KEENA, JW

Franciscan University of Steubenville

Liberal Arts & Sciences, General Studies & Humanities 000102 TU 03:30 Spring 2013

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/shortguide.pdf



IDEA Short Form Report

Of the 11 students enrolled, 10 responded (91%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

<u>Converted Averages</u> are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad discipline as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your institution. The Interpretive Guide offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student work habits (item 13), student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 15), and instructor reported class size.

Your Average Scores

		verage t scale)
	Raw	Adj.
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives ¹		
Three objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essentialsee page 2)	4.7	4.3

Overall Ratings		
B. Excellent Teacher	5.0	4.7
C. Excellent Course	5.0	4.6
D. Average of B & C	5.0	4.7

Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) ¹	4.9	4.5
(Average of A & D) 1	1	4.5

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	·	Overall Ratings					Summary			
Comparison Category	on Re	gress levant ctives		ellent cher	C. Ex	cellent urse		erage & C	Evaluation	
0.47	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)	63	ods envioletorencomodylate	63		68		66		65	
Higher Next 20% (56–62)		58		59		61		60		59
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)										
Lower Next 20% (38–44)										
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)										

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

1001 00111011			O11 Q 0111	Parca .	o	·				
Discipline (IDEA Data)	62	57	63	59	67	61	65	60	64	59
Institution	60	56	61	58	64	59	63	59	62	58

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Liberal Arts & Sciences, General Studies & Humanities

² The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." **Progress on Relevant Objectives** (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. For resources on improving learning and teaching, please refer to the POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating		verage t scale)	Perce Student	
	5	Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5
Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Minor/None				
Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Minor/None				
Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	Minor/None		·		
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	Minor/None				
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None				
Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	Minor/None				
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	Essential	4.5	4.1	0%	100%
Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Important	4.7	4.5	0%	100%
Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Minor/None				
Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None				
Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	Important	4.9	4.6	0%	100%
Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Minor/None				
Progress on Relevant Objectives		4.7	4.3		

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Your Converted Average When							
Compared to Group Averages							
IDEA Da	IDEA Database IDEA Discipline1				titution ¹		
Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.		
61 Higher	55 Similar	59 Higher	52 Similar	56 Higher	52 Similar		
63 Much Higher	60 Higher	63 Much Higher	61 Higher	62 Higher	60 Higher		
68 Much Higher	63 Much Higher	67 Much Higher	64 Much Higher	64 Much Higher	61 Higher		
63	58	62	57	60	56		

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56-62)
Similar = Middle 40% (45-55)
Lower = Next 20% (38-44)
Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Students

The two items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Student Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
13. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	4.0
15. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	4.2

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages								
IDEA Database IDEA Discipline			A Discipline	You	r Institution			
62	Higher	56	Higher	58	Higher			
66	Much Higher	69	Much Higher	59	Higher			

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56-62)
Similar = Middle 40% (45-55)
Lower = Next 20% (38-44)
Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)