

Impact of Fire Attack Utilizing Interior and Exterior Streams on Firefighter Safety and Occupant Survival: Air Entrainment

Craig Weinschenk

Keith Stakes

Robin Zevotek

UL FirefighterSafety Research Institute
Columbia, MD 20145



Impact of Fire Attack Utilizing Interior and Exterior Streams on Firefighter Safety and Occupant Survival: Air Entrainment

Craig Weinschenk

Keith Stakes

Robin Zevotek

UL Firefighter Safety Research Institute
Columbia, MD 21045

January 21, 2017



UL Firefighter Safety Research Institute
Stephen Kerber, Director

In no event shall UL be responsible to anyone for whatever use or non-use is made of the information contained in this Report and in no event shall UL, its employees, or its agents incur any obligation or liability for damages including, but not limited to, consequential damage arising out of or in connection with the use or inability to use the information contained in this Report. Information conveyed by this Report applies only to the specimens actually involved in these tests. UL has not established a factory Follow-Up Service Program to determine the conformance of subsequently produced material, nor has any provision been made to apply any registered mark of UL to such material. The issuance of this Report in no way implies Listing, Classification or Recognition by UL and does not authorize the use of UL Listing, Classification or Recognition Marks or other reference to UL on or in connection with the product or system.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded through a grant from the Department of Homeland Security's Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program under the Fire Prevention and Safety Grants: Research and Development. Without this critical funding and support, this vital fire service research would not be possible.



To assist the design and implementation of the experiments for the Fire Attack study, fire service experts were gathered from across the world with knowledge in fire suppression and the impact of interior and exterior fire streams. The individuals below provided direction for the project, assisting in planning the experiments, witnessing the testing, and developing concrete conclusions. Their tireless support and effort make this project relevant to the fire service across the world.

Fire Service Technical Panel

Name	Fire Department
Steve Brisebois	Montreal Fire Department
Matt Carrigan	Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service
Tony Carroll	Washington DC Fire Department
Albert Castillo	Houston Fire Department
Chad Christensen	Los Angeles County Fire Department
John Chubb	Dublin Fire Brigade
Danny Doyle	Pittsburgh Fire Department
Aaron Fields	Seattle Fire Department
Jason Floyd	Las Cruces Fire Department
John Gallagher	Boston Fire Department
Chad Green	Anchorage Fire Department
Kelly Hanink	Eden Prairie Fire Department
Samuel Hittle	Wichita Fire Department
Jacob Hoffman	Toledo Fire/Rescue Department
Josh Hummel	Howard County Department of Fire and Rescue Services
Jerry Knapp	West Haverstraw (NY) Fire Department
Dennis Legear	Oakland Fire Department
Hans Neiling	Zuid Limburg Fire
Nick Martin	Columbia Fire Department
Ray McCormack	Fire Department of New York
John McDonough	New South Wales Fire Department
Jordan Mohr	Sedgwick County Fire District 1
Steve Pegram	Goshen Township Fire and EMS

The authors would also like to acknowledge Adam Barowy and Greg Sutter of UL LLC for their assistance in conducting the water mapping experiments, specifically with the operation of the Actual Delivery Density apparatus.

Contents

Contents	iv
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	viii
List of Acronyms	ix
1 Background	3
2 Objectives	6
3 Limitation and Scope	7
4 Previous Literature	9
4.1 Fire Service Publications	12
4.2 Fire Service Training Manuals	12
4.3 Research Work	12
5 Experimental Configuration	13
5.1 Test Facility	13
5.2 Instrumentation and Uncertainty	15
5.3 Measurement Location	15
5.4 Equipment Used	17
5.5 Experiments Conducted	18
6 Results	28
6.1 Manufacturer Comparison	28
7 Discussion	33
7.1 Air entrainment is dependent on hose stream type. (smooth bore, straight stream, fog)	33
7.2 Air entrainment is dependent on structure size, compartmentation, and ventilation configurations.	35
7.3 Increases in nozzle movement increase overall air entrainment	42
7.4 Differing nozzle movement patterns have little effect on overall air entrainment. .	44
7.5 Air entrainment is dependent on the distance of the nozzle to the ventilation opening.	46

8 Future Research Needs	47
9 Summary	48
References	49

List of Figures

5.1	Delaware County, PA Fire Test Structure	13
5.2	Delaware County, PA Fire Test Structure First Floor	14
5.3	Delaware County, PA Fire Test Structure Second Floor	15
5.4	Measurement Location (Second Floor)	16
5.5	Air Entrainment Flowpath, Interior Experiments	16
5.6	Air Entrainment Flowpath, Exterior Experiments	17
5.7	Nozzle Prop	17
5.8	Nozzle Prop in Use	19
5.9	First Floor Setup - Total Entrainment Interior Tests	21
5.10	First Floor Setup - Total Entrainment Exterior Tests	21
5.11	Second Floor Measurement Location	22
5.12	First Floor Ventilation Configuration Interior	23
5.13	First Floor Ventilation Configuration Exterior	23
5.14	Second Floor Ventilation Configuration	24
5.15	First Floor Alterations Room Configuration	26
5.16	First Floor Room Configuration, Interior Experiments	27
5.17	Second Floor Room Configuration	27
6.1	Figures showing manufacturer comparison of air entrainment results for 1.5 in combination nozzles.	30
6.2	Figures showing manufacturer comparison of air entrainment results for 1.5 in smooth bore nozzles.	31
7.1	Varying air entrainment in varying hose stream types utilizing a 1.5" combination nozzle with a flow rate of 150gpm at 50 psi.	33
7.2	Figures showing interior air entrainment results for 1.5" combination nozzles with fixed intake openings and varying exhaust openings.	36
7.3	Figures showing interior air entrainment results for 1.5" smooth bore nozzles with fixed intake openings and varying exhaust openings.	37
7.4	Figures showing exterior air entrainment results for 1.5" combination nozzles with fixed exhaust openings and varying intake openings.	38
7.5	Figures showing exterior air entrainment results for 1.5" smooth bore nozzles with fixed exhaust openings and varying intake openings.	39
7.6	Figures showing transitional air entrainment results for 1.5" nozzles with fixed intake openings and varying exhaust openings.	40
7.7	Figures showing air entrainment results for 2.5" nozzles with varying exhaust and intake openings.	41

7.8	Figures showing air entrainment results for portable monitor nozzles with varying exhaust and intake openings.	41
7.9	Figure showing various rotation speeds of an ‘O’ pattern for an interior 1.5" smooth bore nozzle with a 1" tip.	42
7.10	Figure showing entrainment results of interior 1.5" combination nozzles.	43
7.11	Figures showing air entrainment given various nozzle movements for interior 1.5" nozzles.	44
7.12	Figure showing common pattern compared to no discernible pattern for an interior 1.5" smooth bore nozzle with a 1" tip.	45
7.13	1.5" Combination Nozzle, Setback Distance Comparison	46

