Analysis of the group review

is tested very poorly. The developers had tried to implement integration

We greatly appreciate the feedback of the other group on our project. There were some useful tips in it and we'll use them to improve the quality of our game even further.

However, at some points we disagree with the other group, mostly because we cannot do anything about it. These points at which we disagree with the other group are marked **bold**. The points at which we disagree have mainly to do with files (pom.xml, travis.yml, generated files) that were not included in zip they got apparently, but that are present in our project.

The source code looks very well designed. A wide variety of well	We'll pay more attention to check the class
implemented design patterns have been used like Strategy design patterns, Observer Design pattern and Factory Design Pattern. The	invariants (by using asserts)
usage of Enums in this project and the usage of a constant file is a very	
good in the project. The usage of asserts could have been better as	
they are used sparsely in the project.	
Some of the things that are not good with this game is that it cannot be	This is because pom.xml was not included in the
run out of the box on most of our team's pc's	zip apparently. If it was included, the project can be run on every pc with a clean installation. If this was not the case, Travis would have failed to compile
	and/or run the tests.
Also some of the checkstyle rules used are not clearly explained in the	We'll document the reason for changing the
source code, for example the max length of a line is 200 characters instead of the normal 100 characters	CheckStyle rules
There are 53 Checkstyle errors in total but these are easily solved as	We'll solve this week all CheckStyle/PMD/FindBugs
they all are errors indicating the file does not end with a new line. PMD	errors
gives also some easily solved errors like unused variables , which do not	
necessarily reduce the code quality	
There are also some unnecessary classes which could be removed. For	The package-info.java cannot be deleted, because
example the package-info.java class in every package that does not	this contains the javadoc of the package. If we
contain any real classes but just the name of the package could be	would delete this, CheckStyle would give a
deleted.	warning
The project pom file does not contain a specific setting to build the	? We can run the game directly, without any
game, so multiple steps have to be taken to build the game and be able	additional steps, just by running main. However, the
to run it. Also no option to make a JAR file is implemented in the pom	point that is made about the jar file is important.
file	Last time including the JAR option didn't work
	because of issues with the way we read files, but
	we'll try again to fix this.
A travis configuration file is missing and Travis is not working without.	This is because travis yml was not included in the
	zip
Also Findbugs has not been used in the project, at least no report for	FindBugs is included and we generated the report
Findbugs is generated.	multiple times, but both were not included in the
	zip (apparently)
In maven a test report is not generated, this reduces the ability of	With Maven a test report was generated quite a
product owners to determine in an easy way if a projects is stable	few times, but these reports were not included in
enough for deployment	the zip
The branch coverage of the project itself is 7.8% which comes because	This is because the only the non-Cucumber tests
of the unnecessary files in mentioned earlier but also because the code	were run. Without PowerMock it is impossible to

tests with Cucumber but it has failed drastically as they have used	tests private methods and classes. Cucumber has
powerMock instead of the normal Mockito.	little to do with PowerMock
The code follows the language conventions completely. Variables and	-
methods have the right use of first having a lowercase letter and for	
every new word that is added to make the whole name uppercase	
letters. Final variables are in full uppercase. Classes have the correct	
use of CamelCase. Also proper use of indentation for nested code.	
Naming is perfect. Really no room for improvement. Variable names are	-
short and to the point. Same goes for methods. Classes also have short	
names. They start with an I when it's an Interface and with an A when	
it's an abstract class. This really improves the readability of the program	
as a whole. The structure of the folder is also very good; clear single-	
word subfolders containing all classes.	
Again, 10/10 because all methods have comments. They are all in the	-
same style and with good punctuation. All variables have comments as	
well. Long methods have single line comments making it very clear to	
see what happens in the code. Slightly more complicated methods	
have longer comments; kind of stories to explain what happens. In	
these cases it does not matter that the comments are not short; it is	
needed to fully understand it all	
We think the game is nearly finished already, so no actual	-
improvements are needed in terms of design patterns being applied in	
the code. Also the readability of the code is very good, so no	
enhancements needed there.	
The code quality of the game could be increased by numerous things.	If the tests are run with Cucumber and Powermock,
1. Testing coverage should be increased , at the moment a branch	the test coverage is 50% instead of 7.8% . However,
coverage of 7.8% is implemented , this has to be increased to 75%.	they have a good point that the testing coverage is
	too low and we'll set the increasement of the test
	coverage high on our priority list
2. During testing the PowerMocks should be reduced as this is bad	?
practice	Only programs that modify the Java bytecode (like
	PowerMock) are able to test private methods.
	2 alternatives: increase the scope (very bad
	practice) / don't test the private code at all (very
	bad practice) / test the private code indirectly
	(practically impossible because every single
	constructor is private)
3. Maven test reports should be generated for the project.	The maven test report was not included in the zip.
	However, using "mvn site" it can be generated very
	easily (if our pom.xml is available)
4. If a Travis config file is not present, it should be made.	This was probably not included in the zip
5. Some of the powerUps are not fully implemented as we saw the code	Good point, last week these were implemented
for it but it never came back in the game.	Mail about none well and a surface of the
6. Findbugs reports should be added to the maven site	We'll check pom.xml again and make sure the
	FindBugs report is generated perfectly next time
	>