

SUPPLEMENT TO “INCONSISTENCY OF PITMAN–YOR PROCESS MIXTURES FOR THE NUMBER OF COMPONENTS”

BY JEFFREY W. MILLER^{*} AND MATTHEW T. HARRISON^{*}

Brown University

This supplementary document contains various proofs that were excluded from the main document ([Miller and Harrison, 2013](#)) in the interest of space.

S1. Miscellaneous proofs.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.3. (1) For any $\theta \in \Theta$ and any $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} s_j(x)^2 p_{\theta}(x) d\lambda(x) = \exp(-\kappa(\theta)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j^2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \exp(\theta^T s(x)) d\lambda(x) < \infty$$

([Hoffmann-Jørgensen, 1994](#), 8.36.1). Since P has density $f = \sum \pi_i p_{\theta(i)}$ with respect to λ , then

$$\mathbb{E} s_j(X)^2 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_j(x)^2 f(x) d\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \pi_i \int_{\mathcal{X}} s_j(x)^2 p_{\theta(i)}(x) d\lambda(x) < \infty,$$

and hence

$$(\mathbb{E}|s(X)|)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}|s(X)|^2 = \mathbb{E} s_1(X)^2 + \dots + \mathbb{E} s_k(X)^2 < \infty.$$

(2) Note that $S_P(s) \subset S_{\lambda}(s)$ (in fact, they are equal since P_{θ} and λ are mutually absolutely continuous for any $\theta \in \Theta$), and therefore

$$S_P(s) \subset S_{\lambda}(s) \subset C_{\lambda}(s) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}$$

by Proposition B.1(8). Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(s(X) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \geq \mathbb{P}(s(X) \in S_P(s)) = P s^{-1}(\text{support}(P s^{-1})) = 1.$$

(3) Suppose λ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, \mathcal{X} is open and connected, and s is real analytic. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be a hyperplane, and write $L = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^k : z^T y = b\}$ where $y \in \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = s(x)^T y - b$. Then g is real analytic on \mathcal{X} , since a finite sum of real analytic functions is real analytic. Since \mathcal{X} is connected, it follows that either g is

^{*}Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1007593 and DARPA contract FA8650-11-1-715.

identically zero, or the set $V = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : g(x) = 0\}$ has Lebesgue measure zero (Krantz, 1992). Now, g cannot be identically zero, since for any $\theta \in \Theta$, letting $Z \sim P_\theta$, we have

$$0 < y^\top \kappa''(\theta) y = y^\top (\text{Cov } s(Z)) y = \text{Var}(y^\top s(Z)) = \text{Var } g(Z)$$

by Proposition B.1(2) and (3). Consequently, V must have Lebesgue measure zero. Hence, $P(V) = 0$, since P is absolutely continuous with respect to λ , and thus, with respect to Lebesgue measure. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(s(X) \in L) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) = 0) = P(V) = 0. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF LEMMA C.1. By Taylor's theorem, for any $x \in B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ there exists z_x on the line between x_0 and x such that, letting $y = x - x_0$,

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + y^\top f'(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} y^\top f''(z_x) y = f(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} y^\top f''(z_x) y.$$

Since $z_x \in B_\varepsilon(x_0)$, and thus $A \preceq f''(z_x) \preceq B$,

$$\frac{1}{2} y^\top A y \leq f(x) - f(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} y^\top B y.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tf(x_0)} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_0)} \exp(-tf(x)) dx &\leq \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_0)} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^\top (tA)(x - x_0)) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{k/2} |(tA)^{-1}|^{1/2} \mathbb{P}(|(tA)^{-1/2} Z| \leq \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Along with a similar argument for the lower bound, this implies

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{k/2} \frac{C(t, \varepsilon, B)}{|B|^{1/2}} \leq e^{tf(x_0)} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_0)} \exp(-tf(x)) dx \leq \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{k/2} \frac{C(t, \varepsilon, A)}{|A|^{1/2}}.$$

Considering the rest of the integral, outside of $B_\varepsilon(x_0)$, we have

$$0 \leq \int_{E \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_0)} \exp(-tf(x)) dx \leq \exp(-(t-s)(f(x_0) + \delta)) g(s).$$

Combining the preceding four inequalities yields the result. \square

Although we do not need it (and thus, we omit the proof), the following corollary gives the well-known asymptotic form of the Laplace approximation. (As usual, $g(t) \sim h(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ means that $g(t)/h(t) \rightarrow 1$.)

