

Data Mining Fundamentals: Final Laboratory Assignment

Due 12/10/17

John M. Warlop

Table of contents #1 => [#2](#) => [#3](#) => [#4](#)

- 1 Choose the area of your preference and create a dataset. For example: actresses/actors, food, movies, sports, music bands, or anything you would like. Create a data file in .arff format (please attach dataset with your submission) containing at least 50 instances, each described by at least 4 attributes, the last attribute containing your preference (or class attribute), e.g.

[Appendix](#)

[songs.arff](#)

```
@relation food
@attribute calories numeric
@attribute taste {sweet, sour, bitter, salty}
@attribute course {appetizer, main, dessert, drink}
@attribute vegetarian {yes, no}
@attribute like_it {yes, no}
@data
100, sweet, dessert, yes, yes%icecream
80, bitter, drink, yes, yes%beer
2, sweet, dessert, yes, no%cake
```

```
@relation songs
@attribute group
@attribute song_name
@attribute length numeric
@attribute genre {rock, new_age, country, alt_rock, jazz}
@attribute decade {1940,1950,1960,1970,1980,1990,2000,2010}
@attribute lead {male, female}
@attribute horns {no,yes}
@attribute keyboard {no,yes}
@attribute flute_harmonica {no,yes}
@attribute stars {1,2,3,4,5}
@data
```

In your own words please describe the dataset. Use data mining to explore and create models to explain the dataset.

This dataset classifies songs that I like. The class attribute is “stars” 5 stars is most liked and 1 star is least liked. This dataset has two string type attributes: group and song.

Create and compare at least 3 algorithms on your data set (ex. decision trees, a classification or an association rule learner, naive Bayes, etc.) For each algorithm evaluate the model and discuss your

findings. What was the performance, is the model relevant, which algorithm can explain your personal liking the best, and observe the generated rules and if they tell you anything interesting? If the model is not good, discuss why and some techniques on how you might improve.

I ran J48, One-R and PART and compared and contrasted them.

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	34	66.6667 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	17	33.3333 %
Kappa statistic	0.5137	
Mean absolute error	0.17	
Root mean squared error	0.2915	
Relative absolute error	60.7333 %	
Root relative squared error	78.4358 %	
Total Number of Instances	51	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	?	?	1
	0.714	0.000	1.000	0.714	0.833	0.827	0.971	0.859	2
	0.857	0.136	0.500	0.857	0.632	0.585	0.912	0.683	3
	0.333	0.056	0.714	0.333	0.455	0.368	0.736	0.514	4
	0.818	0.310	0.667	0.818	0.735	0.504	0.795	0.655	5
Weighted Avg.	0.667	0.169	0.704	0.667	0.652	0.519	0.818	0.645	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
0	5	1	1	0	b = 2
0	0	6	0	1	c = 3
0	0	2	5	8	d = 4
0	0	3	1	18	e = 5

#1-J48

[Appendix](#)

J-48 gave me the best results. My overall goal was to model which songs I liked and which songs I did not like. I believe for this to work more effectively I would need a much bigger dataset(not just 51) and more attributes.

J48 did have a rule that said I like rock, which is true.

One-R also predicted I like rock, which is true.

The PART classifier had a rule that said if it is an 80's song, I'd like it(5 stars) which is true.

Choose **OneR -B 6**

Test options

☐ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set
☒ Cross-validation Folds
☐ Percentage split %

(Nom) class

Result list (right-click for options)

- 21:36:06 - rules.PART
- 21:37:21 - trees.J48
- 21:37:41 - trees.J48
- 21:38:12 - trees.J48
- 21:38:31 - trees.J48
- 21:38:32 - trees.J48
- 21:38:40 - trees.J48
- 21:43:37 - trees.RandomForest
- 21:44:06 - trees.RandomForest
- 21:44:26 - rules.OneR
- 21:45:40 - rules.PART

Classifier output

=== Stratified cross-validation ===
 === Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	30	58.8235 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	21	41.1765 %
Kappa statistic	0.3915	
Mean absolute error	0.1647	
Root mean squared error	0.4058	
Relative absolute error	58.5144 %	
Root relative squared error	108.6612 %	
Total Number of Instances	51	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	?	?	1
	0.857	0.136	0.500	0.857	0.632	0.585	0.860	0.448	2
	0.286	0.023	0.667	0.286	0.400	0.385	0.631	0.289	3
	0.267	0.111	0.500	0.267	0.348	0.195	0.578	0.349	4
	0.818	0.345	0.643	0.818	0.720	0.471	0.737	0.604	5
Weighted Avg.	0.588	0.203	0.585	0.588	0.554	0.394	0.692	0.464	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
0	6	0	1	0	b = 2
0	2	2	2	1	c = 3
0	2	0	4	9	d = 4
0	2	1	1	18	e = 5

#1-One-R

[Appendix](#)

One-R has only 58.8% classification accuracy

Choose

PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1

Test options

☐ Use training set

☐ Supplied test set

Set...

☒ Cross-validation
 Folds

10

☐ Percentage split
 %

66

More options...

(Nom) class

Start

Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

21:36:00 - rules.ZeroR

21:36:06 - rules.PART

21:37:21 - trees.J48

21:37:41 - trees.J48

21:38:12 - trees.J48

21:38:31 - trees.J48

21:38:32 - trees.J48

21:38:40 - trees.J48

21:43:37 - trees.RandomForest

21:44:06 - trees.RandomForest

21:44:26 - rules.OneR

21:45:40 - rules.PART

Classifier output

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances

22

43.1373 %

Incorrectly Classified Instances

29

56.8627 %

Kappa statistic

0.1686

Mean absolute error

0.2219

Root mean squared error

0.3885

Relative absolute error

78.8457 %

Root relative squared error

104.0152 %

Total Number of Instances

51

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	?	?	1
	0.429	0.068	0.500	0.429	0.462	0.385	0.752	0.501	2
	0.286	0.114	0.286	0.286	0.286	0.172	0.747	0.428	3
	0.200	0.333	0.200	0.200	0.200	-0.133	0.489	0.325	4
	0.636	0.310	0.609	0.636	0.622	0.324	0.707	0.572	5
Weighted Avg.	0.431	0.257	0.429	0.431	0.430	0.177	0.654	0.470	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
0	3	2	2	0	b = 2
0	1	2	4	0	c = 3
0	1	2	3	9	d = 4
0	1	1	6	14	e = 5

#1 - PART

[Appendix](#)



2

Use the following learning schemes to compare the training set vs. 10-fold stratified cross-validation scores of the labor data in labor_neg_nominal.arff:

- k-nearest neighbors (IBk) with cross-validation and with training set, same with J48(4 runs)
- run J48 with cross-validation and with training set with M=3(2 runs)

[TOC](#)

[Appendix](#)

The screenshot shows the Weka Explorer window with the 'Classify' tab selected. The classifier is set to 'IBk -K 1 -W 0 -A "weka.core.neighboursearch.LinearNNSearch -A {"weka.core.EuclideanDistance -R first-last"}'". The 'Test options' section shows 'Cross-validation' selected with 'Folds' set to 10. The 'Classifier output' section displays the following summary:

Metric	Value	Percentage
Correctly Classified Instances	39	97.5 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1	2.5 %
Kappa statistic	0.9459	
Mean absolute error	0.0756	
Root mean squared error	0.1851	
Relative absolute error	16.4215 %	
Root relative squared error	38.5153 %	
Total Number of Instances	40	

Below the summary, the 'Detailed Accuracy By Class' table is shown:

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
Weighted Avg.	0.962	0.000	1.000	0.962	0.980	0.947	0.985	0.989	good
	1.000	0.038	0.933	1.000	0.966	0.947	0.985	0.949	bad

The 'Confusion Matrix' is also displayed:

```

a b <-- classified as
25 1 | a = good
0 14 | b = bad
  
```

IBk w/10-fold cross validation

IBk 10-fold
[Appendix](#)

Classifier

Choose IBk -K 1 -W 0 -A "weka.core.neighboursearch.LinearNNSearch -A {"weka.core.EuclideanDistance -R first-last}"

Test options

☒ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set Set...
☐ Cross-validation Folds 10
☐ Percentage split % 66
More options...

(Nom) class
Start Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

18:22:45 - lazy.IBk
18:25:20 - lazy.IBk
18:25:29 - lazy.IBk
18:25:33 - lazy.IBk
18:25:42 - lazy.IBk

Classifier output

Summary

Correctly Classified Instances	40	100	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	0	0	%
Kappa statistic	1		
Mean absolute error	0.0238		
Root mean squared error	0.0238		
Relative absolute error	5.2083 %		
Root relative squared error	4.9913 %		
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	good
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	bad
Weighted Avg.	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

=== Confusion Matrix ===
a b <-- classified as
26 0 | a = good
0 14 | b = bad

IBk training set #2

IBk training

[Appendix](#)

Classifier

Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2

Test options

☐ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set Set...
☒ Cross-validation Folds 10
☐ Percentage split % 66

More options...

