## **Deepfake Detection Report**

Date: 2024-09-20

Time: 00:01:20

Prepared by: DeepTracers

Contact: deeptracers@gmail.com

### 1. Executive Summary

This report provides an analysis of the detected deepfake image, outlining the detection process, results, and implications for cybersecurity and digital forensics.

### 2. Objectives

- Accuracy: Maintain a high detection accuracy rate across various media formats.
- Speed: Ensure rapid processing and analysis with low latency.
- User Interface: Provide an easy-to-use interface for professionals.
- Reporting: Generate detailed reports when deepfakes are detected.

### 3. Methodology

Model Used: InceptionResnetV1 & VisionTransformer.

Detection Process: Employed MTCNN for face detection followed by Grad-CAM for visualization.

Image Input: fake3.png - processed and analyzed for deepfake detection.

#### 4. Detection Results

Prediction: fake

Confidence Scores:

Real: 0.00

Fake: 1.00

## 5. Grad-CAM Visualization

# Original Image:



**Grad-CAM Visualization:** 



# 6. System Performance

Processing Time: 1.23 seconds.

Latency: 100 milliseconds.

## 7. Reporting and Alerts

	U	pon	detection	of a	deep	fake:
--	---	-----	-----------	------	------	-------

Report Generated: Yes

Details Included:

Prediction: fake

Confidence Scores:

Real: 0.00

Fake: 1.00

Nature of Manipulation: N/A

### 8. Ethical Considerations

Discussed privacy concerns and compliance with legal guidelines related to data usage.

#### 9. Limitations

Acknowledge any limitations of the detection process or model performance.

### 10. Conclusion

This report concludes that the detected image is classified as fake with a confidence score of 1.00.

The Grad-CAM visualization indicates key features highlighted in the detection process.