

Comma Category Characterization of an Adjunction

Definition 1 (Comma Category). We define the comma category $\mathbf{F} \downarrow \mathbf{G}$ of two functors $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ and $G : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ as follows. Its objects are triples (A, B, f) , where A is an object in \mathbf{C} , B is an object in \mathbf{D} , and $f : F(A) \rightarrow G(B)$ is an arrow in \mathbf{E} . Furthermore, an arrow $(i, j) : (A, B, f) \rightarrow (A', B', g)$ in $\mathbf{F} \downarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a pair of arrows $i : A \rightarrow A'$ and $j : B \rightarrow B'$, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{f} & GB \\ \downarrow Fi & & \downarrow Gj \\ FA' & \xrightarrow{g} & GB' \end{array}$$

Theorem 1. Let $F : \mathbf{D} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{C} : G$ be two functors and $\varphi : F \downarrow Id_{\mathbf{C}} \rightleftarrows Id_{\mathbf{D}} \downarrow G : \psi$ an isomorphism that is the identity on arrows then $F \dashv G$.

Proof. We define the adjunction $F \dashv G$ by an isomorphism between the hom-sets $Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(FA, B)$ and $Hom_{\mathbf{D}}(A, GB)$ that is natural in A and B . We define both sides of the isomorphism $\varphi' : Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(FA, B) \rightleftarrows Hom_{\mathbf{D}}(A, GB) : \psi'$ by $\varphi'(f) = \pi_3(\varphi(A, B, f))$ and $\psi'(g) = \pi_3(\psi(A, B, g))$, where π_3 extracts the arrow f from an object (A, B, f) in the comma category.

- φ' and ψ' are an isomorphism, i.e., $\psi' \circ \varphi' = id$ and $\varphi' \circ \psi' = id$. For all $f : FA \rightarrow B$ and $g : A \rightarrow GB$, it follows

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi'(\varphi'(f)) = \psi'(\pi_3(\varphi(A, B, f))) & \varphi'(\psi'(g)) = \varphi'\pi_3(\psi(A, B, g)) \\ = \pi_3(\psi(A, B, \pi_3(\varphi(A, B, f)))) & = \pi_3(\varphi(A, B, \pi_3(\psi(A, B, g)))) \\ = \pi_3(\psi(\varphi(A, B, f))) & = \pi_3(\varphi(\psi(A, B, g))) \\ = \pi_3(A, B, f) & = \pi_3(A, B, g) \\ = f & = g. \end{array}$$

- φ' is natural in A, B , i.e., for all $i : A \rightarrow A'$, $j : B \rightarrow B'$, and $f : FA \rightarrow B$, $\varphi'(j \circ f \circ Fi) = Gj \circ \varphi'(f) \circ i$ as in the following commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(FA, B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & Hom_{\mathbf{D}}(A, GB) \\
\downarrow j \circ - \circ Fi & & \downarrow Gj \circ - \circ i \\
Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(FA', B') & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & Hom_{\mathbf{D}}(A', GB').
\end{array}$$

First, observe how φ acts on arrows. An arrow $(i, j) : (A, B, f) \rightarrow (A', B', g)$ in $F \downarrow Id_{\mathbf{C}}$ is mapped to an arrow $(i, j) : (A, B, \varphi'(f)) \rightarrow (A', B', \varphi'(g))$ in $Id_{\mathbf{D}} \downarrow G$. This means, for a commuting square in the \mathbf{C} , we obtain a commuting square in \mathbf{D} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
Fi \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\
FA' & \xrightarrow{g} & B'
\end{array}
\Longrightarrow
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\varphi'(f)} & GB \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow Gj \\
A' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'(g)} & GB'
\end{array}$$

In other words, we can use φ to do basic rewrite steps on φ' if we provide a suitable commuting squares in \mathbf{C} .

With this technique, we prove the naturality of φ' with three rewrite steps and functoriality of G :

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi'(j \circ f \circ Fi) &\stackrel{\text{I}}{=} \varphi'(j \circ f) \circ i \\
&\stackrel{\text{II}}{=} G(j \circ f) \circ \varphi'(Fi) \\
&= Gj \circ Gf \circ \varphi'(Fi) \\
&\stackrel{\text{III}}{=} Gj \circ \varphi'(f) \circ i
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{I } \varphi'(j \circ f \circ Fi) = \varphi'(j \circ f) \circ i$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A, B', j \circ f \circ Fi) & & (A, B', \varphi'(j \circ f \circ Fi)) \\
\downarrow (i, id) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \downarrow (i, id) \\
(A', B', j \circ f) & & (A', B', \varphi'(j \circ f))
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{j \circ f \circ Fi} & B' \\
Fi \downarrow & & \downarrow id \\
FA' & \xrightarrow{j \circ f} & B'
\end{array}
\Longrightarrow
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\varphi'(j \circ f \circ Fi)} & GB' \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow G(id) \\
A' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'(j \circ f)} & GB'
\end{array}$$

$$\text{II } \varphi'(j \circ f) \circ i = G(j \circ f) \circ \varphi'(Fi)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A, FA', Fi) & & (A, FA', \varphi'(Fi)) \\
\downarrow (i, j \circ f) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \downarrow (i, j \circ f) \\
(A', B', j \circ f) & & (A, B', \varphi'(j \circ f)) \\
\\
FA \xrightarrow{Fi} FA' & & A \xrightarrow{\varphi'(Fi)} GFA' \\
Fi \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\
FA' \xrightarrow{j \circ f} B' & \Longrightarrow & A' \xrightarrow{\varphi'(j \circ f)} GB' \\
& & \downarrow G(j \circ f)
\end{array}$$

III $Gf \circ \varphi'(i) = \varphi' f \circ i$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(A, FA', Fi) & & (A, FA', \varphi'(Fi)) \\
\downarrow (i, f) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \downarrow (i, f) \\
(A', B', f) & & (A, B', \varphi'(f)) \\
\\
FA \xrightarrow{Fi} FA' & & A \xrightarrow{\varphi'(Fi)} GFA' \\
Fi \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\
FA' \xrightarrow{f} B' & \Longrightarrow & A' \xrightarrow{\varphi'(f)} GB' \\
& & \downarrow Gf
\end{array}$$

- ψ' natural in A, B is analogous to naturality of φ' .

□