(Nom) class

Start Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

18:25:29 - lazy.IBk
18:25:33 - lazy.IBk
18:25:42 - lazy.IBk
18:27:06 - lazy.IBk
18:31:02 - lazy.IBk
18:33:54 - trees.J48
18:33:57 - trees.J48
18:33:58 - trees.J48
18:34:25 - trees.J48
18:34:27 - trees.J48
18:34:28 - trees.J48
18:34:29 - trees.J48
18:34:29 - trees.J48

Classifier output

```

penetration - unknown - good (2240/110)
Number of Leaves : 7
Size of the tree : 9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances 37 92.5 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances 3 7.5 %
Kappa statistic 0.8324
Mean absolute error 0.0991
Root mean squared error 0.2524
Relative absolute error 21.5156 %
Root relative squared error 52.5347 %
Total Number of Instances 40

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

```

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.962	0.143	0.926	0.962	0.943	0.834	0.915	0.913	good
	0.857	0.038	0.923	0.857	0.889	0.834	0.915	0.878	bad
Weighted Avg.	0.925	0.106	0.925	0.925	0.924	0.834	0.915	0.901	

```

=== Confusion Matrix ===
 a b  <-- classified as
25  1 | a = good
 2 12 | b = bad

```

J48 w/10 fold c.v. #2

J48 10-fold

[Appendix](#)

Classifier

Choose **J48 -C 0.25 -M 2**

Test options

☒ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set **Set...**
☐ Cross-validation **Folds** 10
☐ Percentage split **%** 66
More options...

(Nom) class

Start **Stop**

Result list (right-click for options)

- 18:33:58 - trees.J48
- 18:34:25 - trees.J48
- 18:34:27 - trees.J48
- 18:34:28 - trees.J48
- 18:34:29 - trees.J48
- 18:34:29 - trees.J48_0
- 18:34:30 - trees.J48
- 18:34:33 - trees.J48
- 18:34:34 - trees.J48
- 18:34:34 - trees.J48_0
- 18:34:35 - trees.J48
- 18:37:16 - trees.J48
- 18:37:19 - trees.J48
- 18:37:20 - trees.J48

Classifier output

Size of the tree : 9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	38	95	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2	5	%
Kappa statistic	0.8901		
Mean absolute error	0.0811		
Root mean squared error	0.2013		
Relative absolute error	17.732 %		
Root relative squared error	42.2037 %		
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.962	0.071	0.962	0.962	0.962	0.890	0.966	0.965	good
	0.929	0.038	0.929	0.929	0.929	0.890	0.966	0.946	bad
Weighted Avg.	0.950	0.060	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.890	0.966	0.958	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a b <-- classified as
25 1 | a = good
 1 13 | b = bad

```

J48 w/training set #2

J48 training

[Appendix](#)

Classifier

Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 3

Test options

☐ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set Set...
☒ Cross-validation Folds 10
☐ Percentage split % 66
 More options...

(Nom) class

Start Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

- 18:34:29 - trees.J48
- 18:34:29 - trees.J48_0
- 18:34:30 - trees.J48
- 18:34:33 - trees.J48
- 18:34:34 - trees.J48
- 18:34:34 - trees.J48_0
- 18:34:35 - trees.J48
- 18:37:16 - trees.J48
- 18:37:19 - trees.J48
- 18:37:20 - trees.J48
- 18:39:50 - trees.J48
- 18:39:53 - trees.J48
- 18:39:54 - trees.J48
- 18:39:54 - trees.J48_0

Classifier output

```

Number of Leaves :    7
Size of the tree :    9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances      34           85    %
Incorrectly Classified Instances    6           15    %
Kappa statistic                    0.6471
Mean absolute error                 0.1866
Root mean squared error             0.3491
Relative absolute error             40.5156 %
Root relative squared error        72.6496 %
Total Number of Instances          40

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
               TP Rate  FP Rate  Precision  Recall   F-Measure  MCC      ROC Area  PRC Area  Class
               0.962    0.357    0.833     0.962    0.893     0.666    0.823    0.835    good
               0.643    0.038    0.900     0.643    0.750     0.666    0.823    0.786    bad
Weighted Avg.   0.850    0.246    0.857     0.850    0.843     0.666    0.823    0.818

=== Confusion Matrix ===
  a  b  <-- classified as
25  1  |  a = good
 5  9  |  b = bad
  
```

J48 w/10-fold M=3 #2

J48 10-fold M=3

[Appendix](#)

Classifier

Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 3

Test options

☒ Use training set

☐ Supplied test set Set...

☐ Cross-validation Folds 10

☐ Percentage split % 66

More options...

(Nom) class

Start Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

18:34:29 - trees.J48_0
18:34:30 - trees.J48
18:34:33 - trees.J48
18:34:34 - trees.J48
18:34:34 - trees.J48_0
18:34:35 - trees.J48
18:37:16 - trees.J48
18:37:19 - trees.J48
18:37:20 - trees.J48
18:39:50 - trees.J48
18:39:53 - trees.J48
18:39:54 - trees.J48
18:39:54 - trees.J48_0
18:40:33 - trees.J48

Classifier output

Size of the tree : 9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	38	95	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2	5	%
Kappa statistic	0.8901		
Mean absolute error	0.0811		
Root mean squared error	0.2013		
Relative absolute error	17.732	%	
Root relative squared error	42.2037	%	
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.962	0.071	0.962	0.962	0.962	0.890	0.966	0.965	good
	0.929	0.038	0.929	0.929	0.929	0.890	0.966	0.946	bad
Weighted Avg.	0.950	0.060	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.890	0.966	0.958	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a b <-- classified as
25 1 | a = good
1 13 | b = bad
```

J48 w/training M=3 #2
J48 training M=3
[Appendix](#)

A. What does the training set evaluation score tell you?

It tells you how well the model works when you use the same data to train and to test. This option use training set is often overly optimistic.

B. What does the cross-validation score evaluate?

For each data point in the data set is used for testing and 9 times for training, this gives 10 fold-cross validation.

C. What did you learn from the models about the data?

The pension attribute is the most important predictor.

D. Which one of these models would you say is the best? Why?

I'd choose one of the models that used the cross-validation(since we are trying to predict in this case). The best model, would be the J48 M=3 cross-validation





3 Use the following learning schemes to analyze the Titanic data (in titanic.arff).

C4.5 - classifiers.j48.J48 & Assoc. Rules - association.apriori & Dec. List classifiers.PART

[Home](#) => [Appendix](#)

Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2

Test options

☐ Use training set

☐ Supplied test set Set...

☒ Cross-validation Folds 10

☐ Percentage split % 66

More options...

(Nom) survived

Start

Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

14:55:18 - trees.J48

Classifier output

Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

sex = male

| class = 1st

| | age = adult: no (175.0/57.0)

| | age = child: yes (5.0)

| class = 2nd

| | age = adult: no (168.0/14.0)

| | age = child: yes (11.0)

| class = 3rd: no (510.0/88.0)

| class = crew: no (862.0/192.0)

sex = female

| class = 1st: yes (145.0/4.0)

| class = 2nd: yes (106.0/13.0)

| class = 3rd: no (196.0/90.0)

| class = crew: yes (23.0/3.0)

Number of Leaves : 10

Size of the tree : 15

Time taken to build model: 0.02 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1737	78.9187 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	464	21.0813 %
Kappa statistic	0.429	
Mean absolute error	0.312	
Root mean squared error	0.3959	
Relative absolute error	71.3177 %	
Root relative squared error	84.6545 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

J48 >> [Appendix](#)

J48 returned a correctly classified value of 78.9%. J48 built a pruned tree with 10 leaves. From the root, the tree built branched to male/female. From the male/female nodes, the branch was next to class(accomodations). The female branch had no node(s) for age.

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.376	0.013	0.930	0.376	0.535	0.503	0.746	0.680	yes
	0.987	0.624	0.768	0.987	0.864	0.503	0.746	0.822	no
Weighted Avg.	0.789	0.427	0.820	0.789	0.758	0.503	0.746	0.777	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a    b  <-- classified as
267 444 | a = yes
20 1470 | b = no

```

Choose J48 -C 0.25 -M 2

Test options

- ☒ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set Set...
☐ Cross-validation Folds 10
☐ Percentage split % 66
 More options...

(Nom) survived

Start

Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

13:49:50 - trees.J48
 13:51:33 - trees.J48

Classifier output

Number of Leaves : 10

Size of the tree : 15

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0.01 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1740	79.055 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	461	20.945 %
Kappa statistic	0.4334	
Mean absolute error	0.3089	
Root mean squared error	0.393	
Relative absolute error	70.6078 %	
Root relative squared error	84.0339 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.380	0.013	0.931	0.380	0.539	0.506	0.765	0.666	yes
	0.987	0.620	0.769	0.987	0.864	0.506	0.765	0.827	no
Weighted Avg.	0.791	0.424	0.821	0.791	0.759	0.506	0.765	0.775	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a    b  <-- classified as
270 441 | a = yes
20 1470 | b = no

```

J48 w/Training Set
[Appendix](#)

Weka Explorer

Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize

Associator

Choose Apriori -N 10 -T 0 -C 0.9 -D 0.05 -U 1.0 -M 0.1 -S -1.0 -c -1

Start Stop

Result list (right-click...)

