Quantification in Haskell

John Wiegley

14 Mar 2017

Overview

- Basic syntax
- GHC extensions
- Some theory
- The ST Monad
- 5 Parametricity

Universals

Math

∀*a*, *a*

Universals

Math

∀*a*, *a*

Haskell

1 forall a. a

Meaning

As a universal

forall a. a

Meaning

As a universal

forall a. a

In other words...

1 undefined

GHC flags

-Wunused-foralls

Emits a warning in the specific case that a user writes explicit forall syntax with unused type variables.

GHC flags

-Wunused-foralls

Emits a warning in the specific case that a user writes explicit forall syntax with unused type variables.

-fprint-explicit-foralls

Makes GHC print explicit forall quantification at the top level of a type; normally this is suppressed.

ExplicitForAll

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE ExplicitForAll #-}
2
3 foo :: forall a b. a -> b
4 foo = undefined
```

ExplicitForAll

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE ExplicitForAll #-}
2
3 foo :: forall a. a -> forall b. b
4 foo = undefined
```

RankNTypes

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE RankNTypes #-}
2
3 bar :: (forall r s. r -> s) -> a -> b
4 bar f a = f a
```

RankNTypes (Lens)

```
1 type Lens' s a =
2 forall f. Functor f
   => (a -> f a) -> s -> f s
4
5 _fst :: Lens' (Int, Int) Int
6 fst f (x, y) = (,y) < > f x
8 fst :: Functor f
     => (Int -> f Int)
9
  -> (Int, Int)
10
  -> f (Int, Int)
11
```

RankNTypes (Lens)

```
1 type Lens' s a =
2 forall f. Functor f
   => (a -> f a) -> s -> f s
4
  hmm :: Lens' (Int, Int) Int
6
    -> (Int, Int) -> Int
  hmm 1 p = getConst $ 1 Const p
8
   hmm :: (forall f. Functor f
9
             => (Int -> f Int)
10
             -> (Int, Int)
11
             -> f (Int, Int))
12
13
      -> (Int, Int)
       -> Int
14
```

ExistentialQuantification

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE ExistentialQuantification #-}
2
3 data Exists = forall a. Exists a
```

ExistentialQuantification

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE ExistentialQuantification #-}
2
3 data Machine i log o = forall s. Machine
4 { monitorState :: s
5 , monitorFunc ::
6    i -> StateT s (Writer [log]) o
7
```

RankNTypes

We'll come back to why this works, but we can use the *final encoding* of the universal to represent an existential.

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE RankNTypes #-}
2
3 newtype Exists = Exists {
4 getExists ::
5 forall r. (forall a. a -> r) -> r
6 }
```

GADTSyntax or GADTs

GADT syntax can also be used to encode existentials, without needing the full power of GADTs.

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE GADTSyntax #-}
2
3 data Exists where
4 Exists :: a -> Exists
```

ScopedTypeVariables

ImpredicativeTypes (Avoid!)

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE ImpredicativeTypes #-}
2
3 type T = (Int, forall a. a -> Int)
```

ImpredicativeTypes (Avoid!)

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE ImpredicativeTypes #-}
2
3 type TLens = (Int, Lens' (Int, Int) Int)
```

ImpredicativeTypes (Solution)

```
1 {-# LANGUAGE RankNTypes #-}
2
3 newtype Wrapped r = Wrapped {
4  getWrapped :: forall a. a -> r
5 }
6
7 type T = (Int, Wrapped Int)
```

Negation

Math

$$\forall a, \neg a$$



Negation

Math

Haskell

ı forall a r. a -> r



Existentials

Math

∃*a*, *a*

Existentials

Math

∃*a*, *a*

Haskell?

1 exists a. a

Existentials

Haskell

```
1 forall r. (forall a. a -> r) -> r
```

Relationships

$$\forall a, a \iff \neg \exists a, \neg a$$

 $\exists a, a \iff \neg \forall a, \neg a$
 $\neg \forall a, a \iff \exists a, \neg a$
 $\neg \exists a, a \iff \forall a, \neg a$

Derivation

$$\exists a, a = \neg \forall a, \neg a$$
$$= \forall r, (\forall a, \neg a) \rightarrow r$$
$$= \forall r, (\forall a, a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$$

Another derivation

```
a \cong Id a \cong Yoneda Id a \cong Ran Id Id a \cong forall r, (a \rightarrow Id r) \rightarrow Id r \cong forall r, (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r
```

Be careful of placement

Not the same as undefined

$$\forall a, a \ncong \forall r, (\forall a, a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$$

Be careful of placement

Not the same as undefined

$$\forall a, a \ncong \forall r, (\forall a, a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$$

Haskell

```
works :: forall r. (forall a. a -> r) -> r
works k = k (10 :: Int)
```

Another undefined

undefined, finally encoded

$$\forall a, a \cong \forall a, \forall r, (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$$

Another undefined

undefined, finally encoded

$$\forall a, a \cong \forall a, \forall r, (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$$

Haskell

```
impossible :: forall a r. (a -> r) -> r
impossible k = k (10 :: Int)
```

Generic programming

Concrete

```
sort :: [Int] -> [Int]
```

Generic programming

```
Concrete

sort :: [Int] -> [Int]

General

sort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
```

Generic programming (C++)

Concrete

```
void stable_sort(
std::vector<Int>::iterator,
std::vector<Int>::iterator
);
```

Generic programming (C++)

RandomIterator must meet the requirements of ValueSwappable and RandomAccessIterator.

General

```
template <typename RandomIterator>
void stable_sort(RandomIterator first,
RandomIterator last);
```



Generic programming (Java)

Concrete

```
class MySorter {
  public static void sort(List<Int> list);
};
```

Generic programming (Java)

General



Information hiding

Objects (ala OOP) are built on existentials. See the section on *Existential Objects* in TAPL.

Haskell objects

```
data Object = forall a. Real a => Object a
   add :: Object -> Object -> Object
   add (Object x) (Object y) =
     Object (toRational x + toRational y)
6
  example :: (forall a. Real a => a -> r) -> r
   example k =
8
     case add (Object (10 :: Int))
9
              (Object (1.0 :: Float)) of
10
         Object n -> k n
11
```

But not this...

```
bad_example :: forall a. Real a => a
bad_example =

case add (Object (10 :: Int))

(Object (1.0 :: Float)) of

Object n -> n
```

The ST Monad

Over to Emacs...

Parametricity

```
1 myMap :: forall a b. (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
2
3 myMap f (x:xs) = f x : myMap f xs
4 myMap f _ = []
```

Parametricity

Gives rise to the following law, that *no* implementation may avoid:

Free Theorem for myMap

 $map \ f \circ myMap \ g = myMap \ f \circ map \ g$

Parametricity

The more general a function is, the more it's restricted to information in its own type.