List of Tables

5.1	Nozzles Used In Testing	18
5.2	Air Entrainment Experiments	22
5.3	Ventilation Opening Sizes	24
5.4	Ventilation Configuration Experiments	25
5.5	Room Configuration Experiments	27
6.1	Manufacturer Comparison Experiments	29
7.1	Hose stream type comparison for interior 1.5" nozzles.	34

List of Acronyms

AFG	Assistance to Firefighters Grant program
DHS	U.S Department of Homeland Security
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
SB	Smooth Bore
SS	Straight Stream
UL FSRI	UL Firefighter Safety Research Institute
USFA	United States Fire Administration

Abstract

As research continues into how fire department interventions affect fire dynamics in the modern fire environment; questions continue to arise on the impact and implications of interior versus exterior fire attack on both firefighter safety and occupant survivability. Previous research into various types of fire ground ventilation, flow paths, and exterior fire streams has provided the fire service with a more in-depth understanding of fire dynamics in addition to causing concern about certain fire attack methods stemming from differing traditions and myths. This knowledge gap and lack of previous research in this area has driven the need for further study into fire department interventions at structure fires with a focus on hose streams and suppression tactics. Statistics show that both firefighters and building occupants continue to lose their lives due to fire. As such, research into the various methods of fire attack will allow a broader understanding of how firefighter interventions on the fire ground can impact the outcome of both life safety and property protection.

This study will build and expand upon the fire research conducted to date by analyzing how fire-fighting tactics, specifically suppression methods, affect the thermal exposure and survivability of both firefighters and building occupants in addition to impacting fire behavior in structures. The purpose of this study is to improve firefighter safety, fireground tactics, and the knowledge of fire dynamics by providing the fire service with credible scientific information, developed from both water flow and full-scale fire testing, in representative single family homes. The project will be comprised of 3 parts:

- Part I: Water Distribution
- Part II: Air Entrainment
- Part III: Full-Scale Residential Fire Experiments

This report details the results and analysis from the air entrainment testing. These tests were conducted without the presence of fire to gain a fundamental understanding of how hose streams entrain air. Each set of experiments was intended to add to the understanding of air entrainment

and pressure from fire service hose streams by evaluating the differences caused by various application methods, hose stream types, nozzle movements, pressures/flow rates, manufacturers and ventilation configurations.

1 Background

NEED TO REFINE FOR AIR ENTRAINMENT Over the past 10 years, fire service research has emphasized the importance of applying water to the fire as quickly as possible from the safest available location [1, 2]. This includes the option to apply water to the fire from the exterior of the structure; a tactic that was long said to be dangerous for civilian occupants and firefighters alike. As the possibility of utilizing an exterior attack as an offensive operation gained exposure, a knowledge gap was highlighted within the firefighting community which increased the interest in better understanding the impact of water applied as part of either an interior or exterior attack. Many variables exist in fire attack which have a direct impact on victim survivability, firefighter safety, and the overall effectiveness of the operation including: the time required to get water on the fire, hose stream type, placement, and movement, air entrainment, steam development, hot gas cooling and contraction, ventilation, and the position of flow paths within the structure. Additionally, firefighters have the ability to make tactical choices on the fire ground which directly affect not only the outcome of the operation but the safety of both civilians and firefighters alike. These choices range from “big picture” decisions on strategies and tactics (i.e. methods of fire attack) down to smaller-scale decisions regarding the tools utilized for suppression operations (i.e. hose lines, nozzles, and hose streams).

Methods of Fire Attack

A safe and well-executed fire suppression operation provides lifesaving measures for potential trapped occupants as well as firefighters who are engaged in other required functions on the fire ground (search and rescue, ventilation, utility control). Fire suppression operations can encompass several different methods of fire attack. Current firefighter training curriculum defines three types: direct, indirect, and combination [3]. A direct attack involves applying water, via a straight or solid stream, directly onto burning fuels. This is a form of interior attack. An indirect attack dates back to the 1950’s where Lloyd Layman, Chief of the Parkersburg Fire Department in West Virginia, led research and testing on new theories of fire attack at the time [4, 5]. Layman defined an indirect attack as the remote injection of a fog stream into an unoccupied fire compartment through a door or window and directed at the ceiling. This has been predominantly known as an exterior attack. Modern fire service training manuals still refer to the indirect attack with this definition [3, 6]. Further fire service research was conducted by Keith Royer and Floyd Nelson who were known

to develop what is known as the combination fire attack which expands upon the work of Layman by adding nozzle movement. This combination attack is commonly defined as extinguishment through the use of both direct and indirect methods where the nozzle is now rotated and moved back and forth from the area overhead to the floor and directly onto the fuels [3]. According to some training manuals, the combination attack is done remote from the fire, most likely from the exterior of the building as the nozzle is placed into an opening in the fire compartment and then rotated [6]. As the fire service has evolved, another method of fire attack has made its way into the literature and carries the name of a modified direct attack in which the hose stream is directed into the overhead, out in front of the nozzle team, on the approach to the seat of the fire [6]. This is believed to cool the area overhead as well as break up the stream for “rain-down” onto solid fuels ahead. A fire attack crew on the fire ground has the ability to chose between these methods based upon incident size-up as well as knowledge of fire behavior, building construction, and the potential impact of a given type of attack.

Hose Streams and Fire Service Nozzles

The most important tools on the fire ground have always been hose lines and nozzles as these are vital components to a successful fire suppression operation. In addition to making tactical decisions on the method of fire attack, the firefighters engaged in fire suppression operations also have the ability to vary the nozzle used. The Standard for Fire Hose Connections, NFPA 1963, defines two categories of fire service nozzles: spray and straight tip [7]. A spray nozzle is also known as a combination or fog nozzle while straight tip nozzles are commonly referred to as smooth bore nozzles. There are several different types of spray nozzles including constant gallonage, constant pressure, and adjustable gallonage [6]. The construction of a spray nozzle is more complicated than that of a straight tip nozzle. A straight tip nozzle is a tapered pipe connected to a control valve, or “bail.” The tapered pipe is sized such that a given diameter at the tip will provide a given flow at a set pressure. A spray nozzle is constructed using a baffle and spring to provide either a constant flow, constant pressure, or both (TFT Nozzle Guide). Both spray and straight tip nozzles have the ability to provide different flows as well as pressures at the nozzle. It is not uncommon to find different hose lines with different nozzles for specific tactics utilized in a given response area. Fire departments base nozzle choices and hand-line set-ups (hose line size, desired nozzle flow, required pressure) on existing knowledge, traditions, and beliefs. Fire service nozzles serve to control water flow, create shape, and provide reach to hose streams [3]. A hose stream, or “fire stream,” is defined as a pattern of water that is discharged from a nozzle and travels to a desired target. Spray nozzles can be automatically or manually adjusted from a straight stream to a narrow fog to a wide fog as a given tactic requires while straight tip nozzles are limited to a solid stream. Firefighter training literature defines advantages and disadvantages for both types of nozzles as well as the type of hose stream; however, a given nozzle or hose stream is not tied to a specific tactic. These choices are left up to the firefighters engaged in fire suppression operations who must be well informed on the implications of a given tactic and tool.

