Linear Regression &



Logistic Regression

Deep Learning Uses Linear Regression/Logistic Regression



Regression

- Classification
  - Is it a dog or not? (binary)
  - What is this animal? (multi-class)
- Regression
  - What will be the stock value? $y \in \mathbb{R}$
  - What will be the housing price? $y \in [0, \infty)$



Linear Regression

- 어느 학교 학생들의 신체검사 자료
- 새로 전학온 학생 A의 키가 175cm일 때 예상 몸무게는?



Linear Regression

- 어느 학교 학생들의 신체검사 자료
- 새로 전학온 학생 A의 키가 175cm일 때 예상 몸무게는?



Linear Regression

- 선형함수(예 : 1차함수)로 주어진 data를 근사한다
- $y = wx + b$





<Perceptron>

$$y = f(\mathbf{wx} + \mathrm{b})$$

$$\boldsymbol{w} = [w_1\ w_2\ w_3\ \ldots\ w_n]$$

$$\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1\ x_2\ x_3\ \ldots\ x_n]^T$$

Linear Regression

- 잘 예측했는지 측정할 척도(metric)가 필요함



$$y^* = wx + b \text{ (예측값)}$$

$$Cost(Loss) = \sum_i (y_i - {y_i}^*)^2$$

$$= \sum_i (y_i - wx_i - b)^2$$

Linear Regression

- Cost(Loss) 값을 minimize하는 w와 b를 구하면 될텐데.... 어떻게?
  - Random Search – 가능????
  - Cost function을 미분해서 최솟값(미분=0이되는 점)을 찾자!

b 구하기

$$L = \sum_i (y_i - wx_i - b)^2$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta b} = \frac{\delta \sum_i (y_i - wx_i - b)^2}{\delta b}$$

$$= -2 \sum_i (y_i - wx_i - b) = n y_{avg} - n w x_{avg} - nb = 0$$

$$\therefore \boldsymbol{b = y_{avg} - w x_{avg}}$$

w 구하기

$$L = \sum_i (y_i - wx_i - b)^2$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w} = \frac{\delta \sum_i (y_i - wx_i - b)^2}{\delta w}$$

$$= -2 \sum_i x_i (y_i - wx_i - b) = -2 \sum_i x_i \left(y_i - wx_i - y_{avg} + wx_{avg}\right)$$

$$= 0$$

Example

- Find the linear function(f) that best describes the given data
  - $H(x, w_0, w_1) = w_1 x + w_0$



Example

- $H(0, w_0, w_1) \approx 0.0$
- $H(1, w_0, w_1) \approx 1.0$
- $H(1, w_0, w_1) \approx 2.0$



Example

- $L = \sum_i (y_i - w_1 x_i - w_0)^2$

  $= (0.0 - w_1 \cdot 0.0 - w_0)^2 + (1.0 - w_1 \cdot 1.0 - w_0)^2 + (2.0 - w_1 \cdot 1.0 - w_0)^2$

  $= 2{w_1}^2 + 3{w_0}^2 - 6w_1 - 6w_0 + 4w_1 w_0 + 5$



Example

- $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = 4w_1 + 4w_0 - 6 = 0$
- $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_0} = 4w_1 + 6w_0 - 6 = 0$
- $\therefore\ w_1 = 1.5, w_0 = 0.0$



Multi Variable Linear Regression

- x가 scalar값(1개)가 아니라 vector가 된다면??

- Input
  - X1 : Facebook 광고료
  - X2 : TV 광고료
  - X3 : 신문 광고료
- Output
  - 판매량

FB	TV	신문	판매량
X_1	X_2	X_3	Y
230.1	37.8	69.2	22.1
44.5	39.3	45.1	10.4
17.2	45.9	69.3	9.3
151.5	41.3	58.5	18.5
180.8	10.8	58.4	12.9
8.7	48.9	75	7.2
57.5	32.8	23.5	11.8
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Multi Variable Linear Regression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_{\text{lin}} &= \underset{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathrm{E}_{\text{in}}(\mathbf{w}) \\
&= \underset{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N}||X\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{y}||^2 \\
&= \underset{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N}(\mathbf{w}^\top X^\top X\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}^\top X^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

