

Open economy macroeconomics

John Kramer – University of Copenhagen

December 2024

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN



Second half so far

Pricing frictions

- Monopolistic competition leads to welfare losses
- Sticky/Rigid prices allow the central bank to influence output
- Taylor, Fischer, Calvo

Expectations matter

- Expected "shocks" do not affect output
- Prices adjust to keep output constant

The New Keynesian model

- Three equations to rule the world: PC, IS, TR
- Useful model of the world
- Heterogeneity matters for aggregate movements

Optimal monetary policy

- Central banks may want to neutralize demand, but not supply shocks

Today: change of topic!

Small open economy

- Back to **real**-ity: perfect competition


New ground

- What is a small open economy?
- Important concepts: trade balance & current account
- Gains from trade (heterogeneity)

Real exchange rate


- Multiple goods
- Short & long run dynamics

International macro graphs




- Trade balance of the European Union (source: Eurostat)

International macro graphs




- Current account as percentage of GDP (source: [External wealth of nations](#))

International macro graphs



- Net foreign asset position (source: [External wealth of nations](#))

International macro graphs



- Exchange rate Euro vs Dollar (source: [Fred](#))

The small open economy

Open economy

- Markets don't clear internally anymore
- On the world level there is market clearing: $\mathbf{k}_{t+1} = \mathbf{a}_{t+1}$, but not in each country
- Goods, capital and assets can be exchanged

Small open economy

- Saving decisions do not affect the world interest rate
- Only focus on home country today, everything else is the rest of the world (ROTW)

Exchange rates

- There is only one good and no money, utility the same everywhere
- An apple is worth the same everywhere \implies real exchange rate is 1

Neoclassical open economy I

Representative consumer

$$\max_{c_t, a_{t+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

$$\text{s.t. } a_{t+1} + c_t = a_t R_t + w_t$$

- Capital does not show up directly
- $R_t = 1 + r_t - \delta$
- r_t is world interest rate, exogenous to home country
- Capital can move freely across borders

Optimality

$$u'(c_t) = \beta R_{t+1} u'(c_{t+1})$$

Neoclassical open economy II

Representative firm

$$\max_{K_t, L_t} f(K_t, L_t) - r_t K_t - w_t L_t$$

- Firms are completely standard
- r_t is the world interest rate

Optimality

$$f_K(k_t, 1) = r_t \quad (\leftarrow k_t \text{ is pinned down exogenously})$$

$$f_L(k_t, 1) = w_t$$

- Without technological progress and constant r_t , everything is constant

New concepts

Trade balance: Exports - Imports (flow)

$$tb_t = \underbrace{f(k_t, 1) - c_t}_{y_t} - \underbrace{(k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t)}_{i_t}$$

- In a closed economy, production y equals consumption and investment, because markets clear internally
- Not true in the open economy \implies not all output has to be consumed at home

Net foreign assets (stock)

$$N_t = a_t - k_t$$

- Not all assets need to be held at home
- Difference between demand a_t and supply k_t must be held in the rest of the world (ROTW)

The current account

Total goods received from/sent to ROTW

$$ca_t = \underbrace{tb_t}_{\text{trade}} + \underbrace{r_t N_t}_{\text{interest}} - \underbrace{\delta N_t}_{\text{depreciation}}$$

- The current account is a flow, just like the trade balance

Link between net foreign asset position and current account

- If the current account is positive, a country produced more (y_t) than it used (c_t, i_t)
- This overproduction has to be stored somewhere \implies more foreign asset savings


Net foreign asset dynamics – Algebra

$$\begin{aligned}ca_t &= tb_t + r_t N_t - \delta N_t \\&= f(k_t, 1) - c_t - (k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) + (r_t - \delta)N_t \\&= f(k_t, 1) - a_t R_t - w_t + a_{t+1} - (k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) + (r_t - \delta)N_t \\&= f(k_t, 1) - w_t - a_t R_t + \underbrace{a_{t+1} - k_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)k_t}_{N_{t+1}} + (r_t - \delta)N_t \\&= f(k_t, 1) - w_t - a_t R_t + N_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)k_t + \underbrace{(r_t - \delta)N_t}_{(R_t - 1)(a_t - k_t)} \\&= f(k_t, 1) - w_t - a_t R_t + N_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)k_t + R_t(a_t - k_t) - N_t \\&= f(k_t, 1) - w_t + N_{t+1} - \underbrace{(R_t - 1 + \delta)k_t}_{r_t} - N_t \\&= f(k_t, 1) - w_t - r_t k_t + N_{t+1} - N_t \\&= N_{t+1} - N_t \\&= \Delta N_{t+1}\end{aligned}$$

Net foreign asset dynamics – Data

Kursstigning på Novo Nordisk-aktier trak ned

Mia. kr.