Whether a fire attack crew chooses to apply water as part of an interior or exterior attack and regardless of the type of nozzle and hose stream chosen, they need to know what impact their

stream has on the fire environment ahead of them. This is difficult on the fire ground because visibility is commonly limited and therefore most experience and first-hand accounts are from behind the nozzle. This results in beliefs about conditions (i.e. temperature) ahead of the nozzle team and the impact of their tactics on victim survivability; but knowledge of the actual impact has yet been researched. Additionally, when the fire is ultimately suppressed, there is no assurance the attack was conducted in the most effective, efficient, and safe manner even if the experience gained suggests that it was. Fire service adages such as “don’t put water on smoke,” “you will steam the victims,” and “fog nozzles always disrupt the thermal layer” have been passed on from generation to generation with little context or substantiation. Without the context, these concepts get treated like rules and can severely limit firefighters understanding of fire suppression.

The fire attack study is intended to close the knowledge gap and provide both context and substantiation to fire suppression methods, tools, and tactics that have been utilized for decades. The results from this study will provide the fire service with scientific based knowledge on the impact of both interior and exterior fire attack on victim survivability and firefighter safety. Part I of the study, examining water mapping in structures, is the first of two series of experiments looking at the mechanics of hose streams without the presence of fire. The is intended to provide the fire service with a knowledge base into how nozzles distribute water via different hose stream types, nozzle movements, and attack locations in addition to quantifying how nozzles entrain and move air throughout a structure. By developing data in realistic structures utilizing modern fuel sources and fire scenarios, important inferences may be developed relative to different nozzles, hose stream types, techniques, and the overall use of water for fire suppression operations.

2 Objectives

The purpose of this part to the overall study was to provide the fire service with scientific based knowledge on the impact of air entrainment in hose streams during interior and exterior fire attack on firefighter safety and trapped occupants. This was accomplished with the completion of the following objectives:

- Improve firefighter safety by increasing knowledge of air entrainment by hose streams.
- Quantify air entrainment of typical fire service nozzles.
- Develop knowledge of how manufacturer, nozzle type, pressure, flow and nozzle movement effect the air entrainment.
- Development and disseminate knowledge of how tactical choices such as ventilation, attack method and nozzle movement effect air entrainment.

3 Limitation and Scope

The purpose is to quantify the amount of air entrainment in hose streams given certain parameters as well as determine where water is distributed within a compartment. This is all without fire involvement in order to provide a baseline understanding before moving forward with the remainder of the study. This baseline knowledge is intended to bridge the gap in the fire service understanding about the use of various nozzles, hose stream types, nozzle movements, and advancement techniques in specific scenarios. Knowing how hose streams affect air movement and how water is distributed can allow for better decision making capabilities across the fire service when it comes to use during an actual emergency incident.

When analyzing the air entrainment and water distribution, equipment from various manufacturers was tested. For the purpose of the study, the companies will be referred to as Manufacturer I, Manufacturer II, and Manufacturer III. The air entrainment experiments yielded results that showed little to no difference among the various manufacturers. Therefore, a single manufacturer was chosen for the remainder of Part I of the study. More specifically, Part I of the study is not intended to purchasing of one type of nozzle over another.

The intent of the air entrainment experiments was to determine how much air hose streams entrain. This involved several components:

- Does the hose stream type (smooth bore versus straight stream versus narrow fog) effect air entrainment?
- Does the amount of air entrainment vary dependent on manufacturer given set flow rates/- pressures?
- How do different building geometries, compartment layouts, and ventilation configurations effect air entrainment?
- Do various nozzle movements effect air entrainment (fixed, sweeping, O, T, Z, inverted U)?
- Does the distance from a ventilation opening effect the air entrainment?

For the purpose of these experiments we utilized the same structure throughout all of the air entrainment experiments. By creating some aspects that were not varied and by bounding other

variables, we ensured that as many aspects of the air entrainment as possible were examined as a baseline for further future evaluation in different structures with different conditions.

4 Previous Literature

At the start of the study, a literature review was performed to identify and analyze the following:

- Previous research in the field of air entrainment.
- Both past and current fire suppression tactics.
- Knowledge gaps in fire suppression operations. (choice of tactics, myths, traditions, etc.)

The following section outlines some of the material as it relates to the fire attack study. The literature review encompassed past research work, various articles in fire service publications, fire service training manuals, as well as fire department standard operating procedures to highlight some of the critical areas of information which drove the project at hand.

**NEED TO ADD REFERENCES AND REVIEW IF THESE APPLY TO AIR ENTRAINMENT,
PLACING THEM IN THE CATEGORIES BELOW**

Hose, nozzles and water have been used by the fire service for hundreds of years. Despite their frequent use, there has been little scientific research conducted on the effective use of these tools for fire suppression. It is common in the fire service to find discussions about which nozzle is better or which flow rate is required for what sized fire but this is based on experience and usually not science.

In 1950 Chief Lloyd Layman presented a paper titled "Little Drops of Water" at the Fire Department Instructors Conference. He introduced what he called indirect method of attack to suppress interior building fires by using the heat absorbing properties of expanding and condensing steam, produced in great quantities by fog streams. The conclusions were based on Coast Guard experiments that Layman was in charge of conducting at the Coast Guard Firefighting School at Fort McHenry in Baltimore, MD. Layman continued his experiments after he returned to his position as fire chief in Parkersburg, WV where he applied his tactic in building fires. This research had a very large impact on the fire service and their suppression techniques to this day.

