

Civil Disobedience and Law Enforcement:

Balancing Rights and Public Safety

Jai Vang

Catawba Valley Community College

POL-12-800: American Government

Professor Glenn Roseman

5/10/2021

The First Amendment of the United States Constitution guarantees the American people the right to peacefully assemble and petition their government. This fundamental right, enshrined in the Constitution, ensures that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for redress of grievances" (U.S. Const. amend. 1, 1791). When citizens exercise this right to protest or petition against the government, their actions fall under the umbrella of civil disobedience. However, while peaceful assembly is protected, law enforcement retains the authority to intervene when public peace is compromised, utilizing varying degrees of force as necessary. This essay aims to explore the concept of civil disobedience, its historical significance, and its contemporary implications in the United States. Additionally, it will discuss the contentious issue of whether law enforcement should employ military-grade equipment for crowd control and how they should handle gatherings that may potentially turn violent.

Civil disobedience has played a pivotal role in numerous protests, rebellions, and revolutions throughout history, often arising in response to unjust governance (Fromm, 2018). Merriam-Webster defines civil disobedience as the "refusal to obey governmental demands or commands, especially as a nonviolent and usually collective means of forcing concessions from the government" (Merriam-Webster, 1828). It represents the collective expression of individuals advocating for fair treatment, utilizing free speech and the right to assemble. Civil disobedience occurs when individuals or groups, whether nonviolent or violent, reject unjust laws or policies and retaliate accordingly (Fromm, 2018).

The United States itself was born out of acts of civil disobedience. Historical events such as the Boston Tea Party, where colonists protested against British taxation by dumping tea into the harbor, and the signing of the Declaration of Independence, which marked a decisive break

from British rule, exemplify the power of civil disobedience in shaping the nation's history (Reid, 1773; Office of the Historian, 1776). While these acts often involved violence, they ultimately led to America's independence from British rule, establishing a new nation founded on the principles of civil disobedience.

In contemporary times, civil disobedience continues to manifest in various forms, including instances of both peaceful protest and violent unrest. Recent events, such as the protests following the death of George Floyd, have seen widespread demonstrations across the country (Quam, 2020). While many protests begin peacefully, some escalate into violence, posing risks to public safety and necessitating law enforcement intervention. For example, protests in cities like North Dakota, Ohio, and North Carolina turned violent, prompting authorities to implement curfews and use crowd control measures such as tear gas and rubber bullets (Spicker, 2020; Staff, 2020). Despite the protection of peaceful assembly under the First Amendment, this protection ceases when gatherings turn violent (Freedom of Assembly, 2020). Throughout American history, civil disobedience has also been instrumental in advocating for social justice and equality. Figures like Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. led nonviolent protests during the Civil Rights Movement, challenging segregation and advocating for equal rights (Jr., 1963). These nonviolent assemblies raised public consciousness, challenged societal norms, and ultimately contributed to significant social change (Jr., 1995).

Additionally, civil disobedience has been employed in workplace settings to advocate for workers' rights and collective bargaining. Through peaceful assembly and unionization, workers have sought to address issues such as fair wages, safe working conditions, and employment rights (Freedom of Assembly, 2020).

The use of military-grade equipment by law enforcement during protests remains a contentious issue. While some argue that such equipment is necessary for self-defense and crowd control, others raise concerns about its potential for escalation and excessive use of force. While non-lethal measures such as water cannons and tear gas can be effective in controlling unruly crowds, the use of deadly force should be reserved for situations where lives are at immediate risk.

In conclusion, the right to peaceful protest and civil disobedience is a cornerstone of American democracy, protected by the First Amendment. While civil disobedience may involve both nonviolent and violent protests, it serves as a powerful tool for advocating for change and holding governments accountable. However, law enforcement must balance the preservation of public safety with the protection of constitutional rights. The use of military-grade equipment should be carefully regulated and employed only when necessary to prevent escalation and ensure the safety of both protesters and law enforcement personnel. Effective communication, de-escalation techniques, and respect for constitutional rights are essential in handling protests and maintaining public order in a democratic society.

## References

- Freedom of Assembly. (2020). In Gale Opposing Viewpoints Online Collection. Gale. .
- Fromm, E. (2018, November). UKEassays. Retrieved from The Importance And Significance Of Civil Disobedience History Essay: <https://www.ukessays.com/essays/history/the-importance-and-significance-of-civil-disobedience-history-essay.php?vref=1>
- Jr, D. L. (1995, January 15). Freedom Forum Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.freedomforuminstitute.org/1999/01/15/first-amendment-freedoms-crucial-to-success-of-civil-rights-movement/>
- Jr, M. L. (2021). Bill of Rights Institute. Retrieved from <https://billofrightsinstitute.org/resources/civil-disobedience>
- Jr., M. L. (1963, April 16). African Studies Center - University Of Pennsylvania. Retrieved from [https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles\\_Gen/Letter\\_Birmingham.html](https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Letter_Birmingham.html)
- Merriam-Webster. (1828). Retrieved from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/civil%20disobedience>
- Office of the Historian. (1776). Retrieved from <https://history.state.gov/milestones/1776-1783/declaration#:~:text=By%20issuing%20the%20Declaration%20of,colonists'%20motivations%20for%20seeking%20independence.>
- Quam, P. D. (2020). Thousands of Black Lives Matter Demonstrators March Through Fargo Streets Demanding End To Police Brutality. West Fargo Pioneer.
- Reid, R. (1773, December 16). Maleislature. Retrieved from <https://maleislature.gov/VirtualTour/Artifact/80>
- Spicker, K. (2020). Protests Prompt Ohio Cities to Issue Curfews. daytondailynews.

Staff, W. (2020, May 30). WCNC Charlotte. Retrieved from City of Charlotte under State of Emergency after "civil unrest": <https://www.wcnc.com/article/news/local/city-charlotte-mecklenburg-county-state-of-emergency/275-7ee4b88b-886a-4415-b5b1-62d5769c3bda>

U.S Const. amend. 1. (1791). Retrieved from Constitution Annotated:

[https://constitution.congress.gov/constitution/amendment-](https://constitution.congress.gov/constitution/amendment-1/#:~:text=Congress%20shall%20make%20no%20law,for%20a%20redress%20of%20grievances.)

[1/#:~:text=Congress%20shall%20make%20no%20law,for%20a%20redress%20of%20grievances.](https://constitution.congress.gov/constitution/amendment-1/#:~:text=Congress%20shall%20make%20no%20law,for%20a%20redress%20of%20grievances.)