15:03:38 - Apriori

Associator output

```

=== Run information ===

Scheme:      weka.associations.Apriori -N 10 -T 0 -C 0.9 -D 0.05 -U 1.0 -M 0.1 -S -1.0 -c -1
Relation:    relation
Instances:   2201
Attributes:  4
              class
              age
              sex
              survived

=== Associator model (full training set) ===

Apriori
=====

Minimum support: 0.35 (770 instances)
Minimum metric <confidence>: 0.9
Number of cycles performed: 13

Generated sets of large itemsets:

Size of set of large itemsets L(1): 4
Size of set of large itemsets L(2): 5
Size of set of large itemsets L(3): 2

Best rules found:

1. class=crew 885 ==> age=adult 885    <conf:(1)> lift:(1.05) lev:(0.02) [43] conv:(43.83)
2. class=crew sex=male 862 ==> age=adult 862    <conf:(1)> lift:(1.05) lev:(0.02) [42] conv:(42.69)
3. sex=male survived=no 1364 ==> age=adult 1329    <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.03) lev:(0.01) [32] conv:(1.88)
4. class=crew 885 ==> sex=male 862    <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.24) lev:(0.08) [165] conv:(7.87)
5. class=crew age=adult 885 ==> sex=male 862    <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.24) lev:(0.08) [165] conv:(7.87)
6. class=crew 885 ==> age=adult sex=male 862    <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.29) lev:(0.09) [191] conv:(8.95)
7. survived=no 1490 ==> age=adult 1438    <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.02) lev:(0.01) [21] conv:(1.39)
8. sex=male 1731 ==> age=adult 1667    <conf:(0.96)> lift:(1.01) lev:(0.01) [21] conv:(1.32)
9. age=adult survived=no 1438 ==> sex=male 1329    <conf:(0.92)> lift:(1.18) lev:(0.09) [198] conv:(2.79)
10. survived=no 1490 ==> sex=male 1364    <conf:(0.92)> lift:(1.16) lev:(0.09) [192] conv:(2.51)

```

Apriori >> [Appendix #3_Top](#)

The Apriori classifier is a different kind of classifier. Even WEKA acknowledges this by giving it its own tab "Association". This type of classifier is used to find association rules in a data set.

When this algorithm comes up with the "best" rules, not all rules are very helpful. For example, the first "best rule" with confidence of 100% is:

If crew => adult

This says that a crew member is an adult, this rule adds no information. The Titanic did not have crew complement consisting of children.

give you a greater understanding of your dataset.

When using Apriori, I believe one should concentrate on rules that give you better insight into your data.

A useful rule, I believe is:

If male and didn't survive ==> male (97%)

Other rules, while interesting, don't add too much:

If male ==> adult

If crew and male => adult

Weka Explorer

Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize

Classifier

Choose PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1

Test options

☐ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set Set...
☒ Cross-validation Folds 10
☐ Percentage split % 66
 More options...

(Nom) survived

Start Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

14:55:18 - trees.J48
 15:07:55 - rules.PART

Classifier output

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

PART decision list

sex = male AND
 class = 2nd AND
 age = adult: no (168.0/14.0)

sex = male AND
 class = crew: no (862.0/192.0)

sex = male AND
 class = 3rd: no (510.0/88.0)

sex = female AND
 class = 3rd: no (196.0/90.0)

sex = female: yes (274.0/20.0)

age = adult: no (175.0/57.0)

: yes (16.0)

Number of Rules : 7

Time taken to build model: 0.01 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1740	79.055 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	461	20.945 %
Kappa statistic	0.4334	
Mean absolute error	0.3106	
Root mean squared error	0.3947	
Relative absolute error	70.9957 %	
Root relative squared error	84.3999 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

PART >> [Appendix #3_Top](#)

Home3_PART

...

Choose

PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1

Test options

☒ Use training set
☐ Supplied test set

Set...

☐ Cross-validation Folds 10
☐ Percentage split % 66

More options...

(Nom) survived

Start Stop

Result list (right-click for options)

13:49:50 - trees.J48

13:51:33 - trees.J48

13:56:12 - rules.PART

Classifier output

: yes (16.0)

Number of Rules : 7

Time taken to build model: 0.01 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0.01 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1740	79.055 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	461	20.945 %
Kappa statistic	0.4334	
Mean absolute error	0.3094	
Root mean squared error	0.3933	
Relative absolute error	70.7399 %	
Root relative squared error	84.1125 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.380	0.013	0.931	0.380	0.539	0.506	0.764	0.656	yes
	0.987	0.620	0.769	0.987	0.864	0.506	0.764	0.826	no
Weighted Avg.	0.791	0.424	0.821	0.791	0.759	0.506	0.764	0.771	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as

270 441 | a = yes

20 1470 | b = no

PART w/Training Set

[Appendix](#)

...

A. What is the most important descriptor (attribute) in titanic.arff, and how can you tell? J48 first split criteria is “sex”, therefore I’d say “sex” is the most important descriptor.

B. How well were these methods able to learn the patterns in the dataset? Quantify your answer? Apriori just finds associations in dataset, some patterns(even with high confidence) are not very useful.

C. Compare the training set and 10-fold cross-validations scores of the methods.

	Cross-Validation	Training Set
Part	79.05%	79.05%
J48	78.9%	79.05

D. Would you trust these models? Did they really learn what was important to survive the Titanic disaster?

Yes they basically say that if you are male and crew member you are least likely to survive. If you were a male, you'd want to be in first class.

E. Which one would you trust more, even if just very slightly? Why?

I'd trust the J48 w/cross-validation. Even though it is not the highest classification, I understand the rules better. They are more straight forward. Besides, the difference between 78.9 and 79 is very slight.



- 4 Choose one of the following three files: soybean.arff, autoprice.arff, hungarian.arff, **zoo.arff** or zoo2_x.arff and use any two schemas of your choice to build and compare the models. Evaluate and discuss the models. What was learned by the models (be specific to the dataset)? Which one of the models would you keep? Why?

[Home](#) => [Appendix](#)

The screenshot shows the Weka Experiment Environment window. The 'Source' tab is active, displaying 'Got 200 results'. The 'Actions' section includes buttons for 'Perform test', 'Save output', and 'Open Explorer...'. The 'Configure test' section is expanded, showing the following settings:

- Testing with: Paired T-Tester (corrected)
- Select rows and cols: Rows, Cols, Swap
- Comparison field: Percent_correct
- Significance: 0.05
- Sorting (asc.) by: <default>
- Test base: Select
- Displayed Columns: Select
- Show std. deviations: ☐
- Output Format: Select

The 'Test output' section displays the following information:

```
Tester: weka.experiment.PairedCorrectedTTester -G 4,5,6 -D 1 -R 2 -S 0.05 -
Analysing: Percent_correct
Datasets: 1
Resultsets: 2
Confidence: 0.05 (two tailed)
Sorted by: -
Date: 12/9/17 2:27 PM
```

Dataset	(1) rules.PA	(2) rules
zoo	(100) 93.41	42.59 *
	(v/ /*)	(0/0/1)

Key:

```
(1) rules.PART '-M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1' 8121455039782598361
(2) rules.OneR '-B 6' -3459427003147861443
```

The 'Result list' section shows the following results:

- 14:27:47 - Available resultsets
- 14:27:51 - Percent_correct - rules.PART '-M 2 -C 0.25 -

PART >> [Appendix](#)
[Home](#)

I compared using the experimenter: One-R and PART

I used the experimenter for this test. Based on my experimentation, I'd keep the PART model. The PART model is more accurate and gives more insight into data. One-R is very basic and does not lead to a deeper understanding of the data.

Weka Experiment Environment

Setup Run Analyse

Experiment Configuration Mode Simple

Open... Save...

Results Destination

ARFF file Filename: /Users/jwarlop/zoo.arff

Experiment Type

Cross-validation

Number of folds: 10

☒ Classification ☐ Regression

Iteration Control

Number of repetitions: 10

☒ Data sets first ☐ Algorithms first

Datasets

Add new... Edit selected... Delete selected

☐ Use relative paths

/Users/jwarlop/Google Drive/Education/Traditional/UCSD/DataAnalyticsCert

Algorithms

Add new... Edit

PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1
OneR -B 6

One-R >> [Appendix #4_Top](#)

...