Throughout the 1950s a National Committee began conducting experiments to collect data on the growth and behavior of interior fires and how to most effectively suppress them. Keith Royer and Bill Nelson were members of this committee, and as the heads of the firemanship training

program at the Iowa State University's Engineering Extension, they collected and analyzed data from hundreds of experimental fires. Through this research the fire service was taught about fire behavior and how to suppress fire with a combination fire attack. They examined the amount of heat generated by common fuels, the heat absorbing capacity of water, the impact of compartment volume during suppression and they developed the Iowa formula. The Iowa formula or critical rate of flow formula is still used today and it determines the amount of water needed to control a fire in the largest open space within a structure by dividing the cubic foot volume of the space by 100.

While the physics of fire development has not changed over time, the fire environment for specifically the single family home has evolved. Several factors including home size, geometry, contents and construction materials have changed significantly over the past 50 or more years. Each of these factors has impacted firefighter and occupant safety. Faster fire propagation, shorter times to flashover, rapid changes in fire dynamics and shorter escape times all impact fire service suppression techniques and effectiveness. Many of the variables in Royer and Nelson's analysis have changed and more research is needed to see how suppression techniques used in the 1950's with 1950's fuel loads and firefighting tools translates to today's firefighter safety and effectiveness.

Beginning in 1994, the Naval Research Laboratory carried out a series of full-scale fire experiments to compare straight stream attack versus fog pattern attack. These experiments were conducted on the Navy ship ex-USS Shadwell with a fire volume of approximately 110 m³. In these experiments one 60 degree fog pattern was applied at a 45 degree angle into the smoke layer. They examined cooling effects, steam generation and thermal layer disruption. Their experiments examined shielded and non-shielded fires and concluded that using fog to cool the upper layer was more effective and safer than straight stream attack when the fire could not be attacked directly and the firefighters heart rates and body temperatures were lower utilizing the fog attack.

In 1998 NIST conducted a series of experiments to demonstrate the suppression effectiveness of water-based firefighting agents. This was a step toward creating test procedures to determine suppression effectiveness to develop a standardized test method for evaluating the fire fighting effectiveness of water and other agents. This study provides preliminary data upon which firefighting effectiveness test may be developed by it suggests additional research on application technique, tests reflective of the complexities found in firefighting and experiments involving structural-fire suppression.

In 2002, The National Research Council of Canada conducted a literature search on 3D water fog techniques for firefighting. It discusses the impact of water fog characteristics associated with properties of the nozzle (e.g., droplet size, momentum, flow rate, spray angle and pattern) and discharge techniques (e.g., discharge angle, and discharge duration related to the bursts) on performance of the 3D water fog technique are discussed. This technique is to supplement a direct attack by controlling the environment the firefighters are in until they are in a position to apply water directly to the fire. Opponents of flowing water into smoke have concerns that include: (i) effectiveness of controlling the fire, compared to traditional straight stream attack; (ii) possible disruption of the thermal balance; (iii) possible generation of a large amount of hot steam that produces burn injuries to firefighters; and (iv) the performance of this technique is complex and re-

quires extensive training. Advocates of this technique have attempted to respond to these concerns but very limited experimental studies have been undertaken due to complexity of the problems. Application techniques and fire conditions on the performance of fog technique is not well studied and therefore there are little guidelines and adoption will be greatly limited.

Several theoretical studies had been conducted that examine droplet size and their ability to suppress fire gases. For example, when droplet diameter is reduced from 1000 nanometers to 100 nanometers the total surface area increases 10 times from 6 m² to 60 m² for 1 liter of water. Since these smaller droplets evaporate sooner, others have examined the lifetime of the droplet to determine how far it can travel based on temperature of the surrounding gases and droplet size. Further complicating this theory is that droplets all have an impact on each other as they turn to steam. Residence time can be further reduced compared to an individual droplet, because leading droplets impart forward momentum to the surrounding gas, reducing the air drag on the following droplets and resulting in better penetration. In 2010, the University of Maryland examined spray characteristics from fire hose nozzles. They examined the breakup of a smooth bore nozzle utilizing techniques such as shadowgraphy and a patternator and concluded that more research was needed to fully understand the water spray from fire hose nozzles.

In 2000, Lund University examined the demand for extinguishing media in manual firefighting. They examined critical flow rates required to suppress fires by reviewing available literature and conducted a series of experiments that examined suppression of wood pallets at a fire training academy. They examined the five ways that water can be applied during fire extinguishment, on hot gases, on flames, on burning fuel, on fuel that is not yet burning and on hot surfaces. They highlight that what is most effective against the fire is not necessarily best for the firefighters since there are other constraints during firefighting operations such as limited air supply and multiple priorities. The optimum flow rate corresponds to an optimum control time, a control time that gives the lowest total demand for resources. Most of the current data for optimum water flow rate include experiments utilizing wood cribs or pallets, but not today's synthetic fuel loads in actual structures. These studies also did not investigate the effect of flow paths or the impact of steam generation on firefighters or victims.

In 2003, a fire service group at the Rockland County (NY) Fire Training Center conducted a series of tests in their concrete training building. They measured the amount of air moved by solid bore and combination nozzles using common fire ground methods. They concluded that air volumes moved by smooth bore nozzles and combination nozzles in the straight stream setting are very similar if not the same, and that combination nozzles in the fog pattern move significant amounts of air which can over pressurize the fire area and send steam over the attack crew even with a ventilation opening opposite the attack crew. These tests were performed either with no fire or with a training fire but which are very different than actual fire conditions. Their tests do provide a good range of airflows that can be expected in our experiments. The authors state, "Our nozzle testing program was not as controlled and as precise as we would have liked." They also did not have measurement devices that were able to accurately measure air flows from a fog pattern.

The Firefighting Technology Group at NIST has a current project that is examining hose streams. This project examines a variety of fire fighting hose stream characteristics related to flow, distribu-

tion and thermal impact from both solid and fog stream nozzles. A series of real scale, laboratory based experiments have been started to look specifically at the water discharge and distribution characteristics, the impact of hose streams on a hot gas layer in a compartment, the impact of hose streams on gas flows through multi-compartment structures, and the suppression effectiveness on burning piles of wooden pallets. The proposed project will build on their results by utilizing real-scale structures with common residential fuels and making additional measurements to better characterize the impact of flow path, nozzle technique and steam generation on fire dynamics, firefighter exposure and occupant survivability.

4.1 Fire Service Publications

4.2 Fire Service Training Manuals

4.3 Research Work

5 Experimental Configuration

5.1 Test Facility

The air entrainment testing was conducted at the Delaware County Emergency Services Training Center in Sharon Hill, PA. A two-story concrete structure was built on a concrete slab as shown in Fig. 5.1. It was designed to simulate a representative residential structure.



