



Image Segmentation



Semantic Segmentation



- PASCAL VOC segmentation
 - 10K images, 20 classes + bgnd
- MS COCO
 - 100K images, 80 classes + bgnd



Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation

Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation

Jonathan Long*

Evan Shelhamer*

Trevor Darrell

UC Berkeley

{jonlong, shelhamer, trevor}@cs.berkeley.edu

Abstract

Convolutional networks are powerful visual models that yield hierarchies of features. We show that convolutional networks by themselves, trained end-to-end, pixels-to-pixels, exceed the state-of-the-art in semantic segmentation. Our key insight is to build “fully convolutional” networks that take input of arbitrary size and produce correspondingly-sized output with efficient inference and learning. We define and detail the space of fully convolutional networks, explain their application to spatially dense prediction tasks, and draw connections to prior models. We adapt contemporary classification networks (AlexNet [22],





Figure 1. Fully convolutional networks can efficiently learn to make dense predictions for per-pixel tasks like semantic segmentation.


Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation

- Fully convolutional network(FCN)
 - Pixel-wise prediction with end to end learning
- Fully Convolutional versions of existing networks predict dense outputs from arbitrary-sized inputs


Classification Network




Becoming Fully Convolutional Network



Becoming Fully Convolutional Network




Heatmap from FCN




Upsampling Output


- They use deconvolution for upsampling




End to End, Pixels to Pixels Network




Skip Layers




Skip Layers



Results



Results



Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation

Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation


Hyeonwoo Noh

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, POSTECH, Korea

{hyeonwoonoh_, maga33, bhhan}@postech.ac.kr

Abstract

We propose a novel semantic segmentation algorithm by learning a deep deconvolution network. We learn the network on top of the convolutional layers adopted from VGG 16-layer net. The deconvolution network is composed of deconvolution and unpooling layers, which identify pixel-wise class labels and predict segmentation masks. We apply the trained network to each proposal in an input image, and construct the final semantic segmentation map by combining the results from all proposals in a simple manner. The proposed algorithm mitigates the limitations of the existing methods based on fully convolutional networks by integrating deep deconvolution network and proposal-wise prediction; our segmentation method typically identifies detailed structures and handles objects in multiple scales naturally. Our network demonstrates outstanding performance




(a) Inconsistent labels due to large object size




(b) Missing labels due to small object size

FCN's Limitation

- FCN has a predefined fixed-size receptive field. Therefore, the object that is substantially larger or smaller than the receptive field may be fragmented or mislabeled
- The detailed structures of an object are often lost or smoothed because the label map is too coarse and deconvolution procedure is overly simple




(a) Inconsistent labels due to large object size



(b) Missing labels due to small object size


Deconvolution Network

- To address such issues, Use “**Deconvolution**”!
- Convolution network extract features(VGG-16)
- Deconvolution network generate probability map(same size to input image)
- Probability map indicates probability of each pixel belongs to one of class




Unpooling and Deconvolution

- Unpooling
 - Reconstruct structure of original activation map
 - Activation size is preserved but still sparse
- Deconvolution
 - Densify sparse activation map




Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

Typical 3×3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1




Input: 4×4



Output: 4×4


Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

Typical 3×3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1




Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

Typical 3×3 convolution, stride 1 pad 1



Input: 4×4




Output: 4×4


Dot product
between filter
and input

Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

Typical 3×3 convolution, **stride 2** pad 1




Input: 4×4



Output: 2×2


Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

Typical 3×3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4×4


Dot product
between filter
and input



Output: 2×2


Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

Typical 3×3 convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input: 4×4


Dot product
between filter
and input




Output: 2×2

Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”

3 x 3 “deconvolution”, stride 2 pad 1




Input: 2 x 2




Output: 4 x 4


Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”



Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”



Learnable Upsampling: “Deconvolution”



Analysis of DeconvNet






Figure 4. Visualization of activations in our deconvolution network. The activation maps from (b) to (j) correspond to the output maps from lower to higher layers in the deconvolution network. We select the most representative activation in each layer for effective visualization. The image in (a) is an input, and the rest are the outputs from (b) the last 14×14 deconvolutional layer, (c) the 28×28 unpooling layer, (d) the last 28×28 deconvolutional layer, (e) the 56×56 unpooling layer, (f) the last 56×56 deconvolutional layer, (g) the 112×112 unpooling layer, (h) the last 112×112 deconvolutional layer, (i) the 224×224 unpooling layer and (j) the last 224×224 deconvolutional layer. The finer details of the object are revealed, as the features are forward-propagated through the layers in the deconvolution network. Note that noisy activations from background are suppressed through propagation while the activations closely related to the target classes are amplified. It shows that the learned filters in higher deconvolutional layers tend to capture class-specific shape information.

