrow&gt; </pre>
<pre> &lt;mfenced open="[" close="]" separators="--"&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt; &lt;/mfenced&gt; </pre>	c, d, f	<pre> &lt;mrow meaning="fenced"&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="open"&gt;[&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="close"&gt;]&lt;/mo&gt; &lt;/mrow&gt; </pre>
<pre> &lt;mfenced open="[" close="]" separators=" "&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt; &lt;/mfenced&gt; </pre>	d, f	<pre> &lt;mrow meaning="fenced"&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="open"&gt;[&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;c&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;d&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="close"&gt;]&lt;/mo&gt; &lt;/mrow&gt; </pre>

Note, that here none of the cases in the initial **if-then-else** fire.

```
<mfenced>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mi>d</mi>
</mfenced>
```

```
<mfenced separators="+">
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mi>d</mi>
</mfenced>
```

```
<mfenced separators="-+">
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mi>d</mi>
</mfenced>
```

```
<mfenced separators="">
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mi>d</mi>
</mfenced>
```

a, e, g

```
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mo>,</mo>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mo>,</mo>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mo>,</mo>
  <mi>d</mi>
  <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
</mrow>
```

b, e, g

```
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>d</mi>
  <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
</mrow>
```

c, e, g

```
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mo></mo>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>d</mi>
  <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
</mrow>
```

e, g

```
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mi>d</mi>
  <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
</mrow>
```

**Example 2.** Example for Case `<mfrac>` from algorithm 1:

```
<math>
  <mo>(</mo>
  <mfrac linethickness="0pt">
    <mi>n</mi>
    <mi>k</mi>
  </mfrac>
  <mo>)</mo>
</math>
```

```
<math>
  <mo>(</mo>
  <mtable>
    <mtr>
      <mttd>
        <mi>n</mi>
      </mttd>
    </mtr>
    <mtr>
      <mttd>
        <mi>k</mi>
      </mttd>
    </mtr>
  </mtable>
  <mo>)</mo>
</math>
```

**Example 3.** Example for the `<mrow>`cases from algorithm 1:

```
<math>
  <mrow>
    <mi>a</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>b</mi>
  </mrow>
  <mrow>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>c</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>d</mi>
  </mrow>
</math>
```

```
<math>
  <mrow>
    <mi>a</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>b</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>c</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>d</mi>
  </mrow>
</math>
```

```
<math>
  <mrow>
    <mi>a</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>b</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>c</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mi>d</mi>
  </mrow>
</math>
```

```
<math>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>b</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>c</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <mi>d</mi>
</math>
```

**Example 4.** Examples for the `<mspace>`case from algorithm 1:

`<mo>&#x2003;</mo>`

Case a

`<mspace width="1em"/>`

`<mo>&#x2003;&#x2005;</mo>`

Case a

`<mspace width="1.25em"/>`

```

<mrow>
...
<mi>&#x2003;abc&#x2005;</mi>
...
</mrow>

<mrow>
....
<mspace width="1em"/>
<mi>abc&#x2005;</mi>
...
</mrow>

<msup>
...
<mi>&#x2003;abc</mi>
</msup>

<msup>
...
<mi>abc&#x2005;</mi>
</msup>

<mrow>
...
<mi>&#x2003;ab&#x2004;cd&#x2005;</mi>
...
</mrow>

```

Case b

```

<mrow>
....
<mspace width="1em"/>
<mi>abc&#x2005;</mi>
...
</mrow>

```

Case d

```

<mrow>
....
<mspace width="1em"/>
<mi>abc</mi>
<mspace width=".25em"/>
...
</mrow>

```

Case c

```

<msup>
....
<mrow>
<mspace width="1em"/>
<mi>abc</mi>
</mrow>
</msup>

```

Case e

```

<msup>
....
<mrow>
<mi>abc</mi>
<mspace width=".25em"/>
</mrow>
</msup>

```

Case b + d

```

<mrow>
...
<mspace width="1em"/>
<mi>ab&#x2004;cd</mi>
<mspace width=".25em"/>
...
</mrow>