Figure 5.1: Delaware County, PA Fire Test Structure

The outer walls of the first floor of the structure were composed of interlocking concrete blocks 2 ft. wide, 2 ft. high, and 4 ft. long. The interior dimensions of the structure were 20 ft. wide,

36 ft. long, and 8 ft. high. The joints and gaps between the blocks were filled with high temperature insulation. The interior walls of the first floor were framed with steel studs set to 16 in. centers and track and were lined with 0.5 in. thick cement board. The walls were composed of 0.6 in. Type X gypsum board. Additionally, the ceiling was composed of two layers of 0.5 in. thick cement board. The first floor ceiling support of the structure was composed of wood truss joist I-beams (TJIs) with a 11.75 in. depth. Each TJI was composed of laminated veneer lumber flanges with a cross section of 1.13 in. x 1.75 in. and an 0.43 in. thick oriented strand board web. Tongue and groove oriented strand board of 0.72 in. thickness was screwed to the top of the TJIs.

A stairwell was built to connect the two floors of the structure. The stairs had a 7.25 in rise and 7.5 in run and started 5.25 ft off the south wall with a width of 14 ft off the east wall. The second story walls were wood framed with 2 in by 4 in studs. The studs were set to 16 in centers. The interior walls were protected by 0.63 in fire rated gypsum board, 0.63 in Durock board, and a second layer of 0.63 in fire rated gypsum board. The exterior walls were protected with 0.31 in oriented strand board and 0.31 in fiber cement lap siding. Dimensioned drawings of the first and second floor are shown in Figures 5.2 and 5.3 respectively.




Figure 5.2: Delaware County, PA Fire Test Structure First Floor

The exterior doorways of each structure were steel doors that were opened or closed at certain instances during tests to change the ventilation configuration within the structure. All other doorways in the structures did not contain a door. If it was determined that these doors needed closed during a test, a sheet of either gypsum board or oriented strand board was used to cover the opening and remained as such until the conclusion of the given test.




Figure 5.3: Delaware County, PA Fire Test Structure Second Floor

5.2 Instrumentation and Uncertainty

Gas velocity, a measure of air entrainment by hose streams, was obtained through the use of an array of bi-directional probes. Bi-directional probes were connected to a pressure transducers to evaluate the change in pressure associated with the gas flow. The differential pressure transducer was a Setra Model 264 with a range of ± 0.5 in. WC (± 124.5 Pa.). The uncertainty given by the manufacturer is 1% or 1.2 Pa.

A gas velocity measurement study examining the doorway flow of pre-flashover compartment fires yielded expanded uncertainty measurements ranging from ± 0.14 to ± 0.22 for bi-directional probes of similar design [8]. The total expanded uncertainty for gas velocity in these experiments is estimated to be $\pm 18\%$.

5.3 Measurement Location

There were several challenges associated with measuring air entrainment from hose streams. The first challenge existed because the experiments were conducted outside, therefore considering wind was critical. Additionally, the instrumentation used in data collection is best in a dry environment to maintain the smallest level of uncertainty and remain operational. To address these challenges, the measurement location was set at the doorway at the top of the stairwell. Figure 5.4 shows the position of the bi-direction probe array on the second floor.




Figure 5.4: Measurement Location (Second Floor)

Figures 5.5 and 5.6 show the experimental configuration for the interior flow tests and exterior flow tests, respectively. The arrows indicate the flow direction based on the hose stream being the source of the flow through air entrainment.




Figure 5.5: Air Entrainment Flowpath, Interior Experiments

The measurement location was placed at the top of the stairs, within the flow path of both test configurations. This location kept the instrumentation dry and out of the reach of a hose stream. While this location did guarantee isolation from wind effects due to open vents, this represented the best location to minimize those effects.




Figure 5.6: Air Entrainment Flowpath, Exterior Experiments

5.4 Equipment Used

To ensure the data collected and associated results were applicable to the majority of the fire service, a list of representative nozzles, specified flow rates/pressures, and nozzle movement techniques was created. The variables, which were tested during the air entrainment experiments are included in the Table 5.1.

These experiments involved the repetition of nozzle movements and patterns; therefore to minimize nozzle operator fatigue and improve repeatability a nozzle prop was constructed. The prop was used as the ‘backup’ firefighter by supporting the hoseline and minimizing nozzle reaction forces on the operator. Figure 5.7 shows the constructed prop.



Figure 5.7: Nozzle Prop

The hose was affixed to the prop with ‘U’ bolts and locking nuts to ensure the hose did not move

Table 5.1: Nozzles Used In Testing

Line Size	Nozzle	Tip (in)	Nozzle Pressure (psi)	Approximate Flow Rate (gpm)
1 3/4 in.	Smooth Bore	1	50	210
	Smooth Bore	15/16	50	180
	Smooth Bore	7/8	50	150
	Fog		100	100
	Fog		100	150
	Fog		75	150
	Fog		50	150
2 1/2 in.	Smooth Bore	1 1/8	50	260
	Smooth Bore	1 1/4	50	320
	Fog		100	250
	Fog		75	250
	Fog		50	250
Portable Monitor	Smooth Bore	1 3/8	80	500
	Fog		75	500
Master Stream	Smooth Bore	1 1/2	80	600
	Smooth Bore	1 3/4	80	800
	Fog		100	500-1000

during an experiment. The prop supported both 1.5 in. and 2.5 in. hoselines. To ensure the experiments were consistent (independent of variance of nozzle position on the prop), the distance from the nozzle to the ventilation opening was measured from the tip of the nozzle, and not the base of the prop.

5.5 Experiments Conducted

Ninety-two experiments were completed to determine how air entrainment from hose streams was affected by varying equipment, spray patterns, hose stream type, and ventilation.

Prior to determining the parameters for the test series' below, several preliminary experiments were conducted in order to provide insight into several key components including the setback distance from the nozzle to the ventilation opening, the hose stream type, and various nozzle movements.

- **Setback Distance**

Two experiments were conducted on the interior of the structure utilizing a 1.5 in. combination nozzle with a flow rate of 150 gpm to determine the effects of altering the setback



Figure 5.8: Nozzle Prop in Use

distance of the nozzle to the ventilation opening on the overall air entrainment in the hose stream. The first of the two tests examined setback distances of 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15 feet from the open double door at a pressure of 50 psi. The second test examined the same setback distances at a nozzle pressure of 100 psi.

- **Hose Stream Type**

To gain an initial look into the hose stream type, a fixed setback distance of 3 ft. was chosen and the nozzle was varied to a wide fog pattern (nearly occluding the ventilation opening), a narrow fog pattern (roughly 30 deg.), and a straight stream pattern. This provided preliminary information that aided in the test setup for the remainder of the experiments. The nozzle used during this test was a 1.5 in. combination nozzle with a flow rate of 150 gpm and a pressure of 100 psi.