Multi Variable Linear Regression

- Find w that minimize $E_{in}(w)$ by requiring

$$\nabla \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0}$$

- From previous equation

$$\nabla \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{2}{N}(X^{\top}X\mathbf{w} - X^{\top}\mathbf{y})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{lin}} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{w}) \\
&= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \frac{1}{N}||X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||^2 \\
&= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \frac{1}{N}(\mathbf{w}^{\top}X^{\top}X\mathbf{w} - 2\mathbf{w}^{\top}X^{\top}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^{\top}\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}$$

Multi Variable Linear Regression

- Two scenarios
  - If $X^T X$ is invertible

    Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse

    $\boldsymbol{w} =$ [redacted] y
  - If $X^T X$ is not invertible

    Pseudo-inverse defined, but no unique solution

<*Note*>
$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ 일 때(n: sample 수, p: input vector size),
- p가 커질수록 matrix inversion 연산량이 많아짐
- p>n 이면 $X^T X$ is not invertible

Classification도 할 수 있지 않을까요?

Binary Classification

- 종양의 크기에 따른 양성/음성 판별 문제
  - 1 : 양성(암), 0: 음성(정상)



Binary Classification

- Linear Regression으로 해봅시다
  - Regression 예측값이 0.5 이상이면 양성, 0.5 이하면 음성으로 판별



Binary Classification

- 종양의 크기가 매우 큰 data(outlier)가 추가된 경우





Problems of Linear Regression for Classification





Binary Classification

- 아주 크거나 아주 작은 data에 영향을 많이 받지 않았으면 좋겠다
- Binary classification에 맞게 0에서 1사이 값으로 나오면 좋겠다

→ Sigmoid 를 써보자



Logistic Regression

- Linear Regression 식에 Sigmoid 함수를 통과시킨 것
  - $H(x) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x} + b) = \frac{1}{1+ e^{-(w^T x+b)}} = P(y = 1|\boldsymbol{x})$



Logistic Regression

- 새로운 Cost(Loss) function을 정의(maximum likelihood estimation)

$$cost(W) = -\frac{1}{m}\sum ylog(H(x)) + (1-y)log(1-H(x))$$



(a) *Sigmoid function.* **(b)** *Cost for* $y = 0$. **(c)** *Cost for* $y = 1$.

Figure B.1: *Logarithmic transformation of the sigmoid function.*

Minimizing NLL

$$\mathbf{e}(h(\mathbf{x}_n), y_n) = \ln\left(1 + e^{-y_n \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n}\right)$$

- We can define loss(error) function as below

$$\mathrm{E_{in}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_n \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_n}\right)$$



- Unfortunately, not easy to manipulate analytically

$$\nabla \mathrm{E_{in}}(\mathbf{w}) = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{y_n \mathbf{x}_n}{1 + e^{y_n \mathbf{w}^\mathrm{T} \mathbf{x}_n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} -y_n \mathbf{x}_n \theta(-y_n \mathbf{w}^\mathrm{T} \mathbf{x}_n)$$

- We need iterative optimization
- Use 미분!

미분??

$w=w_0$에서의 미분값
= 이 점에서의 접선의 기울기
의미) 예를 들어, w_0에서의 미분값이 -2라면, w를 w_0에서 왼쪽(-방향)으로 아주 조금 움직이면 그 2배만큼 L 값이 증가한다는 뜻!



Loss를 w로 미분하고,
미분값이 가리키는 방향의 반대방향으로 아주 조금씩 w를 바꿔나가면 Loss를 감소시킬 수 있다!!

Gradient Descent



Gradient Descent

Loss Function의 미분(Gradient)를 이용하여 weight를 update하는 방법

$$w_{new} = w_{old} - \eta \nabla_w L$$

Weight update $= w_{new} - w_{old}$

$$= -\eta \nabla_w L$$

Loss를 감소 시키는 방향 (Descent)

아주 조금씩 이동 (Learning Rate)

미분값 (Gradient)



Linear Regression Again

- Find the linear function(f) that best describes the given data
  - $H(x, w_0, w_1) = w_1 x + w_0$



$$L = \sum_i (y_i - w_1 x_i - w_0)^2$$

$$= (0.0 - w_1 \cdot 0.0 - w_0)^2 + (1.0 - w_1 \cdot 1.0 - w_0)^2 + (2.0 - w_1 \cdot 1.0 - w_0)^2$$

$$= 2{w_1}^2 + 3{w_0}^2 - 6w_1 - 6w_0 + 4w_1 w_0 + 5$$

Solving Linear Regression Using GD

- Choose a small value for η such as $\eta = 0.1$
- Randomly select $w_0^0 = 1, w_1^0 = 1$, initially
- Repeat

$$w_0^{t+1} = w_0^t - \eta(4w_1^t + 6w_0^t - 6)$$
$$w_1^{t+1} = w_1^t - \eta(4w_1^t + 4w_0^t - 6)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = 4w_1 + 4w_0 - 6 = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_0} = 4w_1 + 6w_0 - 6 = 0$$