Anm.: Ændringen i udlandsformuen fra kursændringer på Novo Nordisk-aktier, øvrige danske børsnoterede aktier og udenlandske børsnoterede aktier. Betalingsbalancen er overskud på betalingsbalancens løbende poster. "Andet" indeholder ændringer i udlandsformuen fra øvrige prisændringer, valutakursændringer og andre mængdemæssige ændringer, på baggrund af revisioner mv. [Find data i Statistikbanken.](#)

- Danish NFA position (source: [Nationalbanken](#))

Asset accumulation

- Asset accumulation is governed by the representative household's Euler equation and their budget constraint

$$u'(c_t) = \beta R_t u'(c_{t+1})$$

$$a_{t+1} + c_t = a_t R_t + w_t$$

Capital

- The amount of capital is governed by the firm's investment choice

$$f_K(k_t, 1) = r_t$$

- There is no resource constraint ($k_{t+1} = k_t(1 - \delta) + f(k_t, 1) - c_t$) within the economy
- The interest rate is **not endogenous**

Consumption in equilibrium

Solve the budget constraint forward

$$\begin{aligned}c_t &= \underbrace{a_t R_t}_{\text{init. wealth}} + w_t - a_{t+1} \\&= a_t R_t + w_t - \left(\frac{a_{t+2} + c_{t+1} - w_{t+1}}{R_{t+1}} \right) \\c_t + \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^T R_{t+j}} a_{t+T} &= a_t R_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{w_{t+s}}{\prod_{j=0}^s R_{t+j}} - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{t+s}}{\prod_{j=0}^s R_{t+j}}\end{aligned}$$

Consumption in equilibrium

Solve the budget constraint forward

$$\begin{aligned}c_t &= \underbrace{a_t R_t}_{\text{init. wealth}} + w_t - a_{t+1} \\&= a_t R_t + w_t - \left(\frac{a_{t+2} + c_{t+1} - w_{t+1}}{R_{t+1}} \right) \\c_t + \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^T R_{t+j}} a_{t+T} &= a_t R_t + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{w_{t+s}}{\prod_{j=0}^s R_{t+j}} - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{t+s}}{\prod_{j=0}^s R_{t+j}}\end{aligned}$$

- Assume a transversality condition: $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^T R_{t+j}} a_{t+T} = 0$
- Assume $R_t = R = \frac{1}{\beta} \implies c$ is constant [Euler eq]

$$c = \rho a_t R + \rho \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{w_{t+s}}{R^s} \quad \text{where } \rho = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R^s} \right)^{-1} = \frac{R-1}{R}$$

- Consumption depends on lifetime wealth (perfect smoothing)

An open endowment economy

Setup

- Assume the home economy cannot accumulate capital: $k_t = 0$
- Assume that workers receive a (time varying) endowment ω_t

Implications

- The net foreign asset position is $N_t = a_t$
- Let permanent income be $\tilde{\omega} = \rho \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_s}{R^s}$
- The period budget constraint is $c_t = N_t R - N_{t+1} + \omega_t$

$$N_t R - N_{t+1} + \omega_t = \rho R N_t + \tilde{\omega}$$


$$N_t R(1 - \rho) - N_{t+1} = \tilde{\omega} - \omega_t$$

$$ca_t = N_{t+1} - N_t = \omega_t - \tilde{\omega}$$

⇒ Perfect insurance **against temporary shocks**, even without domestic capital to save in

Empirical evidence

The current account



The trade balance

Permanent income consumers

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{R^s} = N_t R + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{t+s}}{R^s}$$

Trade balance in the endowment economy

$$tb_t = \omega_t - c$$

Can a country run a trade-deficit ($tb_t < 0$) forever?

The trade balance

Permanent income consumers

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{R^s} = N_t R + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{t+s}}{R^s}$$

Trade balance in the endowment economy

$$tb_t = \omega_t - c$$

Can a country run a trade-deficit ($tb_t < 0$) forever?

