Checklist for your research paper/thesis

Jan van Gemert,
j.c.vangemert@tudelft.nl

August 17, 2017

The goal of this document is to give structure to writing. Here I give my personal guidelines about the structure and form of your research paper or thesis as I expect it. Benefit for you: many of my comments will be avoided by following these guidelines. The document ends with some examples and further reading.

General writing tips

Unburden the reader. If the reviewers misinterprets the text it is the fault of the writer. Its the responsibility of the writer to reduce the reading effort. Prof Freeman: *The most dangerous mistake you can make when writing your paper is assuming that the reviewer will understand the point of your paper.*

Layout is important. Good layout eases the reading effort. Whitespace can have a function. Avoid having a single word on a new line/page.

Less is more. Every word should have a reason to exist. To quote Blaise Pascal: *"I would have written a shorter letter, but I did not have the time."*. Writing concisely takes time and effort; it enhances readability.

Very. Do not use "very". It is supposed to emphasize, yet, it does the opposite. See also: `https://www.proofreadingservices.com/pages/very`

As discussed before. Do not use this, it has no function.

In order to. Can almost always be replaced with 'To'.

No guessing. Never expect a reader to do inference. If the reader has to guess, the guess will often be not what you had in mind. Always explicitly write what the reader is supposed to see/conclude.

A single paragraph, has only one single topic. A paragraph has an intro sentence to define the topic. Each sentence logically follows the previous sentence. It has a concluding sentence that concludes the topic.

Relation between paragraphs. Paragraph topics should follow a logical order. It is often helpful to start with a skeleton of topics and keywords. Do not refer across paragraphs.

Reference words. Reference words such as 'this', 'it', 'that', 'there' are often confusing. Instead of referring, explicitly repeat the thing it refers to.

Self-contained. The reader has not memorized the full text. Remind the reader of definitions or mathematical symbols when defined 'a long time ago'.

Consistent. Use a defined symbol consistently and uniquely.

Writing is like coding. Like good code, a paragraph is modular and self-contained. Do text refactoring just as you would do code refactoring. Good code is not written in one go, neither is text. Like code, you start with a draft structure, and restructure several times.

A figure/table, should be self-contained. A reader often starts an article in 'comic book' mode: first look at all the pictures. The caption should explain everything to understand the figure/table. Always end with a conclusion: what do you want the reader to see.

Read out loud. After some time, you will no longer be able to read your own text. Instead, you will read what you meant; not what you wrote. Tip: read your own writing out loud.

Audience. Who are you writing for? What is their background and what are they looking for? Help your audience find it.

Section 1: Introduction

Motivation and scope. The intro starts broad, then narrows the scope smaller, and smaller, culminating to exactly your research topic. The intro explicitly states the contributions of your work (see "audience"). Rule of thumb: 3 novelties.

Figure 1. Make a visual abstract of the paper in Figure 1. This can be a pipeline figure, but it can also be the main idea.

Section 2: Related work.

Paragraph topic. One paragraph is grouped around a single topic. Related papers often have multiple topics. It is up to you to group related work as best for you. Rule of thumb: Each paragraph has 3-10 citations.

Paragraph layout. The first sentence defines the scope. Then, per next sentence, you group papers based on what they do. The final, concluding, sentence is how are these methods *related* to your method. 2 options: a. *All so great, we make use of it.* b. *All is great, but we do something different because ...*

Section 3: Method

No argumentation. The method should only explain the technical method. All argumentation for the main approach should be in section 1 or section 2. It is OK to motivate technical details of the method.

Section 4: Experiments

Answer a question. Every experiment starts with a question. The experiment should answer that question.

Types of experiments. Broadly speaking there are three types of experiments. Type 1: validate, does it do what you think it does, (toy-sets?).

Type 2: Investigate: what nice properties does your method have. Type 3: Compare: how does it compare to others.

Section 5: Discussion

Summary. Small summary of what you did to highlight the context.

Limitations. What are the limitations of your method. No method will always be the best. Showing insight where it fails is strong. The goal of research is understanding.

To conclude

Example. Here is an example of one of my own papers. I am not saying this is perfectly written, but I try to adhere to my own rules. Your task: analyze the structure of the paper and spot inconsistencies between the guidelines and the following paper:
`http://jvgemert.github.io/pub/huijserICCV17ActiveBoundAnnoGAN.pdf`

Scientific writing. Read "The science of Scientific writing": `https://cseweb.ucsd.edu/~swanson/papers/science-of-writing.pdf`. Read it before you start writing. After you wrote your first draft, use it to analyze your draft.

Research paper writing. Prof. Bill Freeman has insights and links:
`https://billf.mit.edu/sites/default/files/documents/cvprPapers.pdf`

Peer review. Find a peer to review each other's texts. Check if their manuscript follows these guidelines.