Solving Linear Regression Using GD

$$w_0^0 = 1$$
$$w_1^0 = 1$$

$$w_0^1 = 1 - 0.1(4 \times 1 + 6 \times 1 - 6) = 0.6$$
$$w_1^1 = 1 - 0.1(4 \times 1 + 4 \times 1 - 6) = 0.8$$

$$w_0^2 = 0.6 - 0.1(4 \times 0.8 + 6 \times 0.6 - 6) = 0.54$$
$$w_1^2 = 0.8 - 0.1(4 \times 0.8 + 4 \times 0.6 - 6) = 0.84$$

$$w_0^3 = 0.54 - 0.1(4 \times 0.84 + 6 \times 0.54 - 6) = 0.480$$
$$w_1^3 = 0.84 - 0.1(4 \times 0.84 + 4 \times 0.54 - 6) = 0.888$$

Solving Linear Regression Using GD

$$w_0^4 = 0.480 - 0.1(4 \times 0.888 + 6 \times 0.480 - 6) = 0.4368$$
$$w_1^4 = 0.888 - 0.1(4 \times 0.888 + 4 \times 0.480 - 6) = 0.9408$$

…

$$w_0^{100} = 0.00007713$$
$$w_1^{100} = 1.49989171$$



Gradient Decent Example

- **Global minimum 0 at (1,1)**





- **Start at (0,0)**
  - # iterations (steps) $= 20$
  - step size $\eta = 0.3$



Learning Rate



Stochastic Gradient Descent, Mini-batch Training

- Data가 너무 많아서 한번에 다 넣고 학습하면 시간도 오래걸리고, memory도 부족하게 됨





Learning Rate & Mini-Batch



Mini-Batch size: Number of training instances the network evaluates per weight update step.

- Larger batch size = more computational speed
- Smaller batch size = (empirically) better generalization

"Training with large minibatches is bad for your health. More importantly, it's bad for your test error. Friends don't let friends use minibatches larger than 32."
- Yann LeCun
Revisiting Small Batch Training for Deep Neural Networks (2018)

"when increasing the batch size, a linear increase of the learning rate η with the batch size m is required to keep the mean SGD weight update per training example constant"

Multi-Layer Perceptron



Multi-Layer Perceptron



A many-layer network of perceptrons can engage in sophisticated decision making.

Network을 deep하게 쌓고, class도 여러 개일 때는
어떻게 학습할 수 있을까?

먼저 Multi-Layer부터 생각해봅시다

미분을 계산해봅시다!

$$z11 = x1 \cdot w11 + x2 \cdot w12 + x3 \cdot w13 + x4 \cdot w14$$

$$a11 = \sigma(z11) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z11}}$$

$$z2 = a11 \cdot w21 + a12 \cdot w22 + a13 \cdot w23$$

$$a2 = z2$$

$$L = (y - a2)^2$$

일 때,

w11을 update 하기 위해 필요한 미분값

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w11} = ??????$$



Back Propagation

$$z11 = x1 \cdot w11 + x2 \cdot w12 + x3 \cdot w13 + x4 \cdot w14$$
$$a11 = \sigma(z11) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z11}}$$
$$z2 = a11 \cdot w21 + a12 \cdot w22 + a13 \cdot w23$$
$$a2 = z2$$
$$L = (y - a2)^2$$

Loss부터 거꾸로 한 단계씩 미분을 해봅시다

$$\partial L/\partial a2 = -2(y - a2)$$
$$\partial a2/\partial z2 = 1$$
$$\partial z2/\partial a11 = w21$$
$$\partial a11/\partial z11 = \sigma(z11) \cdot \left(1 - \sigma(z11)\right)$$
$$\partial z11/\partial w11 = x1$$

이 미분들을 전부 각각 곱하면(chain rule),

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a2} \cdot \frac{\partial a2}{\partial z2} \cdot \frac{\partial z2}{\partial a11} \cdot \frac{\partial a11}{\partial z11} \cdot \frac{\partial z11}{\partial w11} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial w11}$$