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{R^s} - \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{t+s}}{R^s} &= N_t R \\ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{tb_{t+s}}{R^s} &= -N_t R \end{aligned}$$

- Only rich countries can run deficits for long periods of time
- If $a_t < 0$, then the country must eventually run trade surpluses

The trade balance over time

Consumption (from before, constant)


$$c = \underbrace{\rho N_t R}_{\text{perm. inc.}} + \rho \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{w_{t+s}}{R^s} \quad \tilde{\omega}$$

Trade balance in the endowment economy

$$tb_t = \omega_t - \rho N_t R_t - \tilde{\omega}$$

- The trade balance is **procyclical**
- When current income ω_t is higher than permanent income, the economy exports more
- If country is initially rich, it can import more

Trade balance of the euro area



The real exchange rate

Exchange rate determination

- So far, there is only one consumption good that all countries consume
 - Hence, the real exchange rate between the home country and the ROTW is one
- ⇒ To talk about exchange rates, we need at least two goods

Extending the model

Exchange rate determination

- So far, there is only one consumption good that all countries consume
- Hence, the real exchange rate between the home country and the ROTW is one

⇒ To talk about exchange rates, we need at least two goods

Multiple goods (produced in home country)

- Assume that there is a tradable good c^T and a non-tradable good c^N
- For c^N , the home market clears, c^T is traded internationally
- Households consume both goods such that $c_t = g(c^T, c^N)$
- Let p_t be the price of c^N , while the price of $c^T = 1$.
- \mathcal{P}_t is the price index for a unit of the consumption aggregate c_t

Household problem

$$\max_{c_t^T, c_t^N, a_{t+1}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(g(c_t^N, c_t^T))$$
$$a_{t+1} + \underbrace{\mathcal{P}_t c_t}_{c_t^T + p_t c_t^N} = a_t R_t + \omega_t^T + p_t \omega_t^N$$

- \mathcal{P}_t is the real exchange rate (price of c_t at home rel. to ROTW)
- Households choose how much to save (as before)
- Pick how much of each good to buy
- R_t is exogenous (as before)

Optimization

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c(c_t^N, c_t^T)) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t (a_t R_t + \omega_t^T + p_t \omega_t^N - c_t^T - p_t c_t^N - a_{t+1})$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(g(c_t^N, c_t^T)) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t \left(a_t R_t + \omega_t^T + p_t \omega_t^N - \underbrace{c_t^T - p_t c_t^N}_{\mathcal{P}_t c_t} - a_{t+1} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_{t+1}} : \lambda_t = \lambda_{t+1} R_{t+1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t^T} : \beta^t u'(c_t) g_T(c_t^N, c_t^T) = \lambda_t$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t^N} : \beta^t u'(c_t) g_N(c_t^N, c_t^T) = \lambda_t p_t$$

Optimality conditions

$$u'(c_t) g_T(c_t^N, c_t^T) = \beta R_{t+1} u'(c_{t+1}) g_T(c_{t+1}^N, c_{t+1}^T)$$

$$p_t = \frac{g_N(c_t^N, c_t^T)}{g_T(c_t^N, c_t^T)}$$

$$u'(c_t) = \beta R_{t+1} \frac{\mathcal{P}_t}{\mathcal{P}_{t+1}} u'(c_{t+1})$$

Optimality conditions

$$u'(c_t)g_T(c_t^N, c_t^T) = \beta R_t u'(c_{t+1})g_T(c_{t+1}^N, c_{t+1}^T)$$

$$p_t = \frac{g_N(c_t^N, c_t^T)}{g_T(c_t^N, c_t^T)} \quad (MU(c_N)/MU(c_T))$$

$$u'(c_t) = \beta R_{t+1} \frac{\mathcal{P}_t}{\mathcal{P}_{t+1}} u'(c_{t+1})$$

- Consumption c_t follows an IS-curve \implies marginal utility is related across periods
- $\frac{\mathcal{P}_{t+1}}{\mathcal{P}_t}$ represents changes in the real exchange rate
- $\mathcal{P}_t(p_t) \uparrow \implies$ appreciation, consume less today
- p_t (price of c^N in terms of c_T) is dictated by the marginal rate of substitution