```

## B Examples for Algorithm 2

Example 5.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i + \sum_{i=n+1}^m i = \sum_{i=1}^m i$$

```
<math>
  <munderover>
    <mo>&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo>=</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>n</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>i</mi>
  <mo>+</mo>
  <munderover>
    <mo>&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo>=</mo>
      <mi>n</mi>
      <mo>+</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>m</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>i</mi>
  <mo>=</mo>
  <munderover>
    <mo>&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo>=</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>m</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>i</mi>
</math>
```

```
<math>
  <munderover meaning="bigop" embellished="&#x2211;">
    <mo meaning="bigop">&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>n</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>i</mi>
  <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
  <munderover meaning="bigop" embellished="&#x2211;">
    <mo meaning="bigop">&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
      <mi>n</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>m</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>i</mi>
  <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
  <munderover meaning="bigop" embellished="&#x2211;">
    <mo meaning="bigop">&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>m</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>i</mi>
</math>
```

$$a +_2' b$$

```
<math>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <msubsup>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mn>2</mn>
    <mo>&#x2032;</mo>
  </msubsup>
  <mi>b</mi>
</math>
```

```
<math>
  <mi>a</mi>
  <msubsup meaning="operator" embellished="+">
    <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
    <mn>2</mn>
    <mo>&#x2032;</mo>
  </msubsup>
  <mi>b</mi>
</math>
```

Note, that the embellished operator here remains an operator (as opposed to bigops or integrals), so is still eligible for selection in algorithm 3.



**Example 6.**

$$\{(a, b) | a + b = a - b\}$$

<pre> &lt;math&gt;    &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;{&lt;/mo&gt;    &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;(&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;,&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;)&lt;/mo&gt;    &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt; &lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;=&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;   &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;}&lt;/mo&gt;  &lt;/math&gt; </pre>	<pre> &lt;math&gt;   &lt;mrow meaning="fenced"&gt;     &lt;mo meaning="open"&gt;{&lt;/mo&gt;     &lt;mrow meaning="fenced"&gt;       &lt;mo meaning="open"&gt;(&lt;/mo&gt;       &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;       &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;,&lt;/mo&gt;       &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;       &lt;mo meaning="close"&gt;)&lt;/mo&gt;     &lt;/mrow&gt;     &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt; &lt;/mo&gt;     &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;     &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;+&lt;/mo&gt;     &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;     &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;=&lt;/mo&gt;     &lt;mi&gt;a&lt;/mi&gt;     &lt;mo meaning="operator"&gt;&lt;/mo&gt;     &lt;mi&gt;b&lt;/mi&gt;     &lt;mo meaning="close"&gt;}&lt;/mo&gt;   &lt;/mrow&gt; &lt;/math&gt; </pre>
--	--

**Example 7.**

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{\|\Delta x\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i^*) \Delta x_i$$

This example demonstrates four of the six cases in step 3: Big Operators, Integrals, Invisible Times, and Function Application

```

<math>
  <msubsup meaning="integral" embellished="&#x222B;">
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x222B;</mo>
    <mi>a</mi>
    <mi>b</mi>
  </msubsup>
  <mi>f</mi>

  <mo stretchy="false"></mo>
  <mi>x</mi>
  <mo stretchy="false"></mo>
  <mi>dx</mi>

  <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
  <munder>
    <mo movablelimits="true" form="prefix">lim</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mrow meaning="fenced">
        <mo meaning="open" stretchy="false">&#x2016;</mo>
        <mi mathvariant="normal">&#x0394;</mi>

        <mi>x</mi>
        <mo meaning="close" stretchy="false">&#x2016;</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2192;</mo>
      <mn>0</mn>
    </mrow>
  </munder>

  <munderover meaning="bigop" embellished="&#x2211;">
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2211;</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
      <mn>1</mn>
    </mrow>
    <mi>n</mi>
  </munderover>
  <mi>f</mi>

  <mrow meaning="fenced">
    <mo meaning="open" stretchy="false"></mo>
    <msubsup>
      <mi>x</mi>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2217;</mo>
    </msubsup>
    <mo meaning="close" stretchy="false"></mo>
  </mrow>

  <mi mathvariant="normal">&#x0394;</mi>

  <msub>
    <mi>x</mi>
    <mi>i</mi>
  </msub>
</math>