Appendix

1

[Home](#)

Question #1 Supporting Material

songs.arff
% % % % @RELATION songs @ATTRIBUTE group string

```

@ATTRIBUTE song_name string
@ATTRIBUTE length numeric
@ATTRIBUTE genre {rock, new_age, country, alt_rock, jazz, pop}
@ATTRIBUTE decade {1940,1950,1960,1970,1980,1990,2000,2010}
@ATTRIBUTE lead {male, female, none}
@ATTRIBUTE horns {yes, no}
@ATTRIBUTE keyboard {yes, no}
@ATTRIBUTE flute_harmonica {yes, no}
@ATTRIBUTE strings {yes, no}
@ATTRIBUTE class {1,2,3,4,5}

```

```
@DATA
```

'neil young'	, 'down by the river'	,557,rock	,1960,male	,no ,no ,no ,no ,5	
'neil young'	, 'Ohio'	,179,rock	,1960,male	,no ,no ,no ,no ,5	
'The B-52s'	, 'Love Shack'	,321,rock	,1980,female	,yes,yes,no ,no ,4	
'Eric Clapton'	, 'Layla'	,426,rock	,1970,male	,no ,yes,no ,no ,5	
'Crosby Stills Nash'	, 'Judy Blue Eyes'	,444,rock	,1960,male	,no ,no ,no ,no ,4	
'Led Zepplin'	, 'Kashmir'	,508,rock	,1970,male	,yes,yes,no ,yes,5	
'Led Zepplin'	, 'When the Levee Breaks'	,430,rock	,1970,male	,no ,no ,yes,no ,5	
'Peter Gabriel'	, 'Sledgehammer'	,296,rock	,1980,male	,yes,yes,yes,no ,5	%panned flute
'Bobby Darin'	, 'Beyond The Sea'	,168,jazz	,1950,male	,yes,yes,yes,no ,4	
'Blind Melon'	, 'No Rain'	,217,rock	,1990,male	,no ,no ,no ,no ,5	
'The Cure'	, 'Lullaby'	,253,alt_rock	,1980,male	,no,yes ,no ,yes,5	
'Peter Gabriel'	, 'In Your Eyes'	,330,rock	,1980,male	,yes,yes,yes,yes,5	
'Greta Van Fleet'	, 'Black Smoke Rising'	,181,rock	,2010,male	,no ,no ,no ,no ,4	
'The Beatles'	, 'Abbey Road'	,243,rock	,1960,male	,no ,yes,no ,yes,3	
'Terry Clark'	, 'Youre easy on the eyes'	,213,country	,2000,female	,no ,no ,no ,yes,3	
'Patsy Cline'	, 'Youre Stronger Than Me'	,174,country	,1960,female	,no ,no ,no ,yes,3	
'Bob James'	, '3 AM'	,330 ,jazz	,1980,none	,no ,yes,no ,yes,2	
'Dave Weckl'	, '7th Ave. South'	,360,jazz	,1990,none	,yes,yes,no ,yes,2	
'D J Shadow'	, 'Fixed Income'	,290,jazz	,2000,none	,no ,yes,no ,yes,2	
'Genesis'	, 'Follow You Follow Me'	,240,rock	,1970,male	,no ,yes,no ,yes,4	
'Joni Mitchell'	, 'Free Man in Paris'	,244,pop	,1970,female	,no ,no ,no ,yes,3	
'Diana Krall'	, 'A Case of You'	,420,jazz	,2000,female	,no ,yes,no ,no ,2	
'Michael Paulo'	, 'My Heart and Soul'	,300,jazz	,1990,none	,yes,yes,no ,yes,3	
'Sarah Vaughn'	, 'Star Dust'	,400,jazz	,1950,female	,yes,yes,no ,yes,3	
'Loreena KcKennitt'	, 'Dantes Prayer'	,431,new_age	,1990,female	,no ,yes,no ,yes,2	
'The Fixx'	, 'Red Skies'	,276,rock	,1980,male	,no ,yes,no ,yes,4	

'Frankie Valli'	, 'Sherry'	,153,rock	,1960,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,4
'Gerry Rafferty'	, 'Baker Street'	,370,rock	,1980,male	,yes,yes,no ,yes,5
'Michael Jackson'	, 'Baby Be Mine'	,280,pop	,1980,male	,no ,yes,no ,no ,2
'Missing Persons'	, 'Destination Unknown'	,212,pop	,1980,female	,no ,yes,no ,yes,3
'Big Country'	, 'In A Big Country'	,285,rock	,1980,male	,no ,yes,no ,yes,5
'Bob Dylan'	, 'Hurricane'	,510,rock	,1970,male	,no ,yes,yes,yes,5
'Bryan Adams'	, 'Summer Of 69'	,214,rock	,1980,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Smashing Pumpkins'	, '1979'	,283,rock	,1990,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Steely Dan'	, 'Reelin In The Years'	,277,rock	,1970,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Third Eye Blind'	, 'Semi-Charmed Life'	,288,rock	,1990,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Pixies'	, 'Where is my Mind'	,240,rock	,1980,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Gotye'	, 'Somebody That I Used To Know'	,245,alt_rock	,2010,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,4
'B52s'	, 'Roam'	,240,rock	,1980,female	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Sade'	, 'Smooth Operator'	,260,jazz	,1980,female	,yes,no ,no ,yes,5
'The Motels'	, 'Only the Lonely'	,254,rock	,1980,female	,no ,no ,no ,yes,4
'Tom Petty'	, 'Dont Come Around Here No More'	,280,rock	,1980,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Garth Brooks'	, 'I Know One'	,175,country	,1980,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,5
'Miles Davis'	, 'Somethin Else'	,492,jazz	,1950,none	,yes,yes,no ,yes,5
'Miles Davis'	, 'Tomaas'	,336,jazz	,1980,none	,yes,yes,no ,yes,4
'Mark Isham'	, 'Raffles In Rio'	,280,new_age	,1980,none	,no ,no ,no ,no ,2
'Canned Heat'	, 'On The Road Again'	,253,rock	,1960,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,4
'Cat Stevens'	, 'Wild World'	,221,pop	,1970,male	,no ,no ,no ,yes,4
'Duran Duran'	, 'Rio'	,339,pop	,1980,male	,yes,no ,no ,yes,4
'Elton John'	, 'Phildephia Freedom'	,320,pop	,1970,male	,yes,yes,no ,yes,4
'The Monkees'	, 'I am a Believer'	,225,pop	,1960,male	,no ,yes,no ,yes,4

J48

[Home#1-J48](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 2

```
Relation:      songs-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1-2
Instances:    51
Attributes:    9
               length
               genre
               decade
               lead
               horns
               keyboard
               flute_harmonica
               strings
               class
Test mode:     evaluate on training data
```

```
=== Classifier model (full training set) ===
```

```
J48 pruned tree
```

```
-----
```

```
genre = rock: 5 (27.0/9.0)
genre = new_age: 2 (2.0)
genre = country: 3 (3.0/1.0)
genre = alt_rock: 4 (2.0/1.0)
genre = jazz
|   horns = yes: 3 (7.0/5.0)
|   horns = no: 2 (3.0)
genre = pop
|   lead = male: 4 (5.0/1.0)
|   lead = female: 3 (2.0)
|   lead = none: 4 (0.0)
```

```
Number of Leaves :    9
```

Size of the tree : 12

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	34	66.6667 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	17	33.3333 %
Kappa statistic	0.5137	
Mean absolute error	0.17	
Root mean squared error	0.2915	
Relative absolute error	60.7333 %	
Root relative squared error	78.4358 %	
Total Number of Instances	51	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	?	?	1
	0.714	0.000	1.000	0.714	0.833	0.827	0.971	0.859	2
	0.857	0.136	0.500	0.857	0.632	0.585	0.912	0.683	3
	0.333	0.056	0.714	0.333	0.455	0.368	0.736	0.514	4
	0.818	0.310	0.667	0.818	0.735	0.504	0.795	0.655	5
Weighted Avg.	0.667	0.169	0.704	0.667	0.652	0.519	0.818	0.645	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
0	5	1	1	0	b = 2
0	0	6	0	1	c = 3
0	0	2	5	8	d = 4
0	0	3	1	18	e = 5

One-R

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.rules.OneR -B 6
Relation: songs-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1-2
Instances: 51
Attributes: 9
length
genre
decade
lead
horns
keyboard
flute_harmonica
strings
class
Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

genre:

rock -> 5
new_age -> 2
country -> 3
alt_rock -> 4
jazz -> 2
pop -> 4

(31/51 instances correct)

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	30	58.8235 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	21	41.1765 %
Kappa statistic	0.3915	
Mean absolute error	0.1647	
Root mean squared error	0.4058	
Relative absolute error	58.5144 %	
Root relative squared error	108.6612 %	
Total Number of Instances	51	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	?	?	1
	0.857	0.136	0.500	0.857	0.632	0.585	0.860	0.448	2

	0.286	0.023	0.667	0.286	0.400	0.385	0.631	0.289	3
	0.267	0.111	0.500	0.267	0.348	0.195	0.578	0.349	4
	0.818	0.345	0.643	0.818	0.720	0.471	0.737	0.604	5
Weighted Avg.	0.588	0.203	0.585	0.588	0.554	0.394	0.692	0.464	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	<-- classified as
0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
0	6	0	1	0	b = 2
0	2	2	2	1	c = 3
0	2	0	4	9	d = 4
0	2	1	1	18	e = 5

