INF5620 — Project 2
2D Wave Equation

Emilie Fjørner

e.s.fjorner@fys.uio.no

Jonas van den Brink

j.v.d.brink@fys.uio.no

October 28, 2013

Description

Partial Differential Equation

The PDE we will be solving has the following form,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + b\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(q(x,y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(q(x,y)\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) + f(x,y,t).$$

This is a two-dimensional, standard, linear wave equation, with damping. Here $q(x,y) = c^2$ is the wave velocity, which is generally a field. The constant b, is a damping factor, and $f(x,y,t)$ is a source term that will be used to verify our solver.

We solve the equation on the spatial domain $\Omega = [0, L_x] \times [0, L_y]$, with a Neumann boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0,$$

here $\partial/\partial n$ denotes the directional derivative out of the domain at the boundary.

Our PDE also has the initial conditions

$$u(x,y,0) = I(x,y), \qquad u_t(x,y,0) = V(x,y).$$

Discretizing the PDE

We discretize both the temporal domain $[0, T]$, and both spatial dimensions, using uniform meshes. This means we define mesh points

$$x_i = i\Delta x, \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, N_x,$$
$$y_j = j\Delta y, \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, N_y,$$
$$t_n = n\Delta t, \text{ for } n = 0, \dots, N_t.$$

We now evaluate our PDE in the point (x_i, y_j, t_n) and introduce the shorthand notation

$$u_{i,j}^n \equiv u(x_i, y_j, t_n).$$

We will use central difference approximations for the time derivatives, meaning we have

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}\right]^n \approx \frac{u^{n+1} - 2u^n + u^{n-1}}{\Delta t^2} = \left[D_t D_t u\right]^n,$$

and

$$b\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \approx b\frac{u^{n+1} - u^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} = \left[D_{2t} u\right]^n.$$

Hvorfor har vi med b her? (Burde i såfall vært med i siste leddet og?

For the spatial derivatives, we first approximate the outer derivative using a central difference. In order to do this we first introduce $\phi \equiv q\partial u/\partial x$, and find

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \approx \frac{\phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta x} = [D_x \phi]_i.$$

where we approximate $\phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\phi_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$, using a central difference yet again

$$\phi_{i+1} = q_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right]_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \approx q_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{\Delta x} = [qD_x u]_{i+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$\phi_{i-1} = q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right]_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \approx q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{\Delta x} = [q D_x u]_{i-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If we have access to a continous q, evaluating q in $x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ is no problem, but we would also like to be able to use a discretized q known only in the mesh points, so we approximate $q_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ using an arithmetic mean

$$q_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \approx \frac{q_{i+1} + q_i}{2}, \qquad q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \approx \frac{q_i + q_{i-1}}{2}.$$

Inserting this, we have

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(q \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \right]_i \approx \frac{1}{2\Delta x^2} \left[(q_{i+1} + q_i)(u_{i+1} - u_i) + (q_{i-1} + q_i)(u_{i-1} - u_i) \right].$$

And we use the exact same approximation for the other spatial derivative.

Our discrete equation then becomes

$$[D_t D_t u + b D_{2t} u = D_x \bar{q}^x D_x u + D_y \bar{q}^y D_y u + f]_{i,j}^n.$$

Hvorfor er q-ene opphøyd i x/y istedetfor å ha det som subscript?

Which written out and solved for $u_{i,j}^{n+1}$ gives the following numerical scheme

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{i,j}^{n+1} = \left(\frac{2}{2 + b\Delta t} \right) &\left[2u_{i,j}^n - \left(1 - \frac{b\Delta t}{2} \right) u_{i,j}^{n-1} \right. \\
&+ \frac{h_x}{2} \left((q_{i+1,j} + q_{i,j})(u_{i+1,j}^n - u_{i,j}^n) + (q_{i-1,j} + q_{i,j})(u_{i-1,j}^n - u_{i,j}^n) \right) \\
&+ \frac{h_y}{2} \left((q_{i,j+1} + q_{i,j})(u_{i,j+1}^n - u_{i,j}^n) + (q_{i,j-1} + q_{i,j})(u_{i,j-1}^n - u_{i,j}^n) \right) \\
&\left. + \Delta t^2 f(x_i, y_j, t_n) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_x = \Delta t^2 / \Delta x^2$.

Verifying the solver using constant solution

As a test case, we try implementing a constant solution

$$u_e = C = \text{const.},$$

by inserting this solution into our discrete equation, we see that the source term vanishes

$$f(x, y, t) = 0.$$

We implement the test case as a nose test and verify that it succeeds.