```

```

<math>
  <mrow meaning="integral">
    <msubsup meaning="integral" embellished="&#x222B;">
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x222B;</mo>
      <mi>a</mi>
      <mi>b</mi>
    </msubsup>
    <mi>f</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2061;</mo>
    <mo stretchy="false"></mo>
    <mi>x</mi>
    <mo stretchy="false"></mo>
    <mi>dx</mi>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
  <munder>
    <mo movablelimits="true" form="prefix">lim</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mrow meaning="fenced">
        <mo meaning="open" stretchy="false">&#x2016;</mo>
        <mi mathvariant="normal">&#x0394;</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
        <mi>x</mi>
        <mo meaning="close" stretchy="false">&#x2016;</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2192;</mo>
      <mn>0</mn>
    </mrow>
  </munder>
  <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
  <mrow meaning="bigop">
    <munderover meaning="bigop" embellished="&#x2211;">
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2211;</mo>
      <mrow>
        <mi>i</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
        <mn>1</mn>
      </mrow>
      <mi>n</mi>
    </munderover>
    <mi>f</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2061;</mo>
  </mrow>
  <mrow meaning="fenced">
    <mo meaning="open" stretchy="false"></mo>
    <msubsup>
      <mi>x</mi>
      <mi>i</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2217;</mo>
    </msubsup>
    <mo meaning="close" stretchy="false"></mo>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
  <mi mathvariant="normal">&#x0394;</mi>
  <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
  <msub>
    <mi>x</mi>
    <mi>i</mi>
  </msub>
  </mrow>
</math>

```

## C Example for Algorithm 3

We further elaborate example 7, marking selected operators in red.

```

<math>
  <mrow meaning="integral">
    <msubsup meaning="integral" embellished="&#x222B;">
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x222B;</mo>
      <mi>a</mi>
      <mi>b</mi>
    </msubsup>
    <mi>f</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2061;</mo>
    <mo stretchy="false">(</mo>
    <mi>x</mi>
    <mo stretchy="false">)</mo>
    <mi>dx</mi>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
  <munder>
    <mo movablelimits="true" form="prefix">lim</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mrow meaning="fenced">
        <mo meaning="open" stretchy="false">&#x2016;</mo>
        <mi mathvariant="normal">&#x0394;</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
        <mi>x</mi>
        <mo meaning="close" stretchy="false">&#x2016;</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2192;</mo>
      <mn>0</mn>
    </mrow>
  </munder>
  <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
  <mrow meaning="bigop">
    <munderover meaning="bigop" embellished="&#x2211;">
      <mo meaning="operator">&#x2211;</mo>
      <mrow>
        <mi>i</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator">=</mo>
        <mn>l</mn>
      </mrow>
      <mi>n</mi>
    </munderover>
    <mi>f</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2061;</mo>
    <mrow meaning="fenced">
      <mo meaning="open" stretchy="false">(</mo>
      <msubsup>
        <mi>x</mi>
        <mi>i</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator">&#x2217;</mo>
      </msubsup>
      <mo meaning="close" stretchy="false">)</mo>
    </mrow>
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
    <mi mathvariant="normal">&#x0394;</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator">&#x2062;</mo>
    <msub>
      <mi>x</mi>
      <mi>i</mi>
    </msub>
  </mrow>
</math>

```

## D Example for Procedure in Section 3

As a sample we take equation 12 of the second sample set. See also the `sample2_12.html` file for MathML:

$$\bar{u}_{Fy}^P = \bar{f}^P(p) \int_0^\infty \left\{ -\eta_F \frac{2}{\Delta} [\lambda (k^2 - \eta_P^2) - 2\mu \eta_P^2] (k^2 - \eta_S^2) \times \{ \exp \{ -[(y+H) \eta_F + (h-H) \eta_P] \} + \exp \{ -[(H-y) \eta_F + (h-H) \eta_P] \} \} \cos(kx) dk \right.$$

We present three versions of the expression:

1. After canonicalisation and chunking,
2. With selected operators marked in red,
3. With all linebreaking measures computed.