COROLLARY S1.1. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open. Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 smooth such that for some $x_0 \in E$ we have that $f'(x_0) = 0$, $f''(x_0)$ is positive definite, and $f(x) > f(x_0)$ for all $x \in E \setminus \{x_0\}$. Suppose there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon(x_0) \subset E$ and $\inf\{f(x) - f(x_0) : x \in E \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_0)\}$ is positive, and suppose there is some $s > 0$ such that $\int_E e^{-sf(x)} dx < \infty$. Then*

$$\int_E \exp(-tf(x)) dx \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{k/2} \frac{\exp(-tf(x_0))}{|f''(x_0)|^{1/2}}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

S2. Capture lemma. In this section, we prove Lemma 8.1, which is restated here for the reader's convenience.

The following definitions are standard. Let \mathcal{S} denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^k , that is, $\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : |x| = 1\}$. We say that $H \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is a *halfspace* if $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x^\top u \prec b\}$, where \prec is either $<$ or \leq , for some $u \in \mathcal{S}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that $L \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is a *hyperplane* if $L = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x^\top u = b\}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{S}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, let ∂U denote the *boundary* of U , that is, $\partial U = \bar{U} \setminus U^\circ$. So, for example, if H is a halfspace, then ∂H is a hyperplane. The following notation is also useful: given $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we call the set $R_x = \{ax : a > 0\}$ the *ray through x* .

We give the central part of the proof first, postponing some plausible intermediate results for the moment.

LEMMA S2.1 (Capture lemma). *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open and convex. Let P be a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^k such that:*

- (1) $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ when $X \sim P$,
- (2) $P(\bar{V}) = 1$, and
- (3) $P(L) = 0$ for any hyperplane L that does not intersect V .

If $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$, then for any $\beta \in (0, 1]$ there exists $U \subset V$ compact such that $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, U) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume $0 \in V$ (since otherwise we can translate to make it so, obtain U , and translate back). Let $\beta \in (0, 1]$. By Proposition S2.3 below, for each $u \in \mathcal{S}$ there is a closed halfspace H_u such that $0 \in H_u^\circ$, R_u intersects $V \cap \partial H_u$, and $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, H_u) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Proposition S2.5 below, there exist $u_1, \dots, u_r \in \mathcal{S}$ (for some $r > 0$) such that the set $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^r H_{u_i}$ is compact and $U \subset V$. Finally,

$$\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, U) = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, H_{u_i}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} 1. \quad \square$$

The main idea of the lemma is exhibited in the following simpler case, which we will use to prove Proposition S2.3.

PROPOSITION S2.2. *Let $V = (-\infty, c)$, where $-\infty < c \leq \infty$. Let P be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} such that:*

- (1) $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ when $X \sim P$, and
- (2) $P(V) = 1$.

If $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$, then for any $\beta \in (0, 1]$ there exists $b < c$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, (-\infty, b]) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Let $\beta \in (0, 1]$. By continuity from above, there exists $a < c$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X > a) < \beta$. If $\mathbb{P}(X > a) = 0$ then the result is trivial, taking $b = a$.

Suppose $\mathbb{P}(X > a) > 0$. Let b such that $\mathbb{E}(X \mid X > a) < b < c$, which is always possible, by a straightforward argument (using $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ in the $c = \infty$ case). Let $B_n = B_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : X_i > a\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B_n|} \sum_{i \in B_n} X_i &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}|B_n|} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i I(X_i > a) \\ &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X I(X > a))}{\mathbb{P}(X > a)} = \mathbb{E}(X \mid X > a) < b. \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, and suppose $0 < |B_n| < \beta n$ and $\frac{1}{|B_n|} \sum_{i \in B_n} X_i < b$, noting that with probability 1, this happens for all n sufficiently large. We show that this implies $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, (-\infty, b]) = 1$. This will prove the result.

