Guidelines for your research paper/thesis

Jan van Gemert,
j.c.vangemert@tudelft.nl

May 15, 2018

The goal of this document is to give structure to writing. Here I give my personal guidelines about the structure and form of your research paper or thesis as I expect it. Benefit for you: many of my comments will be avoided by following these guidelines. The document ends with some examples and further reading.

General G1 Unburden reader G2 Layout G3 Less is more G4 Very G5 Discussed before G6 In order to G7 Sort citations G8 Number eqs	G10 Explain symbols G11 Self-contained G12 Consistent G13 No guessing G14 Single topic par G15 Relations of par G16 Reference words G17 No ref across par G18 Write = code	G20 Figs are complete G21 Read it loud G22 Audience Intro II Motivate I2 Figure 1 Related R1 Subject is method R2 Par topic	Method M1 No arguments M2 No datasets Exps E1 Exp aswers Q E2 Group exps E3 Analyze modular E4 Types of exps	Discussion D1 Summary D2 Limitations
G9 Eqs are text	G19 Captions	R3 Par layout		

General writing tips

- **G1.** Unburden the reader. If a reader misinterprets the text it is the fault of the writer. Its the writer's responsibility to reduce the reading effort. Prof Freeman: The most dangerous mistake you can make when writing your paper is assuming that the reviewer will understand the point of your paper.
- **G2.** Layout is important. Good layout eases the reading effort. Whitespace can have a function. Avoid having a single word on a new line/page.
- **G3.** Less is more. Every word should have a reason to exist. To quote Blaise Pascal: "I would have written a shorter letter, but I did not have the time.". Writing concisely takes time and effort; it enhances readability.

- **G4.** Very. Do not use "very". It is supposed to emphasize, yet, it does the opposite. See also: https://www.proofreadingservices.com/pages/very
- G5. As discussed before, as motivated in section XXX, as will be described in XXX Do not use this, it has no function.
- **G6.** In order to. Can almost always be replaced with 'To'.
- **G7. Sort citations.** If using numerical citation, make sure not to cite it as [7,2,5], but sort them like [2,5,7].
- **G8.** Number all equations. Maybe you do not refer to them, but others (reviewers, readers) may want to. Only if the equation is very simple, it is OK to have it in the flow of the text without a number.
- **G9.** Treat equations as normal text. If an equation ends the sentence, the equations ends with a period. If the sentence continues, use a comma after the equation.
- **G10.** Explain all symbols. Directly before, or directly after introducing an equation all symbols should be explained. A formula should be self-contained: the reader should be able to understand it without searching for symbol definitions elsewhere.
- G11. Self-contained. The reader has not memorized the full text. Remind the reader of definitions or symbols when defined 'a long time ago'.
- **G12.** Consistent. Use a defined symbol consistently and uniquely.
- **G13.** No guessing. Never expect a reader to do inference. If the reader has to guess, the guess will often be not what you had in mind. Always explicitly write what the reader is supposed to see/conclude.
- G14. A single paragraph, has only one single topic. A paragraph has an intro sentence to define the topic. Each sentence logically follows the previous sentence. It has a concluding sentence that concludes the topic.

- **G15.** Relation between paragraphs. Paragraph topics should follow a logical order. It is often helpful to start with a skeleton of topics and keywords.
- **G16.** Reference words. Reference words such as 'this', 'it', 'that', 'there' are often confusing. Instead of referring, explicitly repeat the thing it refers to.
- G17. Do not use reference words across paragraphs. For example, do not start a paragraph with 'however'. It is unclear to what you refer to.
- **G18.** Writing is like coding. Like good code, a paragraph is modular and self-contained. Do text refactoring just as you would do code refactoring. Good code is not written in one go, neither is text. Like code, you start with a draft structure, and restructure several times.
- G19. Captions in figure/table. Figures and tables should be self-contained. A reader often starts an article in 'comic book' mode: first look at all the pictures. The caption should explain everything to understand the figure/table. Always end with a conclusion: what do you want the reader to see.
- **G20.** Figures are complete. Label all axis, show the units on the axis, use a legend with clear differences between entries and add a title to each (sub)figure so that the reader can directly see what is shown. Do not use too thin lines or too small of a font.
- **G21.** Read out loud. After some time, you will no longer be able to read your own text. Instead, you will read what you meant; not what you wrote. Tip: read your own writing out loud.
- **G22.** Audience. Who are you writing for? What is their background and what are they looking for? Help your audience find it.

Section 1: Introduction

I1. Motivation and scope. The intro starts broad, then narrows the scope smaller, and smaller, culminating to exactly your research topic. The intro

explicitly states the contributions of your work (see "audience"). Rule of thumb: 3 novelties.

I2. Figure 1. Make a visual abstract of the paper in Figure 1. This can be a pipeline figure, but it can also be the main idea.

Section 2: Related work.

- R1. The subject is the method, not the paper. Do not write: The important work of [a] does X which is followed by the work of [b] that does Y. It has papers as the subject. Instead, make the method the subject and add citations to the method: X [a] is important, and extended by Y [b].
- **R2.** Paragraph topic. One paragraph is grouped around a single topic. Related papers often have multiple topics. It is up to you to group related work as best for you. Rule of thumb: Each paragraph has 3-10 citations.
- **R3.** Paragraph layout. The first sentence defines the scope. Then, per next sentence, you group papers based on what they do. The final, concluding, sentence is how are these methods related to your method. You have two options: option 1. All so great, we make use of it. Option 2. All is great, but we do something different because . . .

Section 3: Method

- M1. No argumentation. The method should only explain the technical method. All argumentation for the main approach should be in section 1 or section 2. It is OK to motivate technical details of the method.
- M2. No datasets. Datasets and their description belong in the experiments. Only a toy problem is allowed in this section if it helps to explain the method. The method section should only explain the technical part of the method.

Section 4: Experiments

- **E1.** Answer a question. Every experiment starts with a question. The experiment should answer that question.
- **E2.** Group an experiment together. Make use of sub-sections or bold-words, to help the reader understand the structure of the section. Each experiment is grouped in a module. Give each experimental question a number: "Experiment 1: does X apply to Y".
- E3. Analyze results modular. Every experiment has its own tables/figures for the results. Do not mix experiments by grouping all results in a single huge table. Group results together that you want to compare together, and yes, that may mean you may have to repeat values in multiple tables/figs.
- **E4. Types of experiments.** Broadly speaking there are three types of experiments. Type 1: validate, does it do what you think it does, (toy-sets?). Type 2: Investigate: what nice properties does your method have. Type 3: Compare: how does it compare to others. Present them in that order.

Section 5: Discussion

- **D1. Summary.** Small summary of what you did to highlight the context.
- **D2.** Limitations. What are the limitations of your method. No method will always be the best. Showing insight where it fails is strong. The goal of research is understanding.

To conclude

Example. Here is an example of one of my own papers. I am not saying this is perfectly written, but I try to adhere to my own rules. Your task: analyze the structure of the paper and spot inconsistencies between the guidelines and the following paper:

http://jvgemert.github.io/pub/huijserICCV17ActiveBoundAnnoGAN.pdf

Scientific writing. Read "The science of Scientific writing": https://cseweb.ucsd.edu/~swanson/papers/science-of-writing.pdf. Read it before you start writing. After you wrote your first draft, read it again, and use it to analyze your draft.

Research paper writing. Prof. Bill Freeman has insights and links: https://billf.mit.edu/sites/default/files/documents/cvprPapers.pdf

Peer review. Find a peer to review each other's texts. Check if their manuscript follows these guidelines. Keep in mind that if an honest reader did not understand it, it is the mistake of the writer.