우리가 구하려고 했던 미분값



Back Propagation

Loss로부터 거꾸로 한 단계씩 미뷰 값을 구하고 이 값들을 chain rule 에 의하여 곱해가면서 weight에 대한 gradient를 구하는 방법



Back Propagation

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4



Back Propagation

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



Back Propagation

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



Chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$$

Chain Rule(Local Gradient)



Back Propagation(Example)

Another example: $$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



Back Propagation(Example)

Another example: $$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$(\frac{-1}{1.37^2})(1.00) = -0.53$$

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Back Propagation(Example)

Another example: $$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$(1)(-0.53) = -0.53$$

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Back Propagation(Example)

Another example: $$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$(e^{-1})(-0.53) = -0.20$$

$f(x) = e^x$	$\rightarrow$	$\frac{df}{dx} = e^x$
$f_a(x) = ax$	$\rightarrow$	$\frac{df}{dx} = a$

$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$	$\rightarrow$	$\frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$
$f_c(x) = c + x$	$\rightarrow$	$\frac{df}{dx} = 1$

Back Propagation(Example)

Another example: $$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Back Propagation(Example)

Another example: $$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



[local gradient] x [upstream gradient]

[1] x [0.2] = 0.2

[1] x [0.2] = 0.2 (both inputs!)

$$f(x) = e^x \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Back Propagation(Example)

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



[local gradient] x [upstream gradient]

x0: [2] x [0.2] = 0.4

w0: [-1] x [0.2] = -0.2

$$f(x) = e^x \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \rightarrow \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Back Propagation(Example)

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$

$$\boxed{\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}$$ sigmoid function

$$\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} = \left(\frac{1+e^{-x}-1}{1+e^{-x}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) = (1-\sigma(x))\,\sigma(x)$$



Back Propagation(Example)

Patterns in gradient flow

add gate: gradient distributor



mul gate: “swap multiplier”



copy gate: gradient adder



max gate: gradient router



이제 Multi-Layer인 Network도 학습하는 방법은 알았는데, 그럼 Multi-Class는?

Softmax!

Original Output Layer



$$a_j^L = \sigma(z_j^L) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z_j^L}} \in [0,1]$$

$$0 \leq \sum_j a_j^L \leq N^{(L)}$$

In classification problem, a desired output vector contains zero elements except only one '1' element .

→ This can be view as sum of all elements is 1

Output Layer of Softmax



$$a_j^L = \frac{e^{z_j^L}}{\sum_k e^{z_k^L}} \in [0,1]$$

Non-locality

$$\sum_j a_j^L = 1$$

A softmax layer outputs a probability distribution!!

Monotonicity $\frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_k^L}$ = *positive* if j=k, *negative* otherwise

Softmax

- Output을 확률처럼 나타내보자

$$P(y = j \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k}}$$



$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k$	$e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k}$	$\frac{e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k}}$	one-hot vector
강아지 0.37	1.4477	0.2296	0
고양이 1.58	4.8549	0.7704	1

수치 → exp → 확률 ↔ 확률

softmax!

Loss Function of Softmax

- Loss function은 어떻게 정의할까?
  - L1 loss or L2 loss(MSE)?



$\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k$	$e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k}$	$\frac{e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{w}_k}}$	one-hot vector
강아지 0.37	1.4477	0.2296	0
고양이 1.58	4.8549	0.7704	1

수치 → exp → 확률 ↔ 확률

softmax!

Loss Function of Logistic Regression

- Cross Entropy Loss!

$$cost(W) = -\frac{1}{m}\sum ylog(H(x)) + (1-y)log(1-H(x))$$



(a) *Sigmoid function.* **(b)** *Cost for* $y = 0$. **(c)** *Cost for* $y = 1$.

Figure B.1: *Logarithmic transformation of the sigmoid function.*

Loss Function – Classification

- 마지막 layer의 activation function
  - Softmax $P(y = j \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{w}_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{w}_k}}$



- Loss Function – Cross Entropy
  - $L = \sum -y \log H(x)$,
  - y는 $label$(정답), $H(x)$는 $network\ output$(예측값, softmax 결과)

Binary Classification

- 마지막 layer의 activation function
  - Sigmoid – $H(x) = \sigma(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x} + b) = \frac{1}{1+ e^{-(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}+b)}} = P(y|\boldsymbol{x})$



Softmax → sigmoid

$$\frac{e^{\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}+b}}{e^{\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}+b} + e^0} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}+b)}}$$

entropy

- Information Theory에서 Entropy란 정보량의 기댓값(평균)이다

$$\mathrm{H}(X) = \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{I}(X)] = \mathrm{E}[-\ln(\mathrm{P}(X))].$$



- 항상 일어나는 사건이라면 p=1 이고 이것이 가지고 있는 정보량은 0이다.
- 일어날 확률이 적을 수록 많은 정보를 담고 있다.