Equilibrium

- Steady state: set $R = 1/\beta$ and fix non-tradeable wage $\omega_t^N = \omega^N$

Market clearing

- Non-tradables have to clear within the country $\implies \omega^N = c^N$

$$u'(c_t)c_T(\omega^N, c_t^T) = \beta R u'(c_{t+1})c_T(\omega^N, c_{t+1}^T)$$

$$p_t = \frac{c_N(\omega^N)}{c_T(\omega^N, c_t^T)}$$

$$c_t^T = \rho N_t R + \rho \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{t+s}^T}{R^s}$$

\implies Tradable consumption is higher for richer countries (more net foreign assets or larger future tradeable endowment)

Equilibrium

- Steady state: set $R = 1/\beta$ and fix non-tradeable wage $\omega_t^N = \omega^N$

Market clearing

- Non-tradables have to clear within the country $\implies \omega^N = c^N$

$$u'(c_t)c_T(\omega^N, c_t^T) = \beta R u'(c_{t+1})c_T(\omega^N, c_{t+1}^T)$$

$$p_t = \frac{c_N(\omega^N)}{c_T(\omega^N, c_t^T)}$$

$$c_t^T = \rho N_t R + \rho \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{t+s}^T}{R^s}$$

- \implies Tradable consumption is higher for richer countries (more net foreign assets or larger future tradeable endowment)
- \implies Richer countries want to consume more, but c^N is fixed in the short run $\implies \mathcal{P}_t(p_t)$ higher

Setup

- Agent values croissants with Nutella
- Croissants are not tradeable: have to be eaten fast
- Nutella is perfectly tradeable
- Endowments of croissants and Nutella are fixed

Model implications


- Countries with Nutella want to eat a lot of croissants
- tradeables and non-tradeables are complements
- Croissants get more expensive (marg. utility is high)
- Life in general becomes more expensive in (Nutella) rich countries

Empirical real exchange rate

The Big Mac index

30 Years Big Mac Index

Global prices for a Big Mac in selected countries in 2016



@StatistaCharts Sources: IMF, McDonald's, Thomson Reuters, The Economist

statista

The longer run

Short run vs long run

Long run adjustments

- In the short run, non-tradable production may be fixed
- Over time, factors of production will realign to exploit price differences

⇒ Move away from endowment assumption

Short run vs long run

Long run adjustments

- In the short run, non-tradable production may be fixed
- Over time, factors of production will realign to exploit price differences

⇒ Move away from endowment assumption

Two goods

$$Y^T = A_t^T F(K_t^T, L_t^T)$$
$$Y^N = A_t^N F(K_t^N, L_t^N)$$

- Capital is perfectly mobile across the world (returns R_t)
- Labor is mobile within the home country, with $L_t^T + L_t^N = 1$
- As before, relative price of tradable good is p_t

Competitive Equilibrium

First order conditions

$$r_t = A_t^T f'_K(k_t^T)$$

$$r_t = p_t A_t^N f'_K(k_t^N)$$

$$w_t = A_t^T f'_L(k_t^T)$$

$$w_t = p_t A_t^N f'_L(k_t^N)$$

- The tradable sector is the numeraire, non-tradable goods have to be transformed at price p_t
- Competitive firms make sure that cost of capital R_t is equal to the marginal benefit
- Small open economy $\implies R_t$ and r_t are exogenous
- Wages (measured in tradable goods) equate to the MPL

Determinants of the RER in the long-run

First order conditions

$$r_t = A_t^T f'_K(k_t^T)$$

$$r_t = p_t A_t^N f'_K(k_t^N)$$

$$w_t = A_t^T f'_L(k_t^T)$$

$$w_t = p_t A_t^N f'_L(k_t^N)$$

Intuition – an increase in A_t^T

Determinants of the RER in the long-run

First order conditions

$$r_t = A_t^T f'_K(k_t^T)$$

$$r_t = p_t A_t^N f'_K(k_t^N)$$

$$w_t = A_t^T f'_L(k_t^T)$$

$$w_t = p_t A_t^N f'_L(k_t^N)$$

Intuition – an increase in A_t^T

- An increase in A^T drives factors of production towards tradables
- This raises wages in both sectors
- p_t or $f'_L(k_t^N)$ have to rise, but they cannot move in opposite directions because r_t is constant
- p_t rises \implies RER rises