Note that the measures have been computed by hand and might not be fully accurate.

### D.1 Equation after Canonicalisation and Chunking

$$\frac{\overbrace{u}^{\text{"operator"}} \cdot \underbrace{y}_P}{\overbrace{f}^{\text{"operator"}} \cdot \underbrace{p}_{\int}} = \frac{\overbrace{F}^{\text{"operator"}} \cdot \underbrace{P}_{\int}}{\overbrace{2}^{\text{"operator"}} \cdot \underbrace{\lambda}_{\int}}$$



22

```

</mrow>
</mrow>
</math>

```

## D.2 Equation with Selected Operators

```

<math>
<msubsup>
  <mrow>
    <mover accent="true">
      <mi>u</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">&OverBar;</mo>
    </mover>
  </mrow>
  <mrow>
    <mi>F</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
    <mi>y</mi>
  </mrow>
  <mi>P</mi>
</msubsup>
<mo meaning="operator">&equals;</mo>
<msup>
  <mrow>
    <mover accent="true">
      <mi>f</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator">&OverBar;</mo>
    </mover>
  </mrow>
  <mi>P</mi>
</msup>
<mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
  <mi>p</mi>
  <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
<mrow meaning="integral">
  <msubsup embellished="int;">
    <mo meaning="operator">&int;</mo>
    <mn>0</mn>
    <mi>&infin;</mi>
  </msubsup>
  <mrow>
    <mrow meaning="fenced">
      <mo meaning="open">{</mo>
      <mrow>
        <mo meaning="prefix">&minus;</mo>
        <msub>
          <mi>&eta;</mi>
          <mi>F</mi>
        </msub>
        <mfrac>
          <mn>2</mn>
          <mo meaning="operator">&Delta;</mo>
        </mfrac>
        <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="open">]</mo>
    </mrow>
    <mi>&lambda;</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
    <mrow meaning="fenced">
      <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
      <mrow>
        <msup>
          <mi>k</mi>
          <mn>2</mn>
        </msup>
        <mo meaning="operator">&minus;</mo>
        <msubsup>
          <mi>&eta;</mi>
          <mi>P</mi>
          <mn>2</mn>
        </msubsup>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
    </mrow>
    <mo meaning="operator">&minus;</mo>
  </mrow>
</mrow>

```

```

<mn>2</mn>
<mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
<mi>&mu;</mi>
<mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
<msubsup>
  <mi>&eta;</mi>
  <mi>P</mi>
  <mn>2</mn>
</msubsup>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="close">]</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
  <mrow>
    <msup>
      <mi>k</mi>
      <mn>2</mn>
    </msup>
    <mo meaning="operator">&minus;</mo>
    <msubsup>
      <mi>&eta;</mi>
      <mi>S</mi>
      <mn>2</mn>
    </msubsup>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator">&times;</mo>
<mrow meaning="fenced">
  <mo meaning="open">{</mo>
  <mrow>
    <mi>exp</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator" new>&ApplyFunction;</mo>
    <mrow meaning="fenced">
      <mo meaning="open">{</mo>
      <mrow>
        <mo meaning="prefix">&minus;</mo>
        <mrow meaning="fenced">
          <mo meaning="open">[</mo>
          <mrow>
            <mrow meaning="fenced">
              <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
              <mrow>
                <mi>y</mi>
                <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
                <mi>H</mi>
              </mrow>
              <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
            </mrow>
            <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
            <msub>
              <mi>&eta;</mi>
              <mi>F</mi>
            </msub>
            <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
            <mrow meaning="fenced">
              <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
              <mrow>
                <mi>h</mi>
                <mo meaning="operator">&minus;</mo>
                <mi>H</mi>
              </mrow>
              <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
            </mrow>
            <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
            <msub>
              <mi>&eta;</mi>
              <mi>P</mi>
            </msub>
          </mrow>
          <mo meaning="close">]</mo>
        </mrow>
        <mo meaning="close">}</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
      <mi>exp</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator" new>&ApplyFunction;</mo>
    </mrow>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="close">}</mo>