PART

Home

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.rules.PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1
 Relation: songs-weka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R1-2
 Instances: 51
 Attributes: 9
 length
 genre
 decade
 lead
 horns
 keyboard
 flute_harmonica


```
strings
class
Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

PART decision list
-----

genre = rock AND
flute_harmonica = no AND
decade = 1980 AND
lead = male: 5 (6.0/1.0)

genre = rock AND
flute_harmonica = yes: 5 (4.0)

genre = rock AND
decade = 1970: 5 (4.0/1.0)

genre = rock AND
keyboard = no AND
decade = 1960 AND
strings = no: 5 (3.0/1.0)

genre = rock AND
keyboard = no AND
decade = 1990: 5 (3.0)

decade = 1960: 4 (5.0/2.0)

decade = 1950: 3 (3.0/2.0)
```

decade = 1970: 4 (3.0/1.0)

length > 260 AND
horns = no: 2 (6.0)

decade = 1980 AND
length > 253: 4 (5.0/1.0)

decade = 1980: 5 (4.0/1.0)

decade = 1990: 2 (2.0/1.0)

: 4 (3.0/1.0)

Number of Rules : 13

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	22	43.1373 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	29	56.8627 %
Kappa statistic	0.1686	
Mean absolute error	0.2219	
Root mean squared error	0.3885	
Relative absolute error	78.8457 %	
Root relative squared error	104.0152 %	
Total Number of Instances	51	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	?	?	1
	0.429	0.068	0.500	0.429	0.462	0.385	0.752	0.501	2
	0.286	0.114	0.286	0.286	0.286	0.172	0.747	0.428	3
	0.200	0.333	0.200	0.200	0.200	-0.133	0.489	0.325	4
	0.636	0.310	0.609	0.636	0.622	0.324	0.707	0.572	5
Weighted Avg.	0.431	0.257	0.429	0.431	0.430	0.177	0.654	0.470	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a  b  c  d  e  <-- classified as
0  0  0  0  0  |  a = 1
0  3  2  2  0  |  b = 2
0  1  2  4  0  |  c = 3
0  1  2  3  9  |  d = 4
0  1  1  6 14  |  e = 5

```

2

[Home](#)

Question #2 Supporting Material

IBk w/10-fold cross validation

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.lazy.IBk -K 1 -W 0 -A "weka.core.neighboursearch.LinearNNSearch -A
\"weka.core.EuclideanDistance -R first-last\""

Relation: labor-neg-nominal

Instances: 40

Attributes: 17

duration

wage increase first year

wage increase second year

wage increase third year

cost of living adjustment

working hours

pension

standby pay

shift differential

education allowance

statutory holidays

vacation

longterm disability assistance

contribution to dental plan

bereavement assistance

contribution to health plan

class

Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

IB1 instance-based classifier

using 1 nearest neighbour(s) for classification

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	39	97.5	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1	2.5	%
Kappa statistic	0.9459		
Mean absolute error	0.0756		
Root mean squared error	0.1851		
Relative absolute error	16.4215	%	
Root relative squared error	38.5153	%	
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
Class									
	0.962	0.000	1.000	0.962	0.980	0.947	0.985	0.989	good
	1.000	0.038	0.933	1.000	0.966	0.947	0.985	0.949	bad
Weighted Avg.	0.975	0.013	0.977	0.975	0.975	0.947	0.985	0.975	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
25	1	a = good
0	14	b = bad

IBk w/training set

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.lazy.IBk -K 1 -W 0 -A "weka.core.neighboursearch.LinearNNSearch -A
\"weka.core.EuclideanDistance -R first-last\""

Relation: labor-neg-nominal

Instances: 40

Attributes: 17

duration

wage increase first year

wage increase second year

wage increase third year

cost of living adjustment

working hours

pension

standby pay

shift differential

education allowance

statutory holidays

vacation

longterm disability assistance

contribution to dental plan

bereavement assistance

contribution to health plan

class

Test mode: evaluate on training data

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

IB1 instance-based classifier

using 1 nearest neighbour(s) for classification

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	40	100	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	0	0	%
Kappa statistic	1		
Mean absolute error	0.0238		
Root mean squared error	0.0238		
Relative absolute error	5.2083	%	
Root relative squared error	4.9913	%	
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
Class									
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	good
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	bad
Weighted Avg.	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a  b  <-- classified as
26  0  |  a = good
 0 14  |  b = bad
```


=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 2

Relation: labor-neg-nominal

Instances: 40

Attributes: 17

duration

wage increase first year

wage increase second year

wage increase third year

cost of living adjustment

working hours

pension

standby pay

shift differential

education allowance

statutory holidays

vacation

longterm disability assistance

contribution to dental plan

bereavement assistance

contribution to health plan

class

Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

pension = none: bad (8.0)

pension = ret_allw: bad (3.0/1.0)

```

pension = empl_contr
| wage increase first year = low: bad (3.0)
| wage increase first year = medium: good (3.0)
| wage increase first year = high: good (0.0)
| wage increase first year = unknown: good (1.0)
pension = unknown: good (22.0/1.0)

```

Number of Leaves : 7

Size of the tree : 9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	37	92.5	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3	7.5	%
Kappa statistic	0.8324		
Mean absolute error	0.0991		
Root mean squared error	0.2524		
Relative absolute error	21.5156	%	
Root relative squared error	52.5347	%	
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.962	0.143	0.926	0.962	0.943	0.834	0.915	0.913	good
	0.857	0.038	0.923	0.857	0.889	0.834	0.915	0.878	bad

Weighted Avg. 0.925 0.106 0.925 0.925 0.924 0.834 0.915 0.901

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a  b  <-- classified as
25  1 |  a = good
 2 12 |  b = bad
```

J48 w/training set

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 2
Relation: labor-neg-nominal
Instances: 40
Attributes: 17

duration
wage increase first year
wage increase second year
wage increase third year
cost of living adjustment
working hours
pension
standby pay
shift differential
education allowance
statutory holidays
vacation
longterm disability assistance
contribution to dental plan
bereavement assistance
contribution to health plan
class

Test mode: evaluate on training data

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

pension = none: bad (8.0)
pension = ret_allw: bad (3.0/1.0)
pension = empl_contr

```
| wage increase first year = low: bad (3.0)
| wage increase first year = medium: good (3.0)
| wage increase first year = high: good (0.0)
| wage increase first year = unknown: good (1.0)
pension = unknown: good (22.0/1.0)
```

Number of Leaves : 7

Size of the tree : 9

```
Time taken to build model: 0 seconds
```

```
=== Evaluation on training set ===
```

```
Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds
```

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	38	95	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2	5	%
Kappa statistic	0.8901		
Mean absolute error	0.0811		
Root mean squared error	0.2013		
Relative absolute error	17.732	%	
Root relative squared error	42.2037	%	
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area
-------	---------	---------	-----------	--------	-----------	-----	----------	----------

	0.962	0.071	0.962	0.962	0.962	0.890	0.966	0.965	good
	0.929	0.038	0.929	0.929	0.929	0.890	0.966	0.946	bad
Weighted Avg.	0.950	0.060	0.950	0.950	0.950	0.890	0.966	0.958	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a  b  <-- classified as
25  1 |  a = good
 1 13 |  b = bad
```

J48 w/10-fold cross validation and M=3

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 3
Relation: labor-neg-nominal
Instances: 40
Attributes: 17

duration
wage increase first year
wage increase second year
wage increase third year
cost of living adjustment
working hours
pension
standby pay
shift differential
education allowance
statutory holidays
vacation
longterm disability assistance
contribution to dental plan
bereavement assistance
contribution to health plan
class

Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

pension = none: bad (8.0)
pension = ret_allw: bad (3.0/1.0)
pension = empl_contr
| wage increase first year = low: bad (3.0)

```
| wage increase first year = medium: good (3.0)
| wage increase first year = high: good (0.0)
| wage increase first year = unknown: good (1.0)
pension = unknown: good (22.0/1.0)
```

Number of Leaves : 7

Size of the tree : 9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===
=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	34	85	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	6	15	%
Kappa statistic	0.6471		
Mean absolute error	0.1866		
Root mean squared error	0.3491		
Relative absolute error	40.5156 %		
Root relative squared error	72.6496 %		
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
Class									
	0.962	0.357	0.833	0.962	0.893	0.666	0.823	0.835	good
	0.643	0.038	0.900	0.643	0.750	0.666	0.823	0.786	bad
Weighted Avg.	0.850	0.246	0.857	0.850	0.843	0.666	0.823	0.818	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

	a	b	<-- classified as
25	1		a = good
5	9		b = bad

J48 w/training set and M=3

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 3

Relation: labor-neg-nominal
Instances: 40
Attributes: 17
duration
wage increase first year
wage increase second year
wage increase third year
cost of living adjustment
working hours
pension
standby pay
shift differential
education allowance
statutory holidays
vacation
longterm disability assistance
contribution to dental plan
bereavement assistance
contribution to health plan
class

Test mode: evaluate on training data

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

pension = none: bad (8.0)
pension = ret_allw: bad (3.0/1.0)
pension = empl_contr
| wage increase first year = low: bad (3.0)
| wage increase first year = medium: good (3.0)

```
| wage increase first year = high: good (0.0)
| wage increase first year = unknown: good (1.0)
pension = unknown: good (22.0/1.0)
```

Number of Leaves : 7

Size of the tree : 9

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	38	95	%
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2	5	%
Kappa statistic	0.8901		
Mean absolute error	0.0811		
Root mean squared error	0.2013		
Relative absolute error	17.732	%	
Root relative squared error	42.2037	%	
Total Number of Instances	40		

