# Proof Techniques Introduction to Engineering Mathematics

Prof. Joris Vankerschaver

#### Overview

- Logical statements
  - Implication
  - Equivalence
  - Single statement
- Proof techniques
  - Direct proof
  - Proof by contraposition
  - 3 Proof by contradiction
  - 4 Case enumeration
  - 6 Induction

## Proving an implication

- ullet "If p is true, then q is also true."
- Notation:  $p \Rightarrow q$

#### For example:

- If n is an odd number, then 2n is an even number.
- If it rains, then the ground gets wet.

#### Caveat

 $p \Rightarrow q$  does not mean that  $q \Rightarrow p!$ 

#### For example:

- If the ground is wet, it doesn't necessarily mean that it's raining.
- For n=10, 2n=20 is even, but 10 is not odd.

## Technique 1: direct proof

- Start from p, then work your way to q.
- This is how we've constructed most proofs so far.

#### Example:

- In words: For each positive real number x, there exists a real number y such that y(y+1)=x.
- Mathematically:  $\forall x > 0 \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \exists y \in \mathbb{R} : y(y+1) = x$ .

## Technique 2: Proof by contraposition

- "If p then q" is logically equivalent to "if not q then not p".
- Start from "not q", work towards "not p".
- Mind the direction of the implication!

Example: Show that if  $n^2$  is even (for n a natural number), then n is also even.

## Caveat: negating a logical statement

De Morgan's laws:

- not (p and q) = (not p) or (not q)
- not (p or q) = (not p) and (not q)

Example: Show that if  $x^2 \neq 1$ , then  $x \neq \pm 1$ .

## Technique 3: Proof by contradiction

- Assume that q is not true, start from p, and work towards a contradiction.
- If a contradiction is found, our starting assumption must have been false, and therefore q is true.

Example: Prove that if  $x^2 = 2x$  and  $x \neq 0$ , then x = 2.

## Proving an equivalence

- "p holds if and only if (iff) q holds."
- Notation:  $p \Leftrightarrow q$

Proving an equivalence means proving two implications:  $p \Rightarrow q$  and  $q \Rightarrow p.$ 

Example: Prove that  $n^2$  is even if and only if n is even.

## Proving a single statement

Example: Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

## Technique 4: Proof by case enumeration

- Split statement into subcases, prove each case separately.
- Don't forget any subcases!

Example: Show that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , |xy| = |x||y|.

## Technique 5: Proof by induction

- Prove that a statement P(n) holds for every natural number n.
- Proceeds in two steps:
  - Prove a base case, usually P(1).
  - $\bullet$  Prove the induction step: if P(k) holds, then P(k+1) holds too.

Example: Show that the sum of the first n numbers is equal to  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ :

$$1+2+3+\cdots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

## Example

Show that the sum of the first n odd numbers is equal to  $n^2$ :

$$1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2.$$

#### Exam problem

10. For a homework assignment, a student has to come up with a proof by contraposition for the following theorem: For all integers n, if n² is even, then n is also even. As she is running out of time, she asks ChatGPT, an advanced AI model, to come up with a proof for her. Unfortunately, the proof provided by ChatGPT contains a number of errors.

Read the proposed proof below.

(a) Indicate which proof steps are incorrect, and describe why.

[6 marks]

(b) Provide a corrected proof by contraposition.

[6 marks]

Proposed proof by contraposition:

- Step 1. Assume that  $n^2$  is odd. We will show that n is also odd.
- Step 2. Since  $n^2$  is odd, we can say that  $n^2 1$  is even.
- Step 3. Factoring, we get that (n+1)(n-1) is even.
- Step 4. Since the product of any two even numbers is also even, we can conclude that both n+1 and n-1 are even.
- Step 5. Since n-1 is even, n is odd.

# Proof technique X: proof by intimidation



# Proof technique Y: proof by bluffing



#### new proof technique just dropped: bluffing

tain a .801-approximation algorithm for MAX 3SAT. The best result that could be obtained previously, by combining the technique of [5, 6] and the bound of [3], was .7704. (This is not mentioned explicitly anywhere but why would we lie. See also the .769-approximation algorithm in the paper of Ono, Hirata, and Asano [8].)

Finally, our reductions have implications for probabilistically checkable proof systems. Let  $PCP_{c,s}[\log,q]$  be the class of languages that admit membership proofs that can be checked by a probabilistic verifier that