- **Nozzle Movements**

Because there are numerous nozzle movements that firefighters can employ during suppression operations, it was vital that the UL - FSRI team identify any differences in air entrainment prior to conducting the remainder of the study. This would provide information into whether or not the various nozzle movements would all be tested or if some could be eliminated due to similarities in results. These tests were conducted with a fixed setback distance of 18 ft. from the ventilation opening and utilized both a 1.5 in. combination nozzle with a

flow rate of 150 gpm and a pressure of 100 psi and a 1.5" smooth bore nozzle with a 1" tip flowing 210 gpm at a pressure of 50 psi.

- **Manufacturer Comparison**

Similar to the issue above with various nozzle movements, fire departments across the world used nozzles made from different manufacturers. To provide some insight into the accuracy of manufacturer ratings of flow rate and pressure in addition to air entrainment, several experiments were conducted to determine the differences in nozzle manufacturers. These experiments were all conducted with both a 1.5 in. combination nozzle and a 1.5 in. smooth bore nozzle. This would provide information into the differences in air entrainment between nozzles with similar flow rates and pressures made by different manufacturers.

Total Air Entrainment Comparison

The first test series of the air entrainment experiments looked at the differences in total entrainment given a single nozzle manufacturer with varying flow rates and pressures. These tests were conducted from both the interior and exterior of the structure at a setback distance of 18 ft. from the ventilation opening.

An ‘Event’ is defined as a specific measurement period during the experiment in which a specific variable is being analyzed. For example, during the total air entrainment experiment for 1.5 in. combination nozzles from the interior, 6 different events were incorporated within the test: 1) Straight Stream, 2) Straight Stream ‘O’, Straight Stream ‘Z’, Straight Stream ‘n’, Narrow Fog, and Narrow Fog ‘O’. In order to ensure consistency among results, each of the ‘Events’ within the experiments was designed to be 1 minute in duration.




Figure 5.9: First Floor Setup - Total Entrainment Interior Tests




Figure 5.10: First Floor Setup - Total Entrainment Exterior Tests




Figure 5.11: Second Floor Measurement Location

Table 5.2: Air Entrainment Experiments

Location	Nozzle Size	Nozzle Type	Tip Size	Flow Rate	Pressure
Interior	1.5	Combination		95	100
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	100
Interior	1.5	Smooth Bore	7/8	150	50
Interior	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	Smooth Bore	1	210	50
Interior	2.5	Combination		250	50
Interior	2.5	Combination		250	75
Interior	2.5	Combination		250	100
Interior	2.5	Smooth Bore	1 1/8	260	50
Interior	2.5	Smooth Bore	1 1/4	320	50
Interior	MS	Combination		500	100
Interior	MS	Combination		750	100
Interior	MS	Smooth Bore	1 1/2	600	80
Interior	MS	Smooth Bore	1 3/4	800	80
Interior	PM	Combination		500	80
Interior	PM	Smooth Bore	1 3/8	480	80
Exterior	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Exterior	2.5	Combination		250	75
Exterior	2.5	Smooth Bore	1 1/4	320	50
Exterior	PM	Combination		500	75
Exterior	PM	Smooth Bore	1 3/8	500	80

Ventilation Configuration

The second series of tests conducted to analyze air entrainment in hose streams involved varying the ventilation configurations within the flow path. The inlet and exhaust of the flow path were varied between both different sized doors as well as windows to show the effect on overall air entrainment. The tests were conducted from both the interior and the exterior of the structure with a fixed setback distance of 18 ft. from the ventilation opening. The measurement location during these experiments remained the same as that used during the total air entrainment experiments with the instrumentation remaining in the doorway at the top of the stairs on the second floor. (Figure 5.14)




Figure 5.12: First Floor Ventilation Configuration Interior




Figure 5.13: First Floor Ventilation Configuration Exterior




Figure 5.14: Second Floor Ventilation Configuration

In order to evaluate how ventilation openings affect the air entrainment due to hose streams, different ventilation openings were considered to include both doors as well as windows of common sizes. The measurement location within the structure was a fixed location and fixed opening size. The measurement location was the size of a common single doorway as seen below. The remainder of the ventilation openings varied between a single and double door as well as a single and double window. Additionally, a controlled door was evaluated to show the impact of a reduced inlet size on the total air entrainment in hose streams. This is a common practice among fire departments based on previous research conducted showing the benefits to closing down the flow path and limiting the available oxygen to the fire. The effect of the controlled door on air entrainment has yet been analyzed and thus was vital to be included in this study.

Table 5.3: Ventilation Opening Sizes

	Width	Height	Width (in)	Height (in)	Area (sq. in.)
Measurement Location	2' 8"	6' 8"	32	80	2560
Single Window	2'	4'	24	48	1152
Double Window	4'	5'	48	60	2880
Single Door	3'	6' 8"	36	80	2880
Double Door	6'	6' 8"	72	80	5760
Controlled Door	8"	6' 8"	8	80	640

Table 5.4: Ventilation Configuration Experiments

Location	Top Vent	Bottom Vent	Nozzle Size	Nozzle Type	Tip Size	Flow Rate	Pressure
Interior	Controlled Door	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Double Door	Double Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Double Door	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Double Door	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	No Opening	Double Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	No Opening	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	No Opening	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Single Door	Double Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Single Door	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Single Door	Double Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Single Door	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Interior	Controlled Door	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	Double Door	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	Single Door	Double Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	Single Door	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Exterior	Double Door	Double Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Double Door	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	No Opening	Double Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	No Opening	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	No Opening	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Single Door	Double Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Single Door	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Single Door	Double Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Single Door	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Single Window	Double Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	Single Window	Single Door	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	No Opening	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Exterior	Single Door	Double Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Exterior	Single Door	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Exterior	Single Window	Single Door	2.5	Combination		250	75
Exterior	Single Door	Single Window	2.5	Combination		250	75
Exterior	Single Window	Single Door	2.5	Smooth Bore	1 1/4	320	50
Exterior	Single Door	Single Window	2.5	Smooth Bore	1 1/4	320	50
Exterior	Single Window	Single Door	PM	Combination		500	80
Exterior	Single Door	Single Window	PM	Combination		500	80
Exterior	Single Window	Single Door	PM	Smooth Bore	1 3/8	500	80
Exterior	Single Door	Single Window	PM	Smooth Bore	1 3/8	500	80
Transitional	Single Window	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Transitional	No Opening	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Transitional	Single Door	Single Window	1.5	Combination		150	75
Transitional	Single Window	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Transitional	No Opening	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Transitional	Single Door	Single Window	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50

Room Configuration

The last test series of the air entrainment experiments involved reconfiguring the first floor of the structure to analyze how compartmentation and varying building geometries affect the end result. The air entrainment from various attacks, both fixed position and advancements, was studied. Additionally, the tests were conducted from both the interior and exterior of the structure. The nozzles utilized during these experiments included both a 1.5 in. combination nozzle and a 1.5 in. smooth bore nozzle with a 15/16 in. tip.