Let $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|A| \geq \beta n$. Let $M = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{|A|}\}$ where π is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $X_{\pi_1} \geq \dots \geq X_{\pi_n}$ (that is, $M \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ consists of the indices of $|A|$ of the largest entries of (X_1, \dots, X_n)). Then $|M| = |A| \geq \beta n \geq |B_n|$, and it follows that $B_n \subset M$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{i \in A} X_i \leq \frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{i \in M} X_i \leq \frac{1}{|B_n|} \sum_{i \in B_n} X_i \leq b,$$

as desired. \square

The first of the two propositions used in Lemma S2.1 is the following.

PROPOSITION S2.3. *Let V and P satisfy the conditions of Lemma S2.1, and also assume $0 \in V$. If $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} P$ then for any $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and any $u \in \mathcal{S}$ there is a closed halfspace $H \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ such that*

- (1) $0 \in H^\circ$,
- (2) R_u intersects $V \cap \partial H$, and
- (3) $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, H) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Let $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}$. Either (a) $R_u \subset V$, or (b) R_u intersects ∂V .

(Case (a)) Suppose $R_u \subset V$. Let $Y_i = X_i^\top u$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\mathbb{E}|Y_i| \leq \mathbb{E}|X_i||u| = \mathbb{E}|X_i| < \infty$, and thus, by Proposition S2.2 (with $c = \infty$) there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\beta(Y_{1:n}, (-\infty, b]) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$. Let us choose this b to be positive, which is always possible since $\mathcal{I}_\beta(Y_{1:n}, (-\infty, b])$ is nondecreasing as a function of b . Let $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x^\top u \leq b\}$. Then $0 \in H^\circ$, since $b > 0$, and R_u intersects $V \cap \partial H$ at bu , since $R_u \subset V$ and $bu^\top u = b$. And since $\frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{i \in A} Y_i \leq b$ if and only if $\frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{i \in A} X_i \in H$, we have $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, H) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$.

(Case (b)) Suppose R_u intersects ∂V at some point $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Note that $z \neq 0$ since $0 \notin R_u$. Since \bar{V} is convex, it has a supporting hyperplane at z , and thus, there exist $v \in \mathcal{S}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $G = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x^\top v \leq c\}$ satisfies $\bar{V} \subset G$ and

$z \in \partial G$ (Rockafellar, 1970, 11.2). Note that $c > 0$ and $V \cap \partial G = \emptyset$ since $0 \in V$ and V is open. Letting $Y_i = X_i^T v$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_i \leq c) = \mathbb{P}(X_i^T v \leq c) = \mathbb{P}(X_i \in G) \geq \mathbb{P}(X_i \in \bar{V}) = P(\bar{V}) = 1,$$

and hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_i \geq c) = \mathbb{P}(Y_i = c) = \mathbb{P}(X_i^T v = c) = \mathbb{P}(X_i \in \partial G) = P(\partial G) = 0,$$

by our assumptions on P , since ∂G is a hyperplane that does not intersect V . Consequently, $\mathbb{P}(Y_i < c) = 1$. Also, as before, $\mathbb{E}|Y_i| < \infty$. Thus, by Proposition S2.2, there exists $b < c$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\beta(Y_{1:n}, (-\infty, b]) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$. Since $c > 0$, we may choose this b to be positive (as before). Letting $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x^T v \leq b\}$, we have $\mathcal{I}_\beta(X_{1:n}, H) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$. Also, $0 \in H^\circ$ since $b > 0$.

Now, we must show that R_u intersects $V \cap \partial H$. First, since $z \in R_u$ means $z = au$ for some $a > 0$, and since $z \in \partial G$ means $z^T v = c > 0$, we find that $u^T v > 0$ and $z = cu/u^T v$. Therefore, letting $y = bu/u^T v$, we have $y \in R_u \cap V \cap \partial H$, since

- (i) $b/u^T v > 0$, and thus $y \in R_u$,
- (ii) $y^T v = b$, and thus $y \in \partial H$,
- (iii) $0 < b/u^T v < c/u^T v$, and thus y is a (strict) convex combination of $0 \in V$ and $z \in \bar{V}$, hence $y \in V$ (Rockafellar, 1970, 6.1). \square

To prove Proposition S2.5, we need the following geometrically intuitive facts.