Entropy

$$\mathrm{H}(X) = \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{I}(X)] = \mathrm{E}[-\ln(\mathrm{P}(X))].$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{P}(x_i)\,\mathrm{I}(x_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{P}(x_i)\log_b \mathrm{P}(x_i),$$



Ex) 동전던지기

P(앞) = ½
P(뒤) = ½

$$H(X) = -(0.5 * \log_2 0.5 + 0.5 * \log_2 0.5) = 0.5 + 0.5 = 1$$

Cross Entropy

True distribution p,
Model이 예측한 distribution을 q라고 하면

Cross entropy를 minimize 하는 것은 p와 q의 분포가 가까워지도록 만드는 것과 같다

$$H(p,q) = -\sum_i p_i \log q_i$$

$$= -\sum_i p_i \log q_i \boxed{- \sum_i p_i \log p_i} + \sum_i p_i \log p_i$$

$$= \boxed{H(p)} + \sum_i p_i \log p_i - \sum_i p_i \log q_i$$

$$= H(p) + \sum_i p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}$$

$$= H(p) + D_{KL}(p \parallel q)$$

P 자체의 entropy(불변)

p를 기준으로 q가 얼마나 다른가
(p의 distribution과 q의 distribution의 거리)

Kullback–Leibler(KL) Divergence

p의 entropy

p, q의 cross entropy

- 두 확률 분포간의 거리(?)를 나타냄
  - $D_{KL}(p \parallel q) = p \log \frac{p}{q} = p \log p + (-p \log q) = -H(p) + H(p, q) = H(p, q)$
  - p는 label, q는 network output 이라고 할 경우, network의 목표는 label이 나타내는 확률 분포 p와 최대한 가까운 q를 학습하고자 하는 것임
  - 일반적으로 label은 one-hot encoding 하기 때문에, 각 label의 값이 확률이라고 생각하면 p의 entropy, $H(p) = 0$
  - $D_{KL}(p \parallel q) > 0$

Probabilistic View





$p(y|f_{\theta_2}(x)) < p(y|f_{\theta_1}(x))$

예측

$f_\theta(\cdot)$ → $p(y|f_\theta(x))$

모델 종류

정해진 확률분포에서 출력이 나올 확률

$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}}[-\log(p(y|f_\theta(x)))]$$

주어진 데이터를 제일 잘 설명하는 모델 찾기

Probabilistic View

- Linear Regression
  - Training data is considered as the set of iid samples from underlying probabilistic model:

    $y_i = \theta^T x_i + \epsilon_i$, where ϵ_i follows Gaussian distribution $\epsilon_i \sim \mathrm{N}(0, \sigma^2)$



  - Models predict the mean of Gaussian distribution(μ_i)

Probabilistic View

- Logistic Regression
  - Training data is considered as the set of iid samples which follows Bernoulli distribution:

    $$p(x) = p^x(1-p)^{(1-x)}$$

  - Models predict the probability $p(y = 1|x, \theta)$ directly

Probabilistic View – Minimize Negative Log-Likelihod

Univariate cases

$$-\log\left(p(y_i|f_\theta(x_i))\right)$$

Gaussian distribution

$$f_\theta(x_i) = \mu_i, \sigma_i = 1$$

$$p(y_i|\mu_i,\sigma_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i}\exp\left(-\frac{(y_i-\mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right)$$

$$\log(p(y_i|\mu_i,\sigma_i)) = \log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} - \frac{(y_i-\mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}$$

$$-\log(p(y_i|\mu_i)) = -\log\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{(y_i-\mu_i)^2}{2}$$

$$-\log(p(y_i|\mu_i)) \propto \frac{(y_i-\mu_i)^2}{2} = \frac{\left(y_i-f_\theta(x_i)\right)^2}{2}$$