Determinants of the RER in the long-run – Algebra

Start from zero profit conditions

$$A_t^T f(k_t^T) = w_t + k_t^T r_t$$

$$p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N) = w_t + k_t^N r_t$$

Total derivatives $f(k_t^T) + A_t^T \frac{df(k_t^T)}{dk_t^T} \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T} = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + \frac{dk_t^T}{dA_t^T} r_t$

$$A_t^N f(k_t^N) \frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T} + A_t^N \frac{df(k_t^N)}{dk_t^N} \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T} p_t = \frac{dw_t}{dA_t^T} + \frac{dk_t^N}{dA_t^T} r_t$$

$$\implies \frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T} = \frac{f(k_t^T)}{A_t^N f(k_t^N)} \implies \frac{A_t^T}{p_t} \frac{dp_t}{dA_t^T} = \frac{A_t^T f(k_t^T)}{p_t A_t^N f(k_t^N)}$$

- Tradable productivity increases the RER (through a rise in the price of non-tradable goods p_t)
- Countries with higher tradable productivity should have higher RERs (Harrod-Balassa-Samuelson)

Gains from trade

Trade is good, right?

The benefits of trade

- Ricardo says: trade is always good!
- Trade in assets can allow for more risk sharing
- Capital can flow to its most productive uses
- Consumption increases \implies higher welfare

Complications

- Heterogeneity
- Unequal gains from trade

Two period model

Budget constraints

$$c_0 = f(k_0) - \underbrace{(k_0 - a_0)r_0}_{N_0} + a_0(1 - \delta) - a_1$$

$$c_1 = f(k_1) - (k_1 - a_1)r_1 + a_1(1 - \delta)$$

Households

$$\max_{c_0, c_1, a_1} u(c_0) + \beta u(c_1)$$

- If the economy is closed, $N_t = 0$
- Production functions are the same across the world

$$\max_{c_0, c_1, k_1} u(c_0) + \beta u(c_1)$$


First order condition in the closed economy

$$u'(c_0) = \beta(1 + f'(k_1) - \delta)u'(c_1)$$

First order condition in the small open economy

$$u'(c_0) = \beta(1 + r_t - \delta)u'(c_1)$$

- For the closed economy, the country-specific interest rate equals the country's marginal product of capital
- If the economy opens up, its savings pay the world interest rate (because capital is perfectly mobile)



- Opening up always increases welfare
- If $r < f'(k_t)$, cheap capital flows into the economy, raising output
- If $r > f'(k_t)$, domestic capital moves abroad and earns higher return (home output falls)

Heterogeneity/Inequality

Capitalists and workers

- Some agents own the firms and the capital
- The rest just work and collect wages (no saving)

Capitalists (can save \implies on their Euler equation)

$$c_0^K = f(k_0) - \underbrace{(k_0 - a_0)r_0}_{N_0} + a_0(1 - \delta) - w_0 - a_1$$


$$c_1^K = f(k_1) - (k_1 - a_1)r_1 + a_1(1 - \delta) - w_1$$

Workers (live hand-to-mouth)

$$c_0^W = w_0$$

$$c_1^W = w_1$$

Gains from trade with heterogeneity



- If $r < f'(k_t)$, cheap capital flows into the economy, raising labor productivity \implies higher wages
 - If $r > f'(k_t)$, domestic capital moves abroad \implies home wages fall
- \implies Distributional aspects matter!

Heterogeneity matters for outcomes

Gains from trade

- Even small changes in the model lead to different conclusions
- Depending on the shares of workers/capitalists, opening an economy to the ROTW can have positive or negative effects on welfare
- Capital controls have the opposite effect
- **World interest rate shocks** also have heterogeneous effects

Beyond economics

- A social planner would redistribute resources such that trade is always beneficial
- How realistic this scenario is depends on the political environment (very much beyond the scope of this lecture)

World real interest rate

US 10-year interest rate



Conclusion

The small open economy

- Same setup as the closed economy but without internal market clearing
- Interest rates are given from abroad \implies MPK externally determined
- Allows discussion of current account and trade balance

Implications

- External assets allow an economy access to insurance
- Current account and trade balance are procyclical
- The real exchange rate is determined by future permanent income in the short run
- In the long run, productivity differences matter

Gains from trade

- For the representative agent, trade is always good
- Inequality makes the conclusion more difficult