```



```

<mo meaning="open">{</mo>
<mrow>
  <mo meaning="prefix">&minus;</mo>
  <mrow meaning="fenced">
    <mo meaning="open">[</mo>
      <mrow>
        <mrow meaning="fenced">
          <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
            <mrow>
              <mi>H</mi>
              <mo meaning="operator">&minus;</mo>
              <mi>y</mi>
            </mrow>
            <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
          </mrow>
          <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
          <msub>
            <mi>&eta;</mi>
            <mi>F</mi>
          </msub>
          <mo meaning="operator">+</mo>
          <mrow meaning="fenced">
            <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
              <mrow>
                <mi>h</mi>
                <mo meaning="operator">&minus;</mo>
                <mi>H</mi>
              </mrow>
              <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
            </mrow>
            <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
            <msub>
              <mi>&eta;</mi>
              <mi>P</mi>
            </msub>
          </mrow>
          <mo meaning="close">]</mo>
        </mrow>
        <mo meaning="close">}</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="close">}</mo>
    </mrow>
    <mo meaning="close">}</mo>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
  <mi>cos</mi>
  <mo meaning="operator" new>&ApplyFunction;</mo>
  <mrow meaning="fenced">
    <mo meaning="open">(</mo>
      <mrow>
        <mi>k</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
        <mi>x</mi>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="close">)</mo>
    </mrow>
    <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
    <mi>d</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator" new>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
    <mi>k</mi>
  </mrow>
</mrow>
</math>

```

## D.3 Equation with Linebreaking Measures

```

<math display="block" complexity="211.24999999999997">
  <msubsup complexity="5.97">
    <mrow complexity="3.5">
      <mover accent="true" complexity="2.5">
        <mi complexity="1.5">u</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5">&oline;</mo>
      </mover>
    </mrow>
    <mrow complexity="5.5">
      <mi complexity="1.5">F</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5">&#x2062;</mo>
      <mi complexity="1.5">y</mi>
    </mrow>
    <mi complexity="1.5">P</mi>
  </msubsup>
  <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="5.97" penalty="35.385259631">=</mo>
  <msup complexity="5.5649999999999995">
    <mrow complexity="3.5">
      <mover accent="true" complexity="2.5">
        <mi complexity="1.5">f</mi>
        <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5">&oline;</mo>
      </mover>
    </mrow>
    <mi complexity="1.5">P</mi>
  </msup>
  <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="7.0649999999999995" penalty="29.900920028">&#x2062;</mo>
  <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="5.5">
    <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
    <mi complexity="1.5">p</mi>
    <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="7" penalty="30.178571429">&#x2062;</mo>
  <mrow meaning="integral" complexity="188.71499999999997" parentcomplexityfactor="1.119412871">
    <msubsup embellished="&int;" complexity="3.565">
      <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5">&int;</mo>
      <mn complexity="1.5">0</mn>
      <mi complexity="1.5">&infin;</mi>
    </msubsup>
    <mrow complexity="184.14999999999998">
      <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="162.14999999999998">
        <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">{</mo>
        <mrow complexity="158.14999999999998">
          <mo meaning="prefix" complexity="1.5">&minus;</mo>
          <msub complexity="3.565">
            <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
            <mi complexity="1.5">F</mi>
          </msub>
          <mfrac complexity="2.5">
            <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
            <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5">&Delta;</mo>
          </mfrac>
          <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="14.129999999999999" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="33.471474742">&#x2062;</mo>
          <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="32.695">
            <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">[</mo>
            <mrow complexity="28.695">
              <mi complexity="1.5">&lambda;</mi>
              <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="4.5" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="105.10043069">&#x2062;</mo>
              <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="13.629999999999999">
                <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
                <mrow complexity="9.629999999999999">
                  <msup complexity="3.565">
                    <mi complexity="1.5">k</mi>
                    <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
                  </msup>
                  <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="6.5649999999999995" realdepth="2" depth="5" penalty="72.041422408">&#x2062;</mo>
                  <msubsup complexity="3.565">
                    <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
                    <mi complexity="1.5">P</mi>
                    <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
                  </msubsup>
                </mrow>
                <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
              </mrow>
              <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="15.13" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="31.259216002">&minus;</mo>
              <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
              <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="3" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="157.650646036">&#x2062;</mo>
              <mi complexity="1.5">&mu;</mi>
              <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="3" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="157.650646036">&#x2062;</mo>
            </mrow>
          </mrow>
        </mrow>
      </mrow>
    </mrow>
  </math>

