ixisiv

- 1. Set environment, import all necessary libraries
- 2. Data Ingestion¶
 - 2.1. Import data into Python Pandas DataFrame
- 3. Explorative Data Analysis¶
 - 3.1. check missing and duplicated values
 - 3.1.1. No missing and duplicated values
 - 3.2. Deal with numeric and categorical features separately
 - 3.2.1. Take out numeric features
 - 3.2.2. Take out categorical features

3.3. Check data distributions

- 3.3.1. Check the distribution of the response variable by its value counts in barplot The "yes" to "no" ratio is roughly 1:8, which will be handled in the later classification processing
- 3.3.2 Check the distributions of numeric features by histograms¶
- 3.3.3 Check the distributions of Categorical features by value counts in barplots¶

4. Filtering Feature Selection¶

- 4.1 Filtering Feature Selection on numeric features by 2-sample t-tests For every individual numeric feature, make a t-test between the two separate groups, one group contains values with the label y = "yes", and the other group contains values with the label y = "no"
- 4.2 Filtering Feature Selection on categorical features by Chi-square tests¶ For every individual categorical feature, make a Chi-square test by its cross-table with the label y

4.3 Filter in the significant numeric and categorical features Set alpha level to be 0.05 and use Bonferroni method to adjust multiple tests

5. Feature Engineering¶

- 5.1 Map "yes"/"no" to 1/0 for binary variables Binay variables will be converted to 1/0 to aviod redendancy, since if use one-hot enconding, the two new features will be perfectly correlated
- 5.2 Convert categorical variable to numerical by one-hot encoding¶

6. Classification Modeling¶

- 6.1 Prpare training and testing data by random splitting 80% data for training; 20% data for testing
- 6.2 Use SMOTE to resample the TRAIN DATA ONLY¶ Leave the test data AS-IS to best represent the "Reality"
- 6.3 Define multiple classification algorithms Including Random Forest, LASSO, Support Vector Machine, k-Nearst Neighbor. All chosen algorithms have the "class_weight" parameter to address the imbalance of label y. Set the "class_weight" parameter to be "balanced" will bring the imbalanced training data into balanced situation. Put the defined algorithms and their names into 2 corresponding lists
- 6.4 Make a function to perform training, testing and evaluation It displays confusion matrix, and returns the f1-score, precision, recall, accuracy as well as the trained classifier itself.
- 6.5 Make function to scan all defined classification algorithm For each algorithm, train a classifier on training data and test/evaluate it by the test data Returen all trained classifier and their evaluation results
 - 6.5.1 Run the function to loop all defined algorithms to check performance 6.5.2 Display the aggregated evaluation result of all classifiers Based on the F-1 score, ElasticNet, with which L1 Ratio set to 0.6, has the best performance

6.6 Feature importance analysis

6.6.1 Feature importance analysis for LASSO

- 6.6.1.1 Use coefficients to indicate the importance of corresponding features
- 6.6.1.2 Plot all features by their coefficients¶
- 6.6.1.3 Pick up features with |coefficients| > 100
- 6.6.1.4.Plot features with |coefficients| > 100

6.6.2 Analyze feature importance for the Random Forest classifier

7. Conclusion

- 7.1. The ElasticNet is the best model based on the training/testing and evaluation by F-1 Score
- 7.2. The "housing" feature is the most importance feature in ElasticNet classifier
- 7.3. The "duration" feature is the most importance feature in Random Forest classifier

====== The main analysis/modeling has finished, thank you! =======

8. Additional Tries¶

- 8.1 Additional Try No. 1: Try Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) Slightly improved LASSO, but NO improvement on Random Forest
 - 8.1.1 Use the Random Forest classifier to do RFE feature selection Didnot use ElasticNet because it is an embedded feature selection which will be redundant
 - 8.1.2 The best peformed classifier is a little bit better than what before RFE Decided to keep using ElasticNet as the final model
 - 8.1.3 The feature importance (coefficient) on the LASSO model after RFE
- 8.2 Additional Try No. 2: Try AutoML using H2O NO Improvement according to the F-1 Score
- 8.3. Additional Try No. 3: Try deep neural network through tensorflow/keras No improvement Converged to precision: 0.1247; recall: 1.0000