The dimensioned drawing below shows the changes to the first floor of the structure. A wall was constructed to create a room adjacent to the bottom ventilation opening. Attached to the room via a standard 2 ft. 6 in. by 6 ft. 8 in. doorway was a 16 ft. by 4 ft. hallway. This allowed for the team to study entrainment in a structure configuration most comparable to residential single family homes. This setup would best simulate the configuration for a suppression crew advancing down a hallway towards a bedroom including single door entry with a window present in the room.




Figure 5.15: First Floor Alterations Room Configuration




Figure 5.16: First Floor Room Configuration, Interior Experiments




Figure 5.17: Second Floor Room Configuration

Table 5.5: Room Configuration Experiments

Location	Nozzle Size	Nozzle Type	Tip Size	Flow Rate	Pressure
Interior	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	Combination		150	75
Exterior	1.5	Combination		150	50

6 Results

After the air entrainment testing was complete and the results were analyzed, several conclusions were drawn:

- Air entrainment is dependent on hose stream type. (smooth bore, straight stream, fog)
- Air entrainment is dependent on structure size, compartmentation, and ventilation configurations.
- Increases in nozzle movement increase overall air entrainment.
- Different nozzle movement patterns have little effect on overall air entrainment. (O, T, Z, inverted U)
- Air entrainment, either into or out of the structure, is dependent on the horizontal distance of the nozzle to the ventilation opening.

Each of these conclusions is explained in detail below with supporting results.

6.1 Manufacturer Comparison

The table below shows the series of tests that were conducted to compare similar nozzles from differing manufacturers. Within each of these tests, the air entrainment from various hose stream types was determined.

Table 6.1: Manufacturer Comparison Experiments

Location	Nozzle Size	Manufacturer	Nozzle Type	Tip Size	Flow Rate	Pressure
Interior	1.5	MF3	Combination		95	100
Interior	1.5	MF3	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	MF3	Combination		150	75
Interior	1.5	MF3	Combination		150	100
Interior	1.5	MF3	Smooth Bore	7/8	150	50
Interior	1.5	MF3	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	MF3	Smooth Bore	1	210	50
Interior	1.5	MF2	Combination		95	100
Interior	1.5	MF2	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	MF2	Combination		150	75
Interior	1.5	MF2	Combination		150	100
Interior	1.5	MF2	Smooth Bore	7/8	150	50
Interior	1.5	MF2	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	MF2	Smooth Bore	1	210	50
Interior	1.5	MF1	Combination		95	100
Interior	1.5	MF1	Combination		150	50
Interior	1.5	MF1	Combination		150	75
Interior	1.5	MF1	Combination		150	100
Interior	1.5	MF1	Smooth Bore	7/8	150	50
Interior	1.5	MF1	Smooth Bore	15/16	180	50
Interior	1.5	MF1	Smooth Bore	1	210	50




Figure 6.1: Figures showing manufacturer comparison of air entrainment results for 1.5 in combination nozzles.




Figure 6.2: Figures showing manufacturer comparison of air entrainment results for 1.5 in smooth bore nozzles.

As shown by the results for both the 1.5 in. combination and smooth bore nozzles examined, manufacturers have different design details for their given product and that is evident in the differing air entrainment results. The spread amongst manufacturers in the combination nozzle varies anywhere from less than 1000 CFM to over 2000 CFM. Due to the more simple design features of the smooth bore nozzles, the differences across manufacturers was less.

With that being said, several trends were consistent amongst the different experiments:

- Increases in nozzle movement (i.e. fixed compared to 'O' pattern) increase overall air en-

trainment.

- The wider the hose stream pattern, the larger the amount of air entrained. (i.e. narrow fog when compared to straight stream or smooth bore)

7 Discussion

7.1 Air entrainment is dependent on hose stream type. (smooth bore, straight stream, fog)

The initial opening occlusion test was conducted to determine how varying the hose stream type from a single nozzle can effect the air entrainment at a given setback distance (3 ft.). This test showed that given a fixed setback distance, a combination nozzle flowing a common flow rate of 150 gpm at a pressure of 50 psi had increasing air entrainment with an increase in the width of the pattern. As the pattern became wider, approaching the size of the ventilation opening, the air entrainment increased, with straight stream experiencing the smallest airflow.




Figure 7.1: Varying air entrainment in varying hose stream types utilizing a 1.5" combination nozzle with a flow rate of 150gpm at 50 psi.

Varying the hose stream type was analyzed in various other experiments as well. These were

conducted with a interior fixed setback distance of 18 ft. and utilized 1.5" nozzles.

Table 7.1: Hose stream type comparison for interior 1.5" nozzles.

Hose Stream Type	Flow Rate (GPM)	Pressure (PSI)	Air Entrainment (CFM)
Straight Stream	150	50	3440
Straight Stream 'O'	150	50	10441
Narrow Fog	150	50	17645
Narrow Fog 'O'	150	50	22873
Smooth Bore	150	50	3319
Smooth Bore 'O'	150	50	9738

7.2 Air entrainment is dependent on structure size, compartmentation, and ventilation configurations.

The first set of experiments in which the ventilation openings were examined utilized a 1.5 in. combination (150 gpm @ 75 psi) nozzle and a smooth bore nozzle (15/16" tip, 180 gpm @ 50 psi). Four different intake openings were examined including no door, controlled door, single door, and double door. These were looking at scenarios in which a fire attack crew makes entry into the structure via a doorway and is flowing water towards an exhaust of varying size and shape. A window was not examined as one of the intake openings as the assumption was made that the attack crew would be entering the building through a door and not a window. The distance from the nozzle to the opening was 18 ft.




Figure 7.2: Figures showing interior air entrainment results for 1.5" combination nozzles with fixed intake openings and varying exhaust openings.




Figure 7.3: Figures showing interior air entrainment results for 1.5" smooth bore nozzles with fixed intake openings and varying exhaust openings.

Several conclusions can be drawn from the first set of ventilation experiments looking at interior attacks:

- As the size of the intake opening increases, so does the amount of air entrained into the hose stream.
- Controlling the door after entry by the fire attack team significantly reduces the amount of air entrainment.
- Something about exhaust vent sizes

The next set of experiments utilized the same nozzles as the interior attack tests above; however these examined the differences in air entrainment from exterior streams. These tests incorporated fixed exhaust openings and varied intake shapes and sizes. The distance from the nozzle to the opening was 18 ft.