```

```

<msubsup complexity="3.565">
  <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
  <mi complexity="1.5">P</mi>
  <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
</msubsup>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">]</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="34.195" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="13.831026118">&#x2062;</mo>
<mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="13.629999999999999">
  <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">{</mo>
  <mrow complexity="9.629999999999999">
    <msup complexity="3.565">
      <mi complexity="1.5">k</mi>
      <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
    </msup>
    <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="6.564999999999995" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="72.041422408">&minus;
    <msubsup complexity="3.565">
      <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
      <mi complexity="1.5">8</mi>
      <mn complexity="1.5">2</mn>
    </msubsup>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">}</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="15.13" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="31.259216002">&times;</mo>
<mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="98.75999999999999">
  <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">{</mo>
  <mrow complexity="94.75999999999999">
    <mi complexity="2.5">exp</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="5.5" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="85.991261474">&#x2061;</mo>
    <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="42.129999999999995">
      <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">{</mo>
      <mrow complexity="38.129999999999995">
        <mo meaning="prefix" complexity="1.5">&minus;</mo>
        <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="35.629999999999995">
          <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">[</mo>
          <mrow complexity="31.63">
            <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="9.5">
              <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
              <mrow complexity="5.5">
                <mi complexity="1.5">y</mi>
                <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="9" realdepth="2" depth="7" penalty="52.550215346">+</mo>
                <mi complexity="1.5">H</mi>
              </mrow>
              <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
            </mrow>
            <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="13.5" realdepth="2" depth="6" penalty="35.033476896">&#x2062;</mo>
            <msub complexity="3.565">
              <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
              <mi complexity="1.5">F</mi>
            </msub>
            <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="5.064999999999995" realdepth="2" depth="6" penalty="93.37649321">+
            <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="9.5">
              <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
              <mrow complexity="5.5">
                <mi complexity="1.5">h</mi>
                <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="4.5" realdepth="2" depth="7" penalty="105.10043069">&minus;</mo>
                <mi complexity="1.5">H</mi>
              </mrow>
              <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
            </mrow>
            <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="11" realdepth="2" depth="6" penalty="42.995630738">&#x2062;</mo>
            <msub complexity="3.565">
              <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
              <mi complexity="1.5">P</mi>
            </msub>
          </mrow>
          <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">]</mo>
        </mrow>
        <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">}</mo>
      </mrow>
      <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="43.63" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="10.840062758">+</mo>
      <mi complexity="2.5">exp</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="4" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="118.237984526">&#x2061;</mo>
      <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="42.129999999999995">
        <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">{</mo>
        <mrow complexity="38.129999999999995">
          <mo meaning="prefix" complexity="1.5">&minus;</mo>
          <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="35.629999999999995">

