

Masterclass Assignment: Real-time Global Illumination

Joe Withers*

Abstract

This document details the approach I took to complete the Master-class assignment, evaluates the results, and lists possible improvements I could make in the future.

1 Introduction

For this assignment I decided to implement the technique *Interactive Indirect Illumination using voxel cone tracing* [Crassin et al. 2011], commonly referred to as VXGI, to achieve realtime global illumination. This technique achieves real-time global illumination rendering the directly illuminated scene geometry into a three-dimensional texture, which can be sampled in a deferred shading pass using cone tracing to calculate accurate indirect diffuse and specular lighting terms.

2 Method

I used C++ and OpenGL for this assignment, utilising the NCCA Graphics Library NGL [Macey 2014] to interact with OpenGL, and Qt5 to create the user interface. I based my implementation around a demo of the Sponza atrium using NGL[Macey 2017], that although is quite old, saved me from spending a lot of time writing a grouped Wavefront OBJ and MTL file parser. I extended upon this demo by converting it to use deferred shading, which is necessary for VXGI and other post process effects, using tutorial material from LearnOpenGL[Vries 2016].

The first step of implementing VXGI was to create a voxelized representation of the albedo and surface normal information in the scene. The method used in the source material[Crassin et al. 2011] is explained in great detail in an OpenGL Insights chapter titled *Octree-Based Sparse Voxelization Using the GPU Hardware Rasterizer* [Crassin and Green 2012], but the basic concept is as followed:

1. Generate three projection matrices for orthogonal projections, covering the scene geometry equally, and aligned to each of the coordinate axes.
2. For each triangle, determine which of the coordinate axes is most aligned with the surface normal, thus generating the maximum number of fragments when transformed using the corresponding projection matrix for that axis.
- 3.

3 Results

4 Future Improvements

Unfortunately I wasn't able to implement a sparse data structure as described in the source material [Crassin et al. 2011] before the assignment deadline. Having a sparse data structure to store the emissive voxel texture would save a significant amount of GPU memory consumption, allowing the resolution for voxelization to be much higher; currently dense 3D textures it is capable of hitting the 2 gigabyte memory limit of my GPU.




Figure 1: Three screenshots showing the full scene with a voxel resolution of 512^3 .




Figure 2: A screenshot showing just the direct lighting pass.



Figure 3: A screenshot showing just the indirect lighting pass.

* e-mail: joewithers96@gmail.com



Figure 4: A screenshot showing just the reflection pass.




Figure 5: A screenshot showing just the reflection pass, but with the minimum cone angle.

The speed at which lighting rays are marched during the light injection pass would also be improved, as it would be able to step further through the voxel texture whilst ray marching if the higher levels in the octree are known to be empty.

I did start working on a sparse voxel octree as described in OpenGL Insights [Crassin and Green 2012] by using an OpenGL Atomic Counter for calculating the number of fragments needed for the voxel fragment list, and I believe I understand the technique well enough should I wish to implement it in the future.

The source material [Crassin et al. 2011] also describes a method for anisotropic mip-mapping of the 3D texture, storing a version of the texture for each directional axis, which improves visual accuracy when cone tracing. Whilst I could have implemented this with the dense 3D textures I was using, I opted to use OpenGL's built in mip-mapping to save time.




Figure 7: A screenshot showing banding artefacts in the reflection pass.

Voxel Resolution	256^3	512^3	768^3
Frames per second	60	60	60
Memory usage	1063MiB	2615MiB	6628MiB
Voxelisation	2ms	2ms	2ms
Light Injection	65ms	378.5ms	12294.5ms
Shading	4.5ms	4.5ms	4.5ms

Figure 6: Averaged performance results when running with a NVIDIA GTX 1080 8GB at 2560x1080.



Figure 8: A screenshot showing noise in the indirect lighting.

References

CRASSIN, C., AND GREEN, S. 2012. Octree-based sparse voxelization using the gpu hardware rasterizer. *OpenGL Insights*,




Figure 9: A screenshot showing voxelization artefacts.

303.

CRASSIN, C., NEYRET, F., SAINZ, M., GREEN, S., AND EISEMANN, E. 2011. Interactive indirect illumination using voxel cone tracing. *Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games on - I3D 11*.

MACEY, J., 2014. Ngl the ncca graphics library. <https://github.com/NCCA/NGL>.

MACEY, J., 2017. Glsl physically based rendering - sponza demo. <https://github.com/NCCA/PBR/tree/master/PBRSponza>.

VRIES, J. D., 2016. Deferred shading. <https://learnopengl.com/#!Advanced-Lighting/Deferred-Shading>. 5 May 2017.