Meeting 10-24-2018: OmniCont Model

- 1. We discussed the current 'switch-block' setup and agreed that it was an acceptable method to validate the existence of the ROS-Param 'k'.
- 2. After discussing any possible changes to 'k', we realized that this may be subject to direct change or changes to 'LQR' constraints.
- 3. Given this, 'k' will remain a ros paramter, but we will be adding 'LQR' constraints as ROS params as well.
- 4. 'LQR' constraints will be initialized at some default value, which will produce a default 'k' value.
- 5. For clarity: 'k' and 'LQR' constraints will be ROS params. Changes to 'LQR' constraints will change the 'k' parameter. Changes to 'k' will not change 'LQR' parameters.
- 6. We discussed the possibility of separating the 'LQR' algorithm from the controller model, as two separate-dependent nodes. This will be examined in our design the next two days.

Task:

- Investigate usage of referenced models vs subsytems.
- Investigate usage of callbacks in code generation.
- Investigate use of LQR as function, not script.
- The controller may need to have updates to the "LQR" constraints (ex wheel size, mins/maxs). These updates should be updated via rosParams, which will
- Design the controller (using as much of Jies work as possible) around the constraints below.

Design:

- The goal is to have 145 students focus on the coursework, not on learning the ROS platform (too much overhead).
- We are summing the average ME student, who does not code outside of matlab. Any interaction between students and the ROS params shall be done through matlab.

Constraints:

- We want to have the entire controller working on the omnibot, to reduce the need for students having to run ros nodes on their machine (again, ROS platform overhead).
- Having the entire controller running on the PI, we want to reduce any unnecessary overhead. Static values should constants, not functions running continuously.

NOTE

Upfront it makes sense to use a callback to run a script to set those params (one time thing) then our simulink only GETs the params (on every loop)

Or some variation of the above workflow^^^