

①

$$Z_t = X_t - \hat{\beta} Y_t$$

↓
Residuals

↓
cointegration coefficient (from OLS)

If Z_t is **stationary** (The ADF test rejects the null hypothesis)

⇒ series are cointegrated.

← spread's rolling mean

$$Z\text{-Score}_t = \frac{Z_t - \mu_Z}{\sigma_Z}$$

σ_Z ← standard deviation

$Z\text{-score} > +Z\text{-threshold}$: sell signal
(θ_{in})
short the overvalued
buy the undervalued

$Z\text{-score} < -Z\text{-threshold}$: buy signal
($-\theta_{in}$)
long the underpriced
short the overpriced

$|Z\text{-score}| < \theta_{out}$: close position.

In the code : $\theta_{in} = 1.5$, $\theta_{out} = 0.5$
Enter Exit

② If Θ_{in} is set too high: the model wait for extremely pronounced divergences

If Θ_{in} is too low: may engage in frequent, low-quality trades

If Θ_{out} too close to 0: may exit trades prematurely

If higher Θ_{out} : increasing the risk of missed reversal signal or persistent losses

③ How to optimize parameters?

Training Period	Validation Period	Test Period
-----------------	-------------------	-------------

↳ Optional, preventing overfitting to the train set.

Objective function: maximizing cumulative returns.
over the Training period.

Training period

Grid Search

$\theta_{in} \in \{1.0, 1.1, \dots, 2.5\}$

$\theta_{out} \in \{0.0, 0.1, \dots, 1.0\}$

$$16 \times 11 = 176$$

Check which combination of θ_{in} and θ_{out} has the highest cumulative profit.

Bayesian Optimization (Optuna)

① Try a few points randomly

$(\theta_{in}, \theta_{out})$	Return
(1.8, 0.6)	5%
(1.2, 0.3)	8% ✓
(2.2, 0.8)	3%

It seems lower θ_{in} and θ_{out} perform better
Next focus on the region
(1.0~1.5, 0.1~0.5)

② (1.3, 0.4)	8.5%
(1.1, 0.4)	9.2% ✓

Abandon the poorly performing regions

③ (1.5, 0.6)
Occasionally try other regions

(1.0, 0.1)
Try boundary testing.

Validation Period

If these parameters also produce favorable results in the validation periods, this indicates **robustness**, the chosen thresholds are not simply an artifact of overfitting to the past, and Generalized well.

④ However, parameter optimization is not always the best option. The example in paper obtained the same Cumulative return (5.2%) using optimized parameters ($\theta_{in}=1.42$, $\theta_{out}=0.37$) and fixed parameters ($\theta_{in}=2$, $\theta_{out}=1$). However, the other statistics were higher.

In conclusion, maybe choose not to optimize? (3.4)