PROPOSITION S2.4. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open and convex, with $0 \in V$. Let H be a closed halfspace such that $0 \in H^\circ$. Let $T = \{x/|x| : x \in V \cap \partial H\}$. Then*

- (1) T is open in \mathcal{S} ,
- (2) $T = \{u \in \mathcal{S} : R_u \text{ intersects } V \cap \partial H\}$, and
- (3) if $x \in H$, $x \neq 0$, and $x/|x| \in T$, then $x \in V$.

PROOF. Write $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x^T v \leq b\}$, with $v \in \mathcal{S}$, $b > 0$. Let $\mathcal{S}_+ = \{u \in \mathcal{S} : u^T v > 0\}$. (1) Define $f : \partial H \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_+$ by $f(x) = x/|x|$, noting that $0 \notin \partial H$. It is easy to see that f is a homeomorphism. Since V is open in \mathbb{R}^k , then $V \cap \partial H$ is open in ∂H . Hence, $T = f(V \cap \partial H)$ is open in \mathcal{S}_+ , and since \mathcal{S}_+ is open in \mathcal{S} , then T is also open in \mathcal{S} . Items (2) and (3) are easily checked. \square

PROPOSITION S2.5. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open and convex, with $0 \in V$. If $(H_u : u \in \mathcal{S})$ is a collection of closed halfspaces such that for all $u \in \mathcal{S}$,*

- (1) $0 \in H_u^\circ$ and
- (2) R_u intersects $V \cap \partial H_u$,

then there exist $u_1, \dots, u_r \in \mathcal{S}$ (for some $r > 0$) such that the set $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^r H_{u_i}$ is compact and $U \subset V$.

PROOF. For $u \in \mathcal{S}$, define $T_u = \{x/|x| : x \in V \cap \partial H_u\}$. By part (1) of Proposition S2.4, T_u is open in \mathcal{S} , and by part (2), $u \in T_u$, since R_u intersects $V \cap \partial H_u$. Thus, $(T_u : u \in \mathcal{S})$ is an open cover of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is compact, there is a finite subcover: there exist $u_1, \dots, u_r \in \mathcal{S}$ (for some $r > 0$) such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^r T_{u_i} \supset \mathcal{S}$, and in fact, $\bigcup_{i=1}^r T_{u_i} = \mathcal{S}$. Let $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^r H_{u_i}$. Then U is closed and convex (as an intersection of closed, convex sets). Further, $U \subset V$ since for any $x \in U$, if $x = 0$ then $x \in V$ by assumption, while if $x \neq 0$ then $x/|x| \in T_{u_i}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $x \in U \subset H_{u_i}$, so $x \in V$ by Proposition S2.4(3).

In order to show that U is compact, we just need to show it is bounded, since we already know it is closed. Suppose not, and let $x_1, x_2, \dots \in U \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_n = x_n/|x_n|$. Since \mathcal{S} is compact, then (v_n) has a convergent subsequence such that $v_{n_i} \rightarrow u$ for some $u \in \mathcal{S}$. Then for any $a > 0$, we have $av_{n_i} \in U$ for all i sufficiently large (since av_{n_i} is a convex combination of $0 \in U$ and $|x_{n_i}|v_{n_i} = x_{n_i} \in U$ whenever $|x_{n_i}| \geq a$). Since $av_{n_i} \rightarrow au$, and U is closed, then $au \in U$. Thus, $au \in U$ for all $a > 0$, i.e. $R_u \subset U$. But $u \in T_{u_j}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, so R_u intersects ∂H_{u_j} (by Proposition S2.4(2)), and thus $au \notin H_{u_j} \supset U$ for all $a > 0$ sufficiently large. This is a contradiction. Therefore, U is bounded. \square

References.

- HOFFMANN-JØRGENSEN, J. (1994). *Probability with a view toward statistics* **2**. Chapman & Hall.
 KRANTZ, S. G. (1992). *Function theory of several complex variables*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence.
 MILLER, J. W. and HARRISON, M. T. (2013). Inconsistency of Pitman–Yor process mixtures for the number of components.
 ROCKAFELLAR, R. T. (1970). *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press.

DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
 BROWN UNIVERSITY
 PROVIDENCE, RI 02912
 E-MAIL: Jeffrey_Miller@Brown.edu
Matthew_Harrison@Brown.edu