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.962	0.071	0.962	0.962	0.962	0.890	0.966	0.965	good
	0.929	0.038	0.929	0.929	0.929	0.890	0.966	0.946	bad

Weighted Avg. 0.950 0.060 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.890 0.966 0.958

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a  b  <-- classified as
25  1 |  a = good
 1 13 |  b = bad
```

LABOR-NEG-NORMAL-V2.ARF

```
%Date: Tue, 15 Nov 88 15:44:08 EST
%From: stan <stan@csi2.UofO.EDU>
%Message-Id: <8811152044.AA23067@csih.UofO.EDU>
%To: aha@ICS.UCI.EDU
%
%1. Title: Final settlements in labor negotiations in Canadian industry
%
%2. Source Information
%  -- Creators: Collective Bargaining Review, montly publication,
%    Labour Canada, Industrial Relations Information Service,
%    Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0J2, Canada, (819) 997-3117
%    The data includes all collective agreements reached
%      in the business and personal services sector for locals
%      with at least 500 members (teachers, nurses, university
%      staff, police, etc) in Canada in 87 and first quarter of 88.
%  -- Donor: Stan Matwin, Computer Science Dept, University of Ottawa,
%    34 Somerset East, K1N 9B4, (stan@uotcsi2.bitnet)
%  -- Date: November 1988
%
%3. Past Usage:
%  -- testing concept learning software, in particular
%    an experimental method to learn two-tiered concept descriptions.
```

```

% The data was used to learn the description of an acceptable
% and unacceptable contract.
% The unacceptable contracts were either obtained by interviewing
% experts, or by inventing near misses.
% Examples of use are described in:
% Bergadano, F., Matwin, S., Michalski, R.,
% Zhang, J., Measuring Quality of Concept Descriptions,
% Procs. of the 3rd European Working Sessions on Learning,
% Glasgow, October 1988.
% Bergadano, F., Matwin, S., Michalski, R., Zhang, J.,
% Representing and Acquiring Imprecise and Context-dependent
% Concepts in Knowledge-based Systems, Procs. of ISMIS'88,
% North Holland, 1988.
%4. Relevant Information:
% -- data was used to test 2tier approach with learning
%from positive and negative examples
%
%5. Number of Instances: 57
%
%6. Number of Attributes: 16
%
%7. Attribute Information:
% 1. dur: duration of agreement
% [1..7]
% 2 wage1.wage : wage increase in first year of contract
% [2.0 .. 7.0]
% 3 wage2.wage : wage increase in second year of contract
% [2.0 .. 7.0]
% 4 wage3.wage : wage increase in third year of contract
% [2.0 .. 7.0]
% 5 cola : cost of living allowance
% [none, tcf, tc]
% 6 hours.hrs : number of working hours during week
% [35 .. 40]
% 7 pension : employer contributions to pension plan
% [none, ret_allw, empl_contr]
% 8 stby_pay : standby pay
% [2 .. 25]

```

```

% 9  shift_diff : shift differential : supplement for work on II and III shift
%    [1 .. 25]
% 10  educ_allw.boolean : education allowance
%    [yes no]
% 11  holidays : number of statutory holidays
%    [9 .. 15]
% 12  vacation : number of paid vacation days
%    [ba, avg, gnr]
% 13  lngtrm_disabil.boolean :
%    employer's help during employee longterm disabil
%    ity [yes , no]
% 14  dntl_ins : employers contribution towards the dental plan
%    [none, half, full]
% 15  bereavement.boolean : employer's financial contribution towards the
%    covering the costs of bereavement
%    [yes , no]
% 16  empl_hplan : employer's contribution towards the health plan
%    [none, half, full]
%
%8. Missing Attribute Values: None
%
%9. Class Distribution:
%
%10. Exceptions from format instructions: no commas between attribute values.
%
%-----

@relation labor-neg-nominal

% Classes
% -----

% good, bad.

% Attributes
% -----

```

```

@attribute duration { 1, 2, 3 ,unknown }
@attribute "wage increase first year" { low, medium, high ,unknown }
@attribute "wage increase second year" { low, medium, high ,unknown }
@attribute "wage increase third year" { low, medium, high ,unknown }
@attribute "cost of living adjustment"{ none, tcf, tc ,unknown }
@attribute "working hours" { sub35, sub40, equal40 ,unknown }
@attribute pension { none, ret_allw, empl_contr ,unknown }
@attribute "standby pay" { 2, 4, 8, 12, 13 ,unknown }
@attribute "shift differential" { 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,6, 10, 11, 25 ,unknown }
@attribute "education allowance" {yes, no ,unknown }
@attribute "statutory holidays" { 9, 10, 11, 12,13,15 ,unknown }
@attribute vacation { "below average", average, generous ,unknown }
@attribute "longterm disability assistance" { yes, no ,unknown }
@attribute "contribution to dental plan" { none, half, full ,unknown }
@attribute "bereavement assistance" { yes, no ,unknown }
@attribute "contribution to health plan" { none, half, full ,unknown }
@attribute class { good, bad }

@data

1,medium,unknown,unknown,unknown,equal40,unknown,unknown,2,unknown,11,average,unknown,unknown,yes,unknown,good
2,medium,medium,unknown,unknown,sub40,ret_allw,unknown,unknown,yes,11,"below average",unknown,full,unknown,full,good
.....
.....
.....

```

3

[Home](#)

Question #3 Supporting Material

TITANIC.ARF

```
@RELATION relation
@ATTRIBUTE class {"1st","2nd","3rd","crew"}
@ATTRIBUTE age {"adult","child"}
@ATTRIBUTE sex {"male","female"}
@ATTRIBUTE survived {"yes","no"}
@DATA
1st,adult,male,yes
1st,adult,male,yes
1st,adult,male,yes
.....
crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,yes
```


crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,yes
crew,adult,female,no

.....
.....
.....

NAME

`weka.classifiers.trees.J48`

SYNOPSIS

Class for generating a pruned or unpruned C4.5 decision tree. For more information, see

Ross Quinlan (1993). C4.5: Programs for Machine Learning. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Mateo, CA.

OPTIONS

`seed` -- The seed used for randomizing the data when reduced-error pruning is used.

`unpruned` -- Whether pruning is performed.

`confidenceFactor` -- The confidence factor used for pruning (smaller values incur more pruning).

`numFolds` -- Determines the amount of data used for reduced-error pruning. One fold is used for pruning, the rest for growing the tree.

`numDecimalPlaces` -- The number of decimal places to be used for the output of numbers in the model.

`batchSize` -- The preferred number of instances to process if batch prediction is being performed. More or fewer instances may be provided, but this gives implementations a chance to specify a preferred batch size.

`reducedErrorPruning` -- Whether reduced-error pruning is used instead of C.4.5 pruning.

`useLaplace` -- Whether counts at leaves are smoothed based on Laplace.

`doNotMakeSplitPointActualValue` -- If true, the split point is not relocated to an actual data

value. This can yield substantial speed-ups for large datasets with numeric attributes.

debug -- If set to true, classifier may output additional info to the console.

subtreeRaising -- Whether to consider the subtree raising operation when pruning.

saveInstanceData -- Whether to save the training data for visualization.

binarySplits -- Whether to use binary splits on nominal attributes when building the trees.

doNotCheckCapabilities -- If set, classifier capabilities are not checked before classifier is built (Use with caution to reduce runtime).

minNumObj -- The minimum number of instances per leaf.

useMDLcorrection -- Whether MDL correction is used when finding splits on numeric attributes.

collapseTree -- Whether parts are removed that do not reduce training error.

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 2
Relation: relation
Instances: 2201
Attributes: 4
 class
 age
 sex
 survived
Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

```
sex = male
|  class = 1st
|  |  age = adult: no (175.0/57.0)
|  |  age = child: yes (5.0)
|  class = 2nd
|  |  age = adult: no (168.0/14.0)
|  |  age = child: yes (11.0)
|  class = 3rd: no (510.0/88.0)
|  class = crew: no (862.0/192.0)
sex = female
|  class = 1st: yes (145.0/4.0)
|  class = 2nd: yes (106.0/13.0)
```

```
| class = 3rd: no (196.0/90.0)
| class = crew: yes (23.0/3.0)
```

Number of Leaves : 10

Size of the tree : 15

Time taken to build model: 0.02 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1737	78.9187 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	464	21.0813 %
Kappa statistic	0.429	
Mean absolute error	0.312	
Root mean squared error	0.3959	
Relative absolute error	71.3177 %	
Root relative squared error	84.6545 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
Class									
	0.376	0.013	0.930	0.376	0.535	0.503	0.746	0.680	yes
	0.987	0.624	0.768	0.987	0.864	0.503	0.746	0.822	no
Weighted Avg.	0.789	0.427	0.820	0.789	0.758	0.503	0.746	0.777	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a      b  <-- classified as
267  444 |    a = yes
20 1470 |    b = no
```

J48 w/Training Set

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

```
Scheme:      weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -C 0.25 -M 2
Relation:    relation
Instances:   2201
Attributes:  4
              class
              age
              sex
              survived
Test mode:   evaluate on training data
```