Figure 7.4: Figures showing exterior air entrainment results for 1.5" combination nozzles with fixed exhaust openings and varying intake openings.




Figure 7.5: Figures showing exterior air entrainment results for 1.5" smooth bore nozzles with fixed exhaust openings and varying intake openings.

Several conclusions can be drawn from the second set of ventilation experiments looking at exterior attacks:

- The amount of air entrained into the hose stream and moved throughout the structure is dependent on the size of the available exhaust opening.
- The larger the intake opening, the more air available to be entrained into the hose stream.

The following experiments looked at the scenario in which a crew would be much closer to the ventilation opening, utilizing exterior streams in what is known as a transitional attack. The fire attack crew would be located on the exterior of the structure, flowing water into the building via a single window. The distance from the nozzle to the opening was less than 3 ft.




Figure 7.6: Figures showing transitional air entrainment results for 1.5" nozzles with fixed intake openings and varying exhaust openings.

Several conclusions can be drawn from this set of ventilation experiments looking at transitional attacks:

- The amount of air entrained into the hose stream and moved throughout the structure is dependent on the size of the available exhaust opening.
- The larger the exhaust opening, the more air available to be entrained into the hose stream.

Several tests were conducted with hose lines of 2.5 in. diameter in which 2.5 in. nozzles were examined as well as portable monitors.




Figure 7.7: Figures showing air entrainment results for 2.5" nozzles with varying exhaust and intake openings.




Figure 7.8: Figures showing air entrainment results for portable monitor nozzles with varying exhaust and intake openings.

7.3 Increases in nozzle movement increase overall air entrainment

A single test was conducted to determine the differences in air entrainment when a given 1.5 in. smooth bore nozzle of fixed flow rate of 210 gpm and pressure of 50 psi was utilized with a ‘O’ pattern at different rotation speeds. Using a metronome, the ‘O’ pattern was applied at 50, 100, and 150 revolutions per minute. This test was conducted at the fixed interior setback distance of 18 ft. from the tip of the nozzle to the ventilation opening.




Figure 7.9: Figure showing various rotation speeds of an ‘O’ pattern for an interior 1.5" smooth bore nozzle with a 1" tip.

As shown in the figure above, an increase in the rotation speed while applying a specified pattern yeilded an increase in the air entrainment seen within the stream.

Additionally, in various other experiments, both fixed and moving patterns were examined. The results confirm that an increase in movement, increase entrainment. The figure below shows that a narrow fog ‘O’ pattern yields higher entrainment than a fixed narrow fog patter and a straight stream ‘O’ pattern yields higher entrainment than a fixed straight stream pattern.




Figure 7.10: Figure showing entrainment results of interior 1.5" combination nozzles.

7.4 Differing nozzle movement patterns have little effect on overall air entrainment.

Various tests were conducted to determine how different nozzle movements effect the overall air entrainment. Common nozzle movements seen in the fire service today are the ‘O’, ‘Z’, and ‘n’ patterns. Differences in these were examined utilizing nozzles of various flow rates and pressures for both 1.5 in. combination as well as 1.5 in. smooth bore nozzles from the interior of the structure at a fixed setback distance of 18 ft. The results show that there is less than a +/- 1000 CFM difference between the patterns across the various nozzle types and settings.




Figure 7.11: Figures showing air entrainment given various nozzle movements for interior 1.5" nozzles.

A test was also conducted to determine if a standard utilized nozzle movement in an ‘O’ pattern would differ from a ‘Spray and Pray’ technique in which the nozzle operator moves the hose stream across the ventilation opening as fast as possible with no discernible pattern. Once again, the results showed little to no difference in the air entrainment.




Figure 7.12: Figure showing common pattern compared to no discernible pattern for an interior 1.5" smooth bore nozzle with a 1" tip.

Because the results very clearly showed little to no difference in air entrainment from varying nozzle movements across multiple nozzle types and settings, a single nozzle movement pattern (‘O’) was utilized for the remainder of the experiments conducted.

7.5 Air entrainment is dependent on the distance of the nozzle to the ventilation opening.

One of the preliminary experiments conducted was the setback comparison tests in which a 1.5 in. combination nozzle at two different pressures (100 psi and 50 psi) was utilized in a fixed pattern at varying distances from the ventilation opening. The nozzle was moved back from the opening at intervals 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15 ft. to see the effect on air entrainment in the hose stream.




Figure 7.13: 1.5" Combination Nozzle, Setback Distance Comparison

With a fixed nozzle movement, the tests showed that increasing the distance between the tip of the nozzle and the ventilation opening increased the entrainment in the hose stream. The further the nozzle from the vent allowed for a more broken and ‘wider’ stream, which in turn, encompassed more of the opening.

8 Future Research Needs

The water distribution data presented in this report is a one piece of the fire attack study. The intention of this report is to provide preliminary results and insight into the distribution of water within a compartment. The air entrainment data and full-scale fire testing data are currently undergoing analysis. Upon completion of the analysis, conclusions can be drawn and tactical considerations can be developed regarding each experimental series, the relationships between the series, and the project in its entirety.

9 Summary

The goal of the experiments was quantify water distributions within a room over a set of parameters typical the the fire service.

References

- [1] Stephen Kerber. Impact of ventilation on fire behavior in legacy and contemporary residential construction. Report, Underwriters Laboratories.
- [2] Stephen Kerber. Study of the effectiveness of fire service vertical ventilation and suppression tactics in single family homes. Report, Underwriters Laboratories.
- [3] Fedrick M. Stowell and Lynne Murnane, editors. *Essential of Firefighting and Fire Department Operations*. Brady Publishing, International Fire Service Training Association, 6 edition.
- [4] Lloyd Layman, editor. *Attacking and Extinguishing Interior Fires*. National Fire Protection Association.
- [5] Lloyd Layman, editor. *Fundamentals of Fire Fighting Tactics*. National Fire Protection Association.
- [6] Glenn Corbett, editor. *Fire Engineering's Handbook for Firefighter I and II*. PennWell Corporation.
- [7] Nfpa 1963: Standard for fire hose connections. Standard, National Fire Protection Association.
- [8] R.A. Bryant. A comparison of gas velocity measurements in a full-scale enclosure fire. *Fire Safety Journal*, 44:793–800, 2009.

NEED TO ADD ALL AIRENTRAINMNET TESTS DISCUSSED WITHIN