Mean Squared Error

Bernoulli distribution

$$f_\theta(x_i) = p_i$$

$$p(y_i|p_i) = p_i^{y_i}(1-p_i)^{1-y_i}$$

$$\log(p(y_i|p_i)) = y_i\log p_i + (1-y_i)\log(1-p_i)$$

$$-\log(p(y_i|p_i)) = -[y_i\log p_i + (1-y_i)\log(1-p_i)]$$

Cross-entropy

Fundamental Equation behind Back-Prop

Cost	Error Signal	Error Gradient
C	$\delta_j^l \equiv \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^l}$	$\partial C/\partial w_{jk}^l$ and $\partial C/\partial b_j^l$

Equation for the error in the output layer, δ^L

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} \cdot \frac{\partial a_j^L}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} \cdot \sigma'(z_j^L)$$

Chain rule

$$\delta_j^L = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j^L} \cdot \sigma'(z_j^L)$$



Quadratic Cost Function : $C = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k (y_k^L - a_k^L)^2$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_k (y_k - a_k^L)^2\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_k \left(y_k - \sigma(z_k^L)\right)^2\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^L}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(y_j - \sigma(z_j^L)\right)^2\right)$$

Only the j[th] term is valid

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^L} = -\left(y_j - \sigma(z_j^L)\right)\sigma'(z_j^L) = (a_j^L - y_j)\sigma'(z_j^L)$$

Slide credit : Hwalsuk Lee@CLAIR

Mean Squared Error

We hope and expect that our neural networks will learn fast from their errors.
An example :

An Object



$$C = \frac{(a-y)^2}{2} = \frac{a^2}{2}$$

$$a = \sigma(z) = \sigma(wx + b) = \sigma(w + b)$$

$$\delta = a\sigma'(w + b)$$

$$w = w - \eta\delta$$

$$b = b - \eta\delta$$

Initialization 1





- *w=-1.2831*
- *b=-0.9831*
- *a= 0.0942*

Initialization 2





- *w=-0.6843*
- *b=-0.6843*
- *a = 0.20045*

difficulty learning when it's badly wrong

Slide credit : Hwalsuk Lee@CLAIR

Mean Squared Error

We hope and expect that our neural networks will learn fast from their errors.
An example :



$$C = \frac{(a-y)^2}{2} = \frac{a^2}{2}$$

$$a = \sigma(z) = \sigma(wx + b) = \sigma(w + b)$$

$$\delta = a\sigma'(w + b)$$

$$w = w - \eta\delta$$

$$b = b - \eta\delta$$

Learning slow means are $\partial C / \partial w$, $\partial C / \partial b$ small

Why they are small???

$$C = \frac{(y-a)^2}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w} = (a-y)\sigma'(z)x = a\sigma'(z)$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b} = (a-y)\sigma'(z) = a\sigma'(z)$$



Slide credit : Hwalsuk Lee@CLAIR

Cross Entropy Cost Function

Introducing the cross-entropy cost function

$$C = \frac{-1}{n}\sum_x [y\, ln\, a + (1-y) ln(1-a)]$$



[Two features]
- Non-negative → cost function
- Zero at y=a

For a single neuron





Error gradient

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_j} = \frac{-1}{n}\sum_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial a}\cdot\frac{\partial a}{\partial z}\cdot\frac{\partial z}{\partial w_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial a} = \frac{y}{a} + (1-y)\frac{-1}{1-a} = \frac{y-a}{(1-a)a}$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = \sigma'(z) = \big(1-\sigma(z)\big)\sigma(z) = (1-a)a \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial w_j} = x_j$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_j} = \frac{-1}{n}\sum_x (y-a)x_j = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x (\sigma(z)-y)x_j$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x (\sigma(z)-y)$$

Slide credit : Hwalsuk Lee@CLAIR

Cross Entropy vs MSE

Error gradient comparison



$Cross - entropy\ cost$	$Quadratic\ cost$
$C = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_x [y \ln a + (1-y)\ln(1-a)\ ]$	$C = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x \frac{1}{2}(a-y)^2$
$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_j} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x (\sigma(z)-y)x_j$	$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_j} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x (\sigma(z)-y)\cdot \boxed{\sigma'(z)} \cdot x_j$
	Error signal decreaser!!!
$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x (\sigma(z)-y)$	$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_x (\sigma(z)-y)\cdot \boxed{\sigma'(z)}$

Slide credit : Hwalsuk Lee@CLAIR