Results




(a) Examples that our method produces better results than FCN [19].

Results



(b) Examples that FCN produces better results than our method.



(c) Examples that inaccurate predictions from our method and FCN are improved by ensemble.

U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation

U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation

Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox



Computer Science Department and BIOSS Centre for Biological Signalling Studies,
University of Freiburg, Germany

ronneber@informatik.uni-freiburg.de,

WWW home page: <http://lmb.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/>


Abstract. There is large consent that successful training of deep networks requires many thousand annotated training samples. In this paper, we present a network and training strategy that relies on the strong use of data augmentation to use the available annotated samples more efficiently. The architecture consists of a contracting path to capture context and a symmetric expanding path that enables precise localization. We show that such a network can be trained end-to-end from very few images and outperforms the prior best method (a sliding-window

Biomedical Image Segmentation with U-net



- U-Net learns segmentation in an end-to-end setting
- Very few annotated images (approx. 30 per application)


U-Net Architecture




U-Net Architecture




U-Net Architecture




3x3 Convolution + ReLU




U-Net Architecture




2x2 Max-pooling




U-Net Architecture




U-Net Architecture



2x2 Up-convolution




Overlap-tile strategy for arbitrary large images




- Segmentation of the yellow area uses input data of the blue area
- Raw data extrapolation by mirroring

Augment Training Data using Deformations




resulting deformed image

(for visualization: no rotation, no shift, no extrapolation)



correspondingly deformed
manual labels

ISBI 2015 Result



Name	PhC-U373	DIC-HeLa
IMCB-SG (2014)	0.2669	0.2935
KTH-SE (2014)	0.7953	0.4607
HOUS-US (2014)	0.5323	-
second-best 2015	0.83	0.46
u-net (2015)	0.9203	0.7756

Pyramid Scene Parsing Network(PSPNet)

Pyramid Scene Parsing Network

Hengshuang Zhao¹ Jianping Shi² Xiaojuan Qi¹ Xiaogang Wang¹ Jiaya Jia¹

¹The Chinese University of Hong Kong ²SenseTime Group Limited

{hszhao, xjqi, leojia}@cse.cuhk.edu.hk, xgwang@ee.cuhk.edu.hk, shijianping@sensetime.com


Abstract

Scene parsing is challenging for unrestricted open vocabulary and diverse scenes. In this paper, we exploit the capability of global context information by different-region-based context aggregation through our pyramid pooling module together with the proposed pyramid scene parsing network (PSPNet). Our global prior representation is effective to produce good quality results on the scene parsing task, while PSPNet provides a superior framework for pixel-level prediction. The proposed approach achieves state-of-the-art performance on various datasets. It came first in ImageNet scene parsing challenge 2016, PASCAL VOC 2012 benchmark and Cityscapes benchmark. A single PSPNet yields the new record of mIoU accuracy 85.4% on PASCAL VOC 2012, and 88.2% on Cityscapes.



Pyramid Scene Parsing Network(PSPNet)

- Deep Network with a suitable global-scene-level prior can much improve the performance of scene parsing



PSPNet Architecture






Figure 3. Overview of our proposed PSPNet. Given an input image (a), we first use CNN to get the feature map of the last convolutional layer (b), then a pyramid parsing module is applied to harvest different sub-region representations, followed by upsampling and concatenation layers to form the final feature representation, which carries both local and global context information in (c). Finally, the representation is fed into a convolution layer to get the final per-pixel prediction (d).

Results




Results



(a) Image

(b) Ground Truth

(c) PSPNet



(a) Image

(b) Ground Truth

(c) FCN

(d) DPN

(e) DeepLab

(f) PSPNet