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

J48 pruned tree

```
sex = male
|  class = 1st
|  |  age = adult: no (175.0/57.0)
|  |  age = child: yes (5.0)
```

```
| class = 2nd
| | age = adult: no (168.0/14.0)
| | age = child: yes (11.0)
| class = 3rd: no (510.0/88.0)
| class = crew: no (862.0/192.0)
sex = female
| class = 1st: yes (145.0/4.0)
| class = 2nd: yes (106.0/13.0)
| class = 3rd: no (196.0/90.0)
| class = crew: yes (23.0/3.0)
```

Number of Leaves : 10

Size of the tree : 15

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0.01 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1740	79.055 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	461	20.945 %
Kappa statistic	0.4334	
Mean absolute error	0.3089	
Root mean squared error	0.393	
Relative absolute error	70.6078 %	
Root relative squared error	84.0339 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.380	0.013	0.931	0.380	0.539	0.506	0.765	0.666	yes
	0.987	0.620	0.769	0.987	0.864	0.506	0.765	0.827	no
Weighted Avg.	0.791	0.424	0.821	0.791	0.759	0.506	0.765	0.775	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```
a    b    <-- classified as
270 441 |    a = yes
20 1470 |    b = no
```

Apriori

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===


```
Scheme:      weka.associations.Apriori -N 10 -T 0 -C 0.9 -D 0.05 -U 1.0 -M 0.1 -S -1.0 -c -1
Relation:    relation
Instances:   2201
Attributes:  4
              class
              age
              sex
              survived
```

=== Associator model (full training set) ===

Apriori

=====

Minimum support: 0.35 (770 instances)

Minimum metric <confidence>: 0.9

Number of cycles performed: 13

Generated sets of large itemsets:

Size of set of large itemsets L(1): 4

Size of set of large itemsets L(2): 5

Size of set of large itemsets L(3): 2

Best rules found:

1. class=crew 885 ==> age=adult 885 <conf:(1)> lift:(1.05) lev:(0.02) [43] conv:(43.83)
2. class=crew sex=male 862 ==> age=adult 862 <conf:(1)> lift:(1.05) lev:(0.02) [42] conv:(42.69)
3. sex=male survived=no 1364 ==> age=adult 1329 <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.03) lev:(0.01) [32] conv:(1.88)
4. class=crew 885 ==> sex=male 862 <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.24) lev:(0.08) [165] conv:(7.87)
5. class=crew age=adult 885 ==> sex=male 862 <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.24) lev:(0.08) [165] conv:(7.87)
6. class=crew 885 ==> age=adult sex=male 862 <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.29) lev:(0.09) [191] conv:(8.95)
7. survived=no 1490 ==> age=adult 1438 <conf:(0.97)> lift:(1.02) lev:(0.01) [21] conv:(1.39)
8. sex=male 1731 ==> age=adult 1667 <conf:(0.96)> lift:(1.01) lev:(0.01) [21] conv:(1.32)
9. age=adult survived=no 1438 ==> sex=male 1329 <conf:(0.92)> lift:(1.18) lev:(0.09) [198] conv:(2.79)
10. survived=no 1490 ==> sex=male 1364 <conf:(0.92)> lift:(1.16) lev:(0.09) [192] conv:(2.51)

Classifier/Association	J48	PART	Apriori
Correctly Classified or Highest Confidence	78.9	79.1	1.0

J48 is a tree based classifier and PART is a rules based classifier. Apriori is a special case classifier.

weka.classifiers.rules.PART

NAME

weka.classifiers.rules.PART

SYNOPSIS

Class for generating a PART decision list. Uses separate-and-conquer. Builds a partial C4.5 decision tree in each iteration and makes the "best" leaf into a rule.

For more information, see:

Eibe Frank, Ian H. Witten: Generating Accurate Rule Sets Without Global Optimization. In: Fifteenth International Conference on Machine Learning, 144-151, 1998.

OPTIONS

seed -- The seed used for randomizing the data when reduced-error pruning is used.

unpruned -- Whether pruning is performed.

confidenceFactor -- The confidence factor used for pruning (smaller values incur more pruning).

numFolds -- Determines the amount of data used for reduced-error pruning. One fold is used for pruning, the rest for growing the rules.

numDecimalPlaces -- The number of decimal places to be used for the output of numbers in the model.

batchSize -- The preferred number of instances to process if batch prediction is being performed. More or fewer instances may be provided, but this gives implementations a chance to specify a preferred batch size.

reducedErrorPruning -- Whether reduced-error pruning is used instead of C.4.5 pruning.

doNotMakeSplitPointActualValue -- If true, the split point is not relocated to an actual data value. This can yield substantial speed-ups for large datasets with numeric attributes.

debug -- If set to true, classifier may output additional info to the console.

binarySplits -- Whether to use binary splits on nominal attributes when building the partial trees.

doNotCheckCapabilities -- If set, classifier capabilities are not checked before classifier is built (Use with caution to reduce runtime).

minNumObj -- The minimum number of instances per rule.

useMDLcorrection -- Whether MDL correction is used when finding splits on numeric attributes.

PART

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.rules.PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1
Relation: relation
Instances: 2201
Attributes: 4
 class
 age
 sex
 survived
Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

```
=== Classifier model (full training set) ===
```

```
PART decision list
```

```
-----
```

```
sex = male AND  
class = 2nd AND  
age = adult: no (168.0/14.0)
```

```
sex = male AND  
class = crew: no (862.0/192.0)
```

```
sex = male AND  
class = 3rd: no (510.0/88.0)
```

```
sex = female AND  
class = 3rd: no (196.0/90.0)
```

```
sex = female: yes (274.0/20.0)
```

```
age = adult: no (175.0/57.0)
```

```
: yes (16.0)
```

```
Number of Rules : 7
```

```
Time taken to build model: 0.01 seconds
```

```
=== Stratified cross-validation ===
```

```
=== Summary ===
```

Correctly Classified Instances	1740	79.055 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	461	20.945 %
Kappa statistic	0.4334	
Mean absolute error	0.3106	
Root mean squared error	0.3947	
Relative absolute error	70.9957 %	
Root relative squared error	84.3999 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.380	0.013	0.931	0.380	0.539	0.506	0.749	0.670	yes
	0.987	0.620	0.769	0.987	0.864	0.506	0.749	0.826	no
Weighted Avg.	0.791	0.424	0.821	0.791	0.759	0.506	0.749	0.775	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
270	441	a = yes
20	1470	b = no

PART w/Training Set

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.rules.PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1

Relation: relation

Instances: 2201

Attributes: 4

class

age

sex

survived

Test mode: evaluate on training data

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

PART decision list

sex = male AND

class = 2nd AND

age = adult: no (168.0/14.0)

sex = male AND

class = crew: no (862.0/192.0)

sex = male AND

class = 3rd: no (510.0/88.0)

sex = female AND

class = 3rd: no (196.0/90.0)

sex = female: yes (274.0/20.0)

age = adult: no (175.0/57.0)

: yes (16.0)

Number of Rules : 7

Time taken to build model: 0.01 seconds

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0.01 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	1740	79.055 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	461	20.945 %
Kappa statistic	0.4334	
Mean absolute error	0.3094	
Root mean squared error	0.3933	
Relative absolute error	70.7399 %	
Root relative squared error	84.1125 %	
Total Number of Instances	2201	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	0.380	0.013	0.931	0.380	0.539	0.506	0.764	0.656	yes

	0.987	0.620	0.769	0.987	0.864	0.506	0.764	0.826	no
Weighted Avg.	0.791	0.424	0.821	0.791	0.759	0.506	0.764	0.771	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a    b    <-- classified as
270 441 |    a = yes
 20 1470 |    b = no

```

4

[Home](#)

Question #4 Supporting Material

ZOO.ARFF

```

% Changes to WEKA Format: SRG - November 1994
%   1. Boolean attributes changed from 1 and 0 to Enumerated attribute with
%       values {true and false}
%   2. Class Number (Attribute 18) changed to an Enumerated type with
%       values {1,2,3,4,5,6,7}
%
% 1. Title: Zoo database
%
% 2. Source Information
%   -- Creator: Richard Forsyth
%   -- Donor: Richard S. Forsyth
%           8 Grosvenor Avenue
%           Mapperley Park
%           Nottingham NG3 5DX
%           0602-621676