```

```

<mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">[</mo>
<mrow complexity="31.63">
  <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="9.5">
    <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
    <mrow complexity="5.5">
      <mi complexity="1.5">H</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="9" realdepth="2" depth="7" penalty="52.550215346">&minus;</mo>
      <mi complexity="1.5">y</mi>
    </mrow>
    <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="13.5" realdepth="2" depth="6" penalty="35.033476896">&#x2013;</mo>
  <msub complexity="3.565">
    <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
    <mi complexity="1.5">F</mi>
  </msub>
  <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="5.0649999999999995" realdepth="2" depth="6" penalty="93.37649321">+</mo>
  <mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="9.5">
    <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
    <mrow complexity="5.5">
      <mi complexity="1.5">h</mi>
      <mo meaning="operator" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="4.5" realdepth="2" depth="7" penalty="105.10043069">&minus;</mo>
      <mi complexity="1.5">H</mi>
    </mrow>
    <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="11" realdepth="2" depth="6" penalty="42.995630738">&#x2013;</mo>
  <msub complexity="3.565">
    <mi complexity="1.5">&eta;</mi>
    <mi complexity="1.5">P</mi>
  </msub>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">]</mo>
</mrow>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">}</mo>
</mrow>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">}</mo>
</mrow>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">}</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="153.085" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="3.089472764">&#x2062;</mo>
<mi complexity="2.5">cos</mi>
<mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="4" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="118.237984526">&#x2061;</mo>
<mrow meaning="fenced" complexity="9.5">
  <mo meaning="open" complexity="1.5">(</mo>
  <mrow complexity="5.5">
    <mi complexity="1.5">k</mi>
    <mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="4.5" realdepth="2" depth="4" penalty="105.10043069">&#x2062;</mo>
    <mi complexity="1.5">x</mi>
  </mrow>
  <mo meaning="close" complexity="1.5">)</mo>
</mrow>
<mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="11" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="42.995630738">&#x2062;</mo>
<mi complexity="1.5">d</mi>
<mo meaning="operator" new="" complexity="1.5" gapcomplexity="3" realdepth="2" depth="3" penalty="157.650646036">&#x2062;</mo>
<mi complexity="1.5">k</mi>
</mrow>
</mrow>
</math>

```

## D.4 Discussion

$$\bar{u}_{Fy}^P = \bar{f}^P(p) \int_0^\infty \left\{ -\eta_F \frac{2}{\Delta} [\lambda (k^2 - \eta_P^2) - 2\mu\eta_P^2] (k^2 - \eta_S^2) \times \{\exp\{-(y+H)\eta_F + (h-H)\eta_P\}\} + \exp\{-(H-y)\eta_F + (h-H)\eta_P\}\} \right\} \cos(kx) dk$$

Let's first look at a sorted list of 30 penalties in the above expression:

3.089472764	35.385259631	93.376493210
10.840062758	42.995630738	105.100430690
13.831026118	42.995630738	105.100430690
29.900920028	42.995630738	105.100430690
30.178571429	52.550215346	105.100430690
31.259216002	52.550215346	118.237984526
31.259216002	72.041422408	118.237984526
33.471474742	72.041422408	157.650646036
35.033476896	85.991261474	157.650646036
35.033476896	93.376493210	157.650646036

We note that set contains one clear outlier at the maximum value. We observe that the maximum value occurs only at implicit multiplications, in particular twice at,  $2\mu\eta_P^2$  and at  $dk$ . These are places, where we really would not want to break.

Ignoring the outlier and then applying a 3-clustering (i.e., a clustering corresponding to a “good, bad, no” linebreak scenario), we get three intervals of penalties:

$$[3.089472764, 13.831026118]; \quad [29.900920028, 52.550215346]; \quad [72.041422408, 118.237984526]$$

We observe what breaking at all elements with penalties in the first cluster would do:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_{Fy}^P = \bar{f}^P(p) \int_0^\infty & \left\{ -\eta_F \frac{2}{\Delta} [\lambda (k^2 - \eta_P^2) - 2\mu\eta_P^2] \right. \\ & (k^2 - \eta_S^2) \times \{\exp\{-(y+H)\eta_F + (h-H)\eta_P\}\} + \\ & \left. \exp\{-(H-y)\eta_F + (h-H)\eta_P\}\} \right\} \\ & \cos(kx) dk \end{aligned}$$

The example demonstrates the influence of the parent complexity on the overall penalty, which explains why the first linebreak is at the implicit times between the fenced expressions, with penalty 13.831026118, rather than at the following explicit time  $\times$ , which has a penalty of 31.259216002. The idea of the parent complexity is to allow for better balanced splits in the expression and not only taking nesting depth into account.

This is also the main reason for the low penalty on the implicit times before the  $\cos$  function. Intuitively, it is the least nested operator, which splits the expression *evenly*. Here evenly is relative, meaning effectively, *more evenly than splitting at the equality sign*.