Bugs Framework (BF)

COMPSAC 2021 July 25, 2021



Agenda



- Terminology:
 - Bug, Weakness
 - Vulnerability
 - Failure
- Existing Repositories:
 - o CWE
 - o CVE
 - \circ NVD

- The Bugs Framework (BF)
 - Goals
 - Features
- Example Heartbleed
- Potential Impacts

Terminology

Bug, Weakness, Vulnerability, Failure



- Software Bug:
 - A coding error
 - Needs to be fixed
- Software Weakness difficult to define:
 - Caused by a bug or ill-formed data
 - Weakness Type a meaningful notion!
- Software Vulnerability:
 - An instance of a weakness type that leads to a security failure
 - May have several underlying weaknesses

Existing Repositories

Commonly Used Repositories



- Weaknesses:
 - CWE Common Weakness Enumeration
- Vulnerabilities:
 - CVE Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures
 - \rightarrow over 18 000 documented in 2020
- Linking weaknesses to vulnerabilities CWEs to CVEs:
 - NVD National Vulnerabilities Database

Repository Problems



- 1. Imprecise Descriptions CWE & CVE
- 2. Unclear Causality CWE & CVE
- 3. Gaps in Coverage CWE
- 4. Overlaps in Coverage CWE

Problem #1: Imprecise Descriptions



• Example:

CWE-502: Deserialization of Untrusted Data:
The application deserializes untrusted data without sufficiently verifying that the resulting data will be valid.

- Unclear what "sufficiently" means,
- "verifying that data is valid" is also confusing

Problem #2: Unclear Causality



• Example:

CVE-2018-5907

Possible buffer overflow in msm_adsp_stream_callback_put due to lack of input validation of user-provided data that leads to integer overflow in all Android releases (Android for MSM, Firefox OS for MSM, QRD Android) from CAF using the Linux kernel.

→ the NVD label is CWE-190

While the CWEs chain is: $CWE-20 \rightarrow CWE-190 \rightarrow CWE-119$

Problems #3, #4: Gaps/Overlaps in Coverage



• Example:

CWEs coverage of buffer overflow by:

- ✓ Read/ Write
- ✓ Over/ Under
- √ Stack/ Heap


	Over	Under	Either End	Stack	Heap
Read	CWE-127	CWE-126	CWE-125	+	+
Write	CWE-124	CWE-120	CWE-123 CWE-787	CWE-121	CWE-122
Read/ Write	CWE-786	CWE-788	+	+	+

The Bugs Framework (BF)

BF Goals



1. Solve the problems of imprecise descriptions and unclear causality




2. Solve the problems of gaps and overlaps in coverage

BF Features – Clear Causal Descriptions



- BF describes a bug/weakness as:
 - An improper stateand
 - Its transition
- Improper State –
 a tuple (operation, operand₁, ..., operand_n)
 , where at least one element is improper
- Transition –
 the result of the operation over the operands




Final State – the Failure – caused by a final error


results in


BF Features – Chaining Weaknesses




- BF describes a vulnerability as:
 - A chain of improper states and their transitions
 - States change until a failure is reached










BF Features – Causes and Consequences



- How to find the Bug?
- Go backwards by operand until an operation is a cause




Initial State – caused by the Bug
– the operation is improper

Intermediate State – caused by ill-formed data
– at least one operand is improper

Final State – the Failure
– caused by a final error

BF Features – Converging Vulnerabilities





BF Features – Classification



- BF Class a taxonomic category of a weakness type, defined by:
 - A set of operations
 - All valid cause → consequence relations
 - A set of attributes


BF – Bugs Models



Example:

The BF Memory Bugs Model:


- Four phases, corresponding to the BF memory bugs classes:
 MAD, MAL, MUS, MDL
- Memory operations flow




BF Classes – Examples




Data Verification Bugs (DVR)



Memory Addressing Bugs (MAD)



Memory Use Bugs (MUS)



BF – Defined



- BF is a ...
 - > Structured
 - ➤ Complete
 - ➤ Orthogonal
 - ➤ Language independent

classification of software bugs and weaknesses


BF Example – Description of Heartbleed


Heartbleed (CVE-2014-0160)



<u>CVE-2014-0160</u> The (1) TLS and (2) DTLS implementations in OpenSSL 1.0.1 before 1.0.1g do not properly handle Heartbeat Extension packets, which allows remote attackers to obtain sensitive information from process memory via crafted packets that trigger a buffer over-read, as demonstrated by reading private keys, related to d1_both.c and t1_lib.c, aka the Heartbleed bug.

```
1448 dtls1 process heartbeat (SSL *s)
1449
1450
       unsigned char *p = &s->s3->rrec.data[0], *pl;
       unsigned short hbtype;
1451
1452
       unsigned int payload;
1453
       unsigned int padding = 16; /* Use minimum padding */
1454
1455
       /* Read type and payload length first */
1456
       hbtype = *p++;
1457
      n2s(p, payload);
1458
       pl = p;
1465
       if (hbtype == TLS1 HB REQUEST)
1466
1467
         unsigned char *buffer, *bp;
          /* Allocate memory for the response, size is 1 byte
1470
1471
          * message type, plus 2 bytes payload, plus
1472
          * payload, plus padding
1473
1474
          buffer = OPENSSL malloc(1 + 2 + payload + padding);
1475
         bp = buffer;
1476
1477
          /* Enter response type, length and copy payload */
          *bp++ = TLS1 HB RESPONSE;
1478
1479
          s2n(payload, bp);
1480
         memcpy(bp, pl, payload);
```






Caused by ill-formed data


Clear Causality in Heartbleed







BF Description of Heartbleed










BF – Potential Impact

BF – Potential Impacts



- Allow precise communication about software bugs and weaknesses
- Help identify exploit mitigation techniques



Questions

Questions



Irena Bojanova: irena.bojanova@nist.gov

Carlos Galhardo: cegalhardo@inmetro.gov.br



https://samate.nist.gov/BF/