```

```

% -- Date: 5/15/1990
%
% 3. Past Usage:
% -- None known other than what is shown in Forsyth's PC/BEAGLE User's Guide.
%
% 4. Relevant Information:
% -- A simple database containing 17 Boolean-valued attributes. The "type"
% attribute appears to be the class attribute. Here is a breakdown of
% which animals are in which type: (I find it unusual that there are
% 2 instances of "frog" and one of "girl"!)
%
% Class# Set of animals:
% =====
% 1 (41) aardvark, antelope, bear, boar, buffalo, calf,
% cavy, cheetah, deer, dolphin, elephant,
% fruitbat, giraffe, girl, goat, gorilla, hamster,
% hare, leopard, lion, lynx, mink, mole, mongoose,
% opossum, oryx, platypus, polecat, pony,
% porpoise, puma, pussycat, raccoon, reindeer,
% seal, sealion, squirrel, vampire, vole, wallaby, wolf
% 2 (20) chicken, crow, dove, duck, flamingo, gull, hawk,
% kiwi, lark, ostrich, parakeet, penguin, pheasant,
% rhea, skimmer, skua, sparrow, swan, vulture, wren
% 3 (5) pitviper, seasnake, slowworm, tortoise, tuatara
% 4 (13) bass, carp, catfish, chub, dogfish, haddock,
% herring, pike, piranha, seahorse, sole, stingray, tuna
% 5 (4) frog, frog, newt, toad
% 6 (8) flea, gnat, honeybee, housefly, ladybird, moth, termite, wasp
% 7 (10) clam, crab, crayfish, lobster, octopus,
% scorpion, seawasp, slug, starfish, worm
%
% 5. Number of Instances: 101
% 6. Number of Attributes: 18 (animal name, 15 Boolean attributes, 2 numerics)
% 7. Attribute Information: (name of attribute and type of value domain)
% 1. animal name: Unique for each instance
% 2. hair Boolean
% 3. feathers Boolean
% 4. eggs Boolean
% 5. milk Boolean
% 6. airborne Boolean
% 7. aquatic Boolean
% 8. predator Boolean
% 9. toothed Boolean
% 10. backbone Boolean
% 11. breathes Boolean
% 12. venomous Boolean
% 13. fins Boolean

```

```
% 14. legs      Numeric (set of values: {0,2,4,5,6,8})
% 15. tail      Boolean
% 16. domestic Boolean
% 17. catsize   Boolean
% 18. type      Numeric (integer values in range [1,7])
%
% 8. Missing Attribute Values: None
% 9. Class Distribution: Given above
```

```
@RELATION zoo
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE animal
```

```
{aardvark,antelope,bass,bear,boar,buffalo,calf,carp,catfish,cavy,cheetah,chicken,chub,clam,crab,crayfish,crow,deer,dogfish,dolphin,dove,duck,elephant
,flamingo,flea,frog,fruitbat,giraffe,girl,gnat,goat,gorilla,gull,haddock,hamster,hare,hawk,herring,honeybee,housefly,kiwi,ladybird,lark,leopard,lion,
lobster,lynx,mink,mole,mongoose,moth,newt,octopus,opossum,oryx,ostrich,parakeet,penguin,pheasant,pike,piranha,pitviper,platypus,polecat,pony,porpoise
,puma,pussycat,raccoon,reindeer,rhea,scorpion,seahorse,seal,sealion,seasnake,seawasp,skimmer,skua,slowworm,slug,sole,sparrow,squirrel,starfish,stingr
ay,swan,termite,toad,tortoise,tuatara,tuna,vampire,vole,vulture,wallaby,wasp,wolf,worm,wren}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE hair {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE feathers {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE eggs {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE milk {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE airborne {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE aquatic {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE predator {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE toothed {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE backbone {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE breathes {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE venomous {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE fins {false, true}
```

```
% hakank: changed this since it's simpler for e.g. Apriori
```

```
% @ATTRIBUTE legs INTEGER [0,9]
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE legs {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE tail {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE domestic {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE catsize {false, true}
```

```
@ATTRIBUTE type { 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 }
```

```
@DATA
```

```
%
```

```
% Instances (101):
```

```
%
```

```
aardvark,true,false,false,true,false,false,true,true,true,true,false,false,4,false,false,true,1
antelope,true,false,false,true,false,false,false,true,true,true,false,false,4,true,false,true,1
bass,false,false,true,false,false,true,true,true,true,false,false,true,0,true,false,false,4
```

```
.....
```

```
.....
```

```
.....
```

PART

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.rules.PART -M 2 -C 0.25 -Q 1
Relation: zoo
Instances: 101
Attributes: 18
 animal
 hair
 feathers
 eggs
 milk
 airborne

aquatic
predator
toothed
backbone
breathes
venomous
fins
legs
tail
domestic
catsize
type

Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation

=== Classifier model (full training set) ===

PART decision list

feathers = false AND
milk = true: 1 (41.0)

feathers = true: 2 (20.0)

backbone = false AND
airborne = false AND
predator = true: 7 (8.0)

backbone = false AND
legs = 6: 6 (8.0)

fins = true: 4 (13.0)

backbone = true AND
tail = true: 3 (6.0/1.0)

aquatic = true: 5 (3.0)

: 7 (2.0)

Number of Rules : 8

Time taken to build model: 0 seconds

=== Stratified cross-validation ===

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	93	92.0792 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	8	7.9208 %
Kappa statistic	0.8955	
Mean absolute error	0.0231	
Root mean squared error	0.1435	
Relative absolute error	10.5346 %	
Root relative squared error	43.4854 %	
Total Number of Instances	101	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1
	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	2
	0.600	0.010	0.750	0.600	0.667	0.656	0.793	0.420	3

	1.000	0.011	0.929	1.000	0.963	0.958	0.994	0.929	4
	0.750	0.000	1.000	0.750	0.857	0.862	0.872	0.760	5
	0.625	0.022	0.714	0.625	0.667	0.642	0.927	0.794	6
	0.800	0.044	0.667	0.800	0.727	0.698	0.978	0.724	7
Weighted Avg.	0.921	0.008	0.923	0.921	0.920	0.914	0.976	0.909	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	<-- classified as
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	b = 2
0	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	c = 3
0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	d = 4
0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	e = 5
0	0	0	0	0	5	3	3	f = 6
0	0	0	0	0	2	8	8	g = 7

ONE-R

[Home](#)

=== Run information ===

Scheme: weka.classifiers.rules.OneR -B 6
 Relation: zoo
 Instances: 101
 Attributes: 18
 animal
 hair

```
feathers
eggs
milk
airborne
aquatic
predator
toothed
backbone
breathes
venomous
fins
legs
tail
domestic
catsize
type
```

```
Test mode: 10-fold cross-validation
```

```
=== Classifier model (full training set) ===
```

```
animal:
```

```
aardvark -> 1
antelope -> 1
bass -> 4
bear -> 1
boar -> 1
buffalo -> 1
calf -> 1
carp -> 4
catfish -> 4
cavy -> 1
cheetah -> 1
```


chicken -> 2
chub -> 4
clam -> 7
crab -> 7
crayfish -> 7
crow -> 2
deer -> 1
dogfish -> 4
dolphin -> 1
dove -> 2
duck -> 2
elephant -> 1
flamingo -> 2
flea -> 6
frog -> 5
fruitbat -> 1
giraffe -> 1
girl -> 1
gnat -> 6
goat -> 1
gorilla -> 1
gull -> 2
haddock -> 4
hamster -> 1
hare -> 1
hawk -> 2
herring -> 4
honeybee -> 6
housefly -> 6
kiwi -> 2
ladybird -> 6
lark -> 2

leopard	-> 1
lion	-> 1
lobster	-> 7
lynx	-> 1
mink	-> 1
mole	-> 1
mongoose	-> 1
moth	-> 6
newt	-> 5
octopus	-> 7
opossum	-> 1
oryx	-> 1
ostrich	-> 2
parakeet	-> 2
penguin	-> 2
pheasant	-> 2
pike	-> 4
piranha	-> 4
pitviper	-> 3
platypus	-> 1
polecat	-> 1
pony	-> 1
porpoise	-> 1
puma	-> 1
pussycat	-> 1
raccoon	-> 1
reindeer	-> 1
rhea	-> 2
scorpion	-> 7
seahorse	-> 4
seal	-> 1
sealion	-> 1

```
seasnake -> 3
seawasp -> 7
skimmer -> 2
skua -> 2
slowworm -> 3
slug -> 7
sole -> 4
sparrow -> 2
squirrel -> 1
starfish -> 7
stingray -> 4
swan -> 2
termite -> 6
toad -> 5
tortoise -> 3
tuatara -> 3
tuna -> 4
vampire -> 1
vole -> 1
vulture -> 2
wallaby -> 1
wasp -> 6
wolf -> 1
worm -> 7
wren -> 2
```

```
(101/101 instances correct)
```

```
Time taken to build model: 0 seconds
```

```
=== Stratified cross-validation ===
```

```
=== Summary ===
```

Correctly Classified Instances	43	42.5743 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	58	57.4257 %
Kappa statistic	0.045	
Mean absolute error	0.1641	
Root mean squared error	0.4051	
Relative absolute error	74.8424 %	
Root relative squared error	122.7774 %	
Total Number of Instances	101	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

Class	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	
	1.000	0.967	0.414	1.000	0.586	0.117	0.517	0.414	1
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.198	2
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.050	3
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.129	4
	0.500	0.000	1.000	0.500	0.667	0.700	0.750	0.520	5
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.079	6
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.099	7
Weighted Avg.	0.426	0.392	0.208	0.426	0.264	0.075	0.517	0.263	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	<-- classified as
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	a = 1
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	b = 2
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	c = 3
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	d = 4
2	0	0	0	2	0	0	e = 5
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	f = 6

10 0 